**Losing Information is Like Losing a Limb: Employee Reactions to Data Loss**

**Abstract**

**Background**: A range of theories dealing with the relationship between people and technology focus on the ways people use available tools and technology for the purpose of extending their physical body. In the emerging digital world, devices such as mobile phones, means of communication and digital media enable us to communicate with others, represent ourselves in multiple different ways, and they open new channels for extending our mental selves. One way of creating a mentally-extended self is through the digital property a person accumulates and organizes. Digital property is comprised of digital items or objects such as documents and records that a person identifies as belonging to him and representing his identity. The loss of a document thus translates to the loss of memory and identity. The workplace is one of the most prominent places where one’s digital collections serves to represent one’s identity. People collect and organize their work information for the purposes of communicating, collaborating, reminding, and as proof of tasks completed. Research literature on the loss of information deals primarily with the harm caused to companies and organizations, as well as the steps they take to prevent the loss of information, but there is a lack of research dealing with this topic from the employee’s perspective.

**Purpose of the Study**: Examine employee attitudes about the loss of information they have accumulated and organized in the process of their years of work.

**Methodology**: The study was carried out using semi-structured interviews with 15 employees in various positions at an Israeli organization, where a malfunction of the Outlook program led to a loss of information.

**Findings**: The employees experienced feelings of panic and helplessness upon discovering that their information was missing. They experienced a sense of helpless and loss of control over the situation. The main themes that came up in the interviews were lack of resources necessary for completing tasks, damage to communications with colleagues and customers in the organization and outside of it, a feeling of dependence on Outlook as a substitute for memory, and the fear that one’s professional status might be undermined. The employees compared the loss of their information to losing a limb or losing their identity.

**Discussion**: Employee conceptualization of their information and the emotions expressed in the face of its possible loss support theoretical approaches that view technology and digital possessions as extensions of one’s body and identity. These approaches are also relevant to organizations shaping their information protection policies.

**Introduction**

Theories dealing with the extension of the self are part of the discussion of the human-technology relationship. Such theories suggest that technology enables humans to expand their capabilities, and thus becomes an extension of one’s physical body. Indeed, we would be unable to fully understand what it means to be human were we not to consider how the human and the technological co-constitute each other. Devices such as eyeglasses with which to see, or pencils with which to write, are technological aides that connect a person to his world (Downey, Dumit & Williams, 1995; Verbeek, 2008).

The argument that mechanical tools are an extension of human limbs was made as early as in 1877 by Ernest Kapp, who explained that many of these tools enhance the strength of one’s arms and hands (Kapp, 1877, in Brey, 2000). Nearly a century later, McLuhan consistently emphasized the notion that all technologies are extensions that expand the human physical and neural systems, with the aim of increasing human strength and speed. Such acceleration means greater control over greater distances. These extensions of our physical bodies first manifested in automotive wheels, roads, and paper, and later, in electronic technologies like radio, telephone, and television, which transcend time and space (McLuhan, 1964). In the industrialized world, people are dependent on technology for their survival and sustenance. Without a car, for example, they could not get to work (Mazis, 2008). More contemporary theories of human-technology interactions speak of the blurring of boundaries between man and machine. Humans and machines have co-evolved, merging to the point that we are indistinguishable from machines both physically and in terms of identity (Hayles, 2006).

The cyborg, for example, is a boundary-blurring being that combines human and inhuman elements – a human-machine. If we were to stretch our definition of the cyborg to include any linking of a human being with the various technological means of transforming or expanding human physical, sensory, mental, or conscious capabilities, then people wearing glasses, using walking sticks, or those taking medications or drugs, are cyborgs as well. Indeed, some scholars argue that in the modern era all people are cyborgs (Case, 2010; Haraway, 2006). Beyond the extension of the physical self, there is today also an extension of the mental self. Cognitive tools that are able to represent, store, restore and handle information can serve to expand cognitive functions such as abstract thinking, memory, problem solving and use of language (Brey, 2000). The possibility of using communication technologies in any place leads to mystification and contradictions in the ways we define our identities, our sense of self in relation to others and our material existence. Computer-mediated interactions create a dispersed identity comprised of the body and various abilities and capabilities (Guga, 2015). The tools we use structure our human identity, or in other words, mankind is by nature technological, as posited by McLuhan. Every invention or technology serves to extend the physical body, and every such extension necessitates new relationships or a new balance with other organs and extensions of the body (Kiran & Verbeek, 2010). Information technologies (IT) are technologies people employ as end users to create, store and transmit information. Many of today’s information technologies enable the expansion of the self by releasing people from the limits of time and space (Carter, 2015). IT enable constant updating of one’s feed. This ability, called micro-coordination, allows flexibility and reduces the accuracy and preparation time necessary to set schedules. When IT that provide these abilities are lacking, one’s access to others is curtailed and the amount of time needed to respond and manage social relations increases. As a result, people experience this lack as a contraction of the self. IT identity, according to Carter, is defined as the extent to which a person perceives the use of IT as an integral part of her own sense of self.

In addition to technology, the amount of information that people accumulate on their computers and digital devices continues to grow over time. The information accumulated is equivalent to thousands of kilograms of paper, and serves as external memory to the human brain. Thus, people may feel about lost information as if they were missing something from their brain (Case, 2010). One prominent example of the merging between people and digital devices is the smartphone. Because people cannot keep up with the flow of information, they constantly check their phones. Many already feel that their smartphone is a part of themselves. They touch it every few minutes and experience phantom pains when they forget it at home (Case, 2010; Clayton, Leshner, & Almond, 2015; Emanuel, et al., 2015; Kruger & Djerf, 2016).

A person’s property is another important component of self-expansion. The more mental energy one invests in an object, the more meaning is attached to it. The object becomes important and the attachment to it stronger (Levy, 2007). According to Sartre, our only reason for wanting to possess something is to intensify our sense of self and the only way to know who we are is by examining what we possess (Sartre, in Belk, 1988). People become attached to and identify with their possessions and expand their definition of identity by perceiving possessions as a continuation of themselves (Belk, 1988; Kleine & Baker, 2004). Beyond monetary value, possessions have value that stems from their ability to symbolize important aspects of self-identity, such as successes, key relationships, and things that a person perceives as meaningful. When property serves this symbolic purpose, it becomes part of the expanded self and transforms from something that is considered “mine” into something that is considered “me.” Therefore, loss of possessions causes not only grief but also a sense of harm to one’s self-identity. When an identity symbol is lost or destroyed, part of the self is destroyed and this might even be seen as a symbolic form of death, leading to strong negative reactions. The more the property is symbolically representative of the self, the stronger the emotional response when it is lost (Belk, 1988; Ferraro, Escalas, & Bettman, 2011).

The same holds true for a digital item. A personal record, for example, is a means of proving a person’s identity, remembering his or her life, actions, experiences and interactions with others (Belk, 2013; Cushing, 2013). Interactions between people and their digital items of information are diverse. People keep the items for reuse, as reminders, for reinforcing self-esteem, for filling emotional needs, and as evidence of past work and creations (Cushing, 2013).

The collection of personal information, as well as group/organizational information is a key component of human-technology relationships in the digital world. Collection is a basic human instinct. People have an urge to create personal records that serve as traces of their existence. Checks, receipts, birth certificates, diaries and other documents help us ensure our place in the world. Losing a document thus translates into losing one’s memory and identity. Without the facts that exist in the documents we lose our anchor, self-confidence and connection to other people in society (Cox, 2008). Since the development of a collection requires intention, investment, time and energy, it is natural for the collection to be viewed as part of the self, more so than individual items within it (Belk, 1988). The personal information collected is, in fact, digital property comprised of digital items or objects the individual identifies as one’s own. Such items include email messages, Facebook posts, text messages, digital photos, scanned documents and more. These digital items are intangible and can be accessed using technological devices such as computers, smart phones, media players, and the like. Various types of items represent the identity of different people, but all are agreed that representation of one’s identity is a characteristic of the items they have decided to keep. People keep items to create their heritage, share knowledge and form their identity, and they distinguish digital possessions from other digital items through certain qualities they ascribe to their items, such as providing **evidence** of their identity, representing **their identity** through their areas of interest or mood, identification of items as having **value** for them, and the **sense of control** expressed in the ability of the digital property owners to access it whenever they wish to (Cushing, 2013).

One of the key places where people are required to collect, organize, and maintain their knowledge for repeated use, is at work (Jones, 2007). The employee has to manage and organize his information so as to have access to it when needed, and as a reminder of tasks that must be carried out (Barreau, 2006).

Employees make extensive use of email in their work. They use it for planning, organizing and disseminating their work, and describe it as a vital tool they could not function without. Email has evolved over time in the organizational context. It is a simple, familiar and efficient tool that provides a supporting environment for nearly all services that users need for managing their digital collection. It has a task manager, a system for managing documents, lists of contacts, an alert system and a calendar (Bellotti, Ducheneaut, Howard and Smith, 2003; Bergman and Whittaker, 2016; Ducheneaut and Bellotti, 2001; Massey et al., 2014). Computer users spend most of their working day engaged by email. Large numbers of messages and attached files arrive through it and it draws the majority of one’s attention. One’s email inbox serves as a space for items for handling, reading, ongoing correspondence, and it serves to remind one of outstanding tasks (Whittaker and Sinder, 1996). Although the fundamental purpose of email is organizational communications, over time one’s email box also becomes a personal archive. Decisions about which information to keep and how to organize it depend on that information’s value to the employee (Alberts, 2013).

The main reason people manage their personal digital information collection is to ensure they have access to it later when they want or need it. Employees execute countless steps to save, sort, and organize their information. They build a folder tree, mark important messages with stars or flags, create reminders for themselves, compile lists of contacts, and trust that when necessary, the information will be available and the labor invested in its organization will facilitate their access to it (Grevet, Choi, Kumar and Gilbert, 2014; McMurtry, 2014). So what happens when information gets lost? How might employees feel if it is inaccessible?

Loss is defined as an experience that takes away something of personal value (Whiting, 1986, in D’Andrea, 1992). It might be the loss of someone close or the loss of a favorite object, but what about our information? In the digital age, the probability that a document will be lost forever with no copies is small, but anyone who once used 5.25-inch diskettes, saved their documents in software that no longer exists, or visited a website only to receive a message that the site was no longer available, are aware of the fact that even documents and other digital items can disappear. They might, therefore, want to create their own copies and organize them in the digital collection they have created (Cox, 2008).

The literature on loss of information in organizations deals mainly with preventing the loss of information through actions aimed at creating information security (e.g., Whitman, 2003; Dervin, Kruger and Steyn, 2007) and backup systems (e.g., Chervenak, Vellanki and Kurmas, 1998; Morris and Truskowski, 2003), the preservation of digital information (Addis, Wright and Miller, 2009; Brunsmann, Wilkes, Schlageter and Hemmje, 2012), or organizational information policy (e.g., Bello Armarego and Murray, 2015; Raymond, 2013). However, it lacks reference to the perceptions of employees regarding the loss of their information.

**The purpose of this study** was to examine employee perceptions regarding loss of information. What was the significance for them of the information they kept and used, and how did they feel about the possibility of losing it?

**Methodology**

In a mid-sized organization in Israel, a rare malfunction that occurred in 2018 erased the information that was saved in the Outlook software. The attempt to recover the information from backups took several days. This mishap was an excellent opportunity to examine the employees’ attitudes towards the loss of information. In the days following the malfunction, semi-structured interviews were conducted with 15 employees at various ranks and in various positions in the organization. Twelve of the 15 employees were women and three were men. Two of the interviewees were computer support personnel. The employees were asked to describe their feelings when they discovered the problem and were asked how they feel about the possibility of losing the information saved in Outlook. Additional questions addressed their job performance during the days when their information was inaccessible and the way they dealt with the problems created by the loss of information and the lack of resources necessary for their work.

One of the problems that can arise in research based on interviews is a bias stemming from seeking social approval or the need to explain feelings in words. Using metaphors is an effective means of reaching beyond the limits of employees’ explicit knowledge in order to understand their authentic, personal perceptions. Metaphors express people’s unconscious reaction to certain issues. They enrich the interpretation of the finding and enable a better understanding of perceptions and approaches that are difficult to discern in straightforward narrative statements (Bullough 1999; Sillman and Dana, 2001; Neuman and Gutterman, 2018). Therefore, in addition to the above-mentioned questions, the employees were also asked to describe their sense of loss of information metaphorically: “Losing information is like...” The incident was fresh in their minds and traumatic, so employees recalled the experience and their feelings about it well. The interviews lasted between half an hour and three quarters of an hour. The interviews were recorded, transcribed and analyzed in order to extract key themes.

**Findings**

When interviewees were asked to complete the sentence: “Losing information is like...” many used powerful images related to bodily organs or limbs, life and self-identity. “It’s like losing myself. Losing me,” said Rachel, the organization’s purchasing manager. “It’s like losing a hand. You can’t do anything. If you have no hands, you can’t do anything,” described Orit, a human resources coordinator. The same imagery was repeated by Tamar, a training coordinator: “It’s like losing yourself. It’s part of you. Maybe it’s an exaggeration, but at that moment I felt like I was losing my hand ... Once I thought I lost my phone, and then I also felt like part of my life has disappeared.” “Losing information is like… losing your life. Yes. Because we live on information, we rely on it and develop and examine and learn everything through the information,” explained Hannah, a secretary in the human resources department.

These images reflect the strong feelings and powerful emotions of employees about the loss of their information. They described their information as part of themselves; of their lives, of their identity, of their bodies. The moment they learned of the malfunction that erased their information is described by the employees in terms such as shock, anxiety, loss of control and stress. They described dealing with the aftermath in terms such as frustration and uncertainty. Some spoke of Outlook as a substitute for their memory. Consequently, the loss of information and damage to organizational and extra-organizational communications threatened their professional identity and status.

**Emotional responses to loss of information**

The employees were asked to talk about the moment of discovery. They used terms like shock and panic to describe their feelings. Dina, an organizational consultant, recounted: “At first there was a kind of panic, where did all my things disappear to?” Sarah, secretary of the training department, spoke about feeling stress at the moment she understood that it was not certain that the IT support personnel would be able to solve the problem: “We know that there is a backup and we thought it [the information] would come back. They solved it at first, and then the malfunction recurred and they said they were working on it, but it wasn’t certain any more. It was stressful.” Galit, a secretary at the marketing department, thought about the tasks she had to do and that she couldn’t know what they were: “It stressed me out a little because all of my tasks are in Outlook.” Esti, an office manager in the organization, was more troubled by the meetings whose times had disappeared: “All the meetings disappeared, and I was hysterical because it was the director’s meetings ... I don’t think anyone was more hysterical than I was when I discovered it.” The emotional responses, such as embarrassment, stress and shock, reflect the employees’ dependence on technology, as can be understood from the words of Tamar, the training coordinator: “Helplessness, in a certain sense, at least in the beginning. Real helplessness. I felt how dependent I was on technology and how this could really hold me up at work.” Gila, the project coordinator, also used the term “helpless” to describe her emotions: “When I got to work on Sunday, I saw there was nothing, and then it was unpleasant. Helplessness.” Tamar, the training coordinator, spoke of the moment of discovery: “At that moment, for the first second, everything became black and it took me time to get myself together.”

The members of the IT support staff that were interviewed described the employees’ reactions as shock: “There were severe reactions. There were people who were stunned ... there were people who couldn’t fathom that such a thing had happened” (Doron). Avi tried to explain the reason for the employees’ emotions: “There were people who were shocked. They had put everything there [on Outlook], simply everything; personal folders and other folders. A person just dumps his whole life there. Personal stuff, work, all together.”

After a while, the initial feelings of shock and helplessness became feelings of frustration because of the difficulty of carrying out their work. Employees spoke of discomfort, Sisyphean labor, and fears created by a loss of confidence in the system. ‘*Basa’* is a colloquialism borrowed from spoken Arabic that expresses frustration, disappointment, depression. Hannah, a secretary, and Tamar, the training coordinator, used the word “*meva’es*” – it sucks: “It sucks, really.” Tamar spoke of the frustration she felt because of the missing folders: “I had folders that were related to my professional field, and all the correspondence and questions I asked and the answers I received just disappeared, and then when I wanted to continue working, it kind of held me up, because I didn’t want to ask the same question twice. It was very frustrating at work,” she said. Orit, the human resources coordinator, described the trouble caused by the loss of the information in her diary, which also served to remind her of personal matters: “Listen, my whole life is in the diary and emails. It also contains all kinds of passwords for bank applications, etc. And once the information is lost, I am left without that information. Doctors’ appointments, for example, I had to reconstruct.” Dina, an organizational consultant, also referred to the need to reconstruct all sorts of personal appointments that disappeared from her diary: “I had to go to the clinic and ask them to send me the appointments again. It’s very frustrating.” Avi, an IT support staff, expressed the fear that arose as a result of the malfunction: “It’s this feeling that in an instant everything can be erased.”

One of the problems the employees discussed was their sense of uncertainty: Uncertainty about the source of the problem, its extent and how it was being handled. Rachel, the purchasing supervisor, spoke of her uncertainty about the extent of the problem: “... and then I realized that it was becoming something more serious, and then you start to thinking, OK, what have I got there? What are the things that are important to keep?” Avi, from IT support, tried to explain his feelings of uncertainty by means of an image:

It’s like you’re standing on the edge of an abyss and you don’t know what to do. Trying to fathom the depth of the abyss and to what extent your material is lost. If it’s two meters then you’ll deal with it, but if it’s much more, then it’s a much more complicated story. You don’t know. It takes time to digest what you really were keeping there.

**Outlook as a substitute for memory**

Why were the employees’ responses to the loss of their information so emotionally fraught? In the digital age, people rely less and less on their memories and use technology for easy access to the details and information they need. Outlook is used by employees as a diary, calendar, contacts book and archive. They organize the information and trust that they can access it easily and quickly when needed. When the malfunction occurred, the workers suddenly felt how dependent they were on the technology: “The feeling that you have such a dependence on the email, it runs your life and you rely on your memory less” (Rachel, the purchasing manager). Orit, the human resources coordinator, noted that she keeps passwords using Google software and that the mishap caused her to think about what might happen if there were also a malfunction there or with her folder system: “All the passwords I need are backed up by Google, but now I experience a lot of anxieties about what could happen if the information on Google got lost. It really makes me anxious. Here, now you see, now I’m thinking that the folders can also be lost.” Rachel, the purchasing supervisor, was also preoccupied with the subject of passwords: “I went home, and I kept checking on the phone what was going on and if I could log in and each time I saw that I couldn’t, and I started to get stressed... The first thing that was very stressful was the issue of all my passwords being there. That’s what worried me the most,” she said.

The Outlook calendar is used to record and remind one of work meetings and tasks. The employees were confused. They did not know what tasks awaited them and what meetings to attend. For example, Tamar, the training supervisor, said, “at the beginning of the week or the week before, I log in to see the situation with trainings – whether or not there is training scheduled - and I did not have it, which is very significant.” Gila, the project coordinator, referred to email as serving as a reminder in addition to the calendar:

Helpless. The calendar is gone and I don’t know who I’m supposed to meet and when, and then I saw that the mail was gone too, and I cannot remember what I wrote to who, and no one in the office has mail and we do not know what has gone out and who received it, and can’t remember anything that was there. It’s at the level of embarrassing, embarrassing.

Galit, a marketing department secretary, spoke of being afraid of forgetting the tasks that had to be done: “When I got in and did not have email, I said, ‘Wow, I have no work.’ There was fear of missing work, of forgetting what I had to do.”

**Information as part of professional identity and status**

Employees felt that the loss of their memory could impact their professional identity. Employees usually would like to be perceived as responsible and professional and to enjoy their colleagues’, clients’ and supervisors’ esteem. The digital collection employees amass in the course of their work constitutes a part of their personal identity, and the tasks they perform contribute to their professional standing. As a result of the malfunction that caused the information to vanish from Outlook, employees lacked the resources necessary to carry out their work and the documentation testifying to the completion of tasks, and they experienced difficulties communicating with colleagues and customers within the organization and outside of it. All of this made employees experience the feeling that their professional status and identity were threatened. Rachel, the purchasing supervisor, treated work-related information as particularly important to her identity: “Wow, it seems to me the most disturbing thing, especially in the area having to do with work. It’s critical. Worrisome. Destructive. It seems unreasonable to have to deal with it… It’s like personal identity,” she said. Esti, the office manager, also referred to the difference between responsibilities at home and work responsibilities: “I’m not sure I would have been afraid for my private matters as much as for the work here. I have a responsibility here, why didn’t I file?” Sarah, who works as a secretary in the training department, commented on the importance of the documents she saved: “It was hard. I said, ‘Wow, I have important documents that I can use to prove anything. This is something I need to have accessible.’”

Other employees spoke of feeling frustration because they could not do what they had to due to a lack of resources. Their contacts address book, for example, was empty. The internal addresses of employees are structured into the organizational Outlook, but access was not convenient. Normally, when one begins typing in the name of someone with whom one has already corresponded in the past, the address pops up and auto-completes. After the malfunction, the address book had to be searched again. External addresses that were saved in the contact list were gone and could not be retrieved. Orit, the human resources coordinator, spoke about the impact on email communication: “I said, oh dear. How will I work like this? I couldn’t send emails, I couldn’t even check the phone book.” The loss of one’s contacts made group messaging difficult as well. Nir, the organization’s spokesman, discussed the difficulty of carrying out his work under such conditions:

Outlook is a central tool in the sense that today intra-organizational and extra-organizational communications is managed by email. I hardly answer people over the phone. Once you manage all your communication via email, including the contacts and the calendar where you make appointments, then when it’s down, everything is lost. You’re naked.

Sarah, the training department secretary, recounted the confusion she felt when she discovered that her contacts list had also disappeared from her phone: “All the contacts I had disappeared. It happened at night and then when I wanted a phone number, there was nothing. I didn’t make the connection [with the problem in Outlook], and I started to reconstruct through iTunes, and it was impossible, and I thought it was my mistake. All the numbers were gone.”

Other than the missing contacts, there were additional problems with communication within and outside the organization that made the employees uncomfortable. Shula, a collections coordinator, spoke of the desire to provide efficient service to callers: “There’s nothing critical, it’s not life and death, but we like to respond to customers, if not today, then the next morning ... Some people said to me, ‘I sent you an email and you didn’t answer.’”

One of the IT support staff spoke about people’s feelings in response to the problem:

People were initially at a loss: “Well, I’m not working, I don’t know what I should do.” One person was really in a panic and some really whined ... “What happened? Where is all my stuff? I’m getting my things and going home.” There were those who whined: “Why did this happen to me and why do I deserve this?” (Doron)

Esti, the office manager, spoke of being unable to meet the expectations of colleagues outside the organization: “It was traumatic for me, because we receive very sensitive and urgent materials that need to be responded to... It drove me crazy that there might be something I needed to respond to.” She also referred to meetings that had been scheduled: “When a person has to be at an outside meeting and he doesn’t know about it, it’s a real problem, and they (IT support) could not solve it,” she said. Gila, the projects coordinator, raised the problem of meetings that were supposed to take place within the organization, but employees did not know about them: “The calendar is gone and I didn’t know who I was supposed to meet ... It’s not that I felt wrong personally, because it was not my fault, but I was facing people I had invited. I had a responsibility towards the managers, and other people too, who didn’t come to the meeting.” And Dina, an organizational consultant, spoke of the relief she felt because everyone had lost their calendars, meaning they would understand why other people didn’t make the meeting. “First of all, it was some comfort that everyone was in the same boat. For example, if I didn’t make it to a meeting, he didn’t have the meeting written down either, so he didn’t show up either. And everyone understood that there was a problem.”

Another frustration stemmed from the inefficiency in doing work. Noa, a diagnostician, recounted how employees in her department were forced to work as a result of the mishap: “We went back to work like we used to. Going through all the forms and handling them manually ... It was a very intensive week of Sisyphean labor.” Nir, the organization’s spokesman, used a simile to describe the difficulty: “There used to be tools in the toolbox and now you are missing a hammer, missing a screwdriver, and you cannot complete the work properly with the required efficiency. I can bang with the pliers instead of the hammer, but it’s crooked. It requires more time and effort,” he said.

In summary, the failure of the Outlook software, which caused the loss of information, engendered in the employees feelings of lack of control, helplessness and uncertainty. The lack of resources to carry out their work and the disruption of communications with other employees and with customers inside and outside the organization damaged their professional identity and status. The interviewees had developed a dependence on Outlook tools as a substitute for their memory and used metaphors that equated the loss of information to the loss of a limb or life itself.

**Discussion**

The theory of the extended self holds that a person’s property consciously or unconsciously, intentionally or unintentionally, can become an extension of oneself (Clayton et al., 2015). Studies on expansion of the self are concerned with the way in which possessions become a continuation of the body, increasing its strength and speed (Brey, 2000; McLuhan, 1964), improving its abilities, endowing it with greater control (Downey, Dumit, and Williams, 1995; Verbeek, 2008), freeing it from the bonds of time and space (Guga, 2015; Mazis, 2008), establishing one’s self-worth (Belke, 1988; Kleine and Baker, 2004) and becoming a symbolic expression of a person’s identity (Ferraro et al., 2011). Digital property, like other property, consists of digital items or objects that the individual recognizes his or her own. Deciding what to keep and include in one’s collection of possessions requires intent, investment, time and energy, and thus, it is natural that the collection is perceived as part of the self, more so than its individual items, and the development of the collection is part of the construction its owner’s self-definition (Belk, 1988).

Outlook software is a technological tool used to manage the employee’s personal information in the organization. The information collected in Outlook by employees during their years of work can be viewed as their digital property. From the interviews with employees in the organization where a malfunction caused the information saved in the Outlook software to disappear, it was possible to learn about a powerful emotional connection and even dependence of employees on their information. Their comparison of losing information to the loss of a limb or loss of life is a strong example of the theoretical arguments about technology as an extension of the body (Brey, 2000; McLuhan, 1964; Verbeek, 2008). The employees viewed their information as an inseparable part of themselves; metaphors that equate loss of information to loss of limb, identity, and life point to the perception of loss as a form of death, as described by Ferraro et al. (2011).

In addition to the metaphors through which information was compared to bodily limbs or organs and to life itself, the employees recounted experiencing powerful feelings of panic and shock during the discovery of the malfunction. A critical event is defined as a sudden, unexpected event that has an emotional effect that challenges the usual, effective coping skills of a person or group. Such an event causes significant psychological distress in normally healthy people (Caine and Ter-Bagdasarian, 2003). It is thus possible to understand the employees’ emotions during the discovery of the malfunction. The event was unexpected and affected employees’ work routines and communication both inside and outside the organization. The employees lost the correspondence that enabled them to keep track of the tasks to be carried out, they did not know what appointments to expect, and they had no contact information. Many of the interviewees used the term “helpless” to describe the feelings caused by the malfunction. Outlook had served as a substitute or tool to support the employees’ memory, and when the information disappeared, they experienced their dependence on technology strongly. Another reason for panic and confusion was the blurring of boundaries between home and work. Employee calendars included personal events such as doctors’ appointments that might have been missed; contacts in Outlook are often synchronized with personal contacts and all of these disappeared from their smartphones; the employees also spoke of using their work Outlook program for personal correspondence. The seepage of the malfunction into personal life intensified the fear of loss of information.

The employees also experienced uncertainty about the situation and lack of control over it. Control is a person’s belief in his or her ability to influence the environment or change it according to one’s will. A person experiences a sense of control when he believes that he can achieve his desired result through deliberate action on his part (Greenberger and Strasser, 1986). One of the emotions leading to a sense of loss of control is uncertainty (Milliken, 1987). It was not clear to the employees what caused the malfunction and in the period immediately after the incident, they were unable to estimate the extent of the damages. The malfunction caused further problems in accessing information via personal phones, and employees did not always link the two issues. Backing up information in the organization is the responsibility of the IT support staff, and thus, even if the workers had been aware that the malfunction might occur, their ability to prepare for it was nominal.

The main reason people manage their personal collection of digital information is to have access to it later, when they want or need it. Developing a collection requires time and energy. Employees invest cognitive effort in selecting, sorting, and distributing information to folders. Over the course of time, email folders become personal archives, and many employees, especially veteran ones, accumulate documents over the years. They create in their Outlook software a hierarchy of folders, where they keep documents that attest to work accomplished and various correspondence that can be used when needed. All the same, the issue of losing one’s personal folders archive was only mentioned by a minority of the interviewees. Most were more troubled by other issues, such as the loss of their calendar, reminders and contacts. In a recent article from 2018, Belk has somewhat altered his position on digital possession, finding evidence that digital collections such as music and pictures do not engender the same level of satisfaction in their owners as physical collections. People have the sense that these collections are temporary and can be lost in the event of a hardware or software crash. Therefore, despite the similarities in the ownership patterns, “you are what you own” is transformed when it comes to digital property into “you are what you have access to” (Belk, 2018.) This approach may explain the lower priority assigned by interviewees to their folders collection in comparison to Outlook’s communications and calendar applications. Another possible explanation is their belief that the information could be recovered and that the loss was temporary, in which case the information in the folders is important but not urgent and not necessarily vital to day-to-day work. The things that really disturbed the employees were their inability to complete their tasks properly, the fear of missed appointments and the loss of their contacts. These problems are related to the identity of the employees and to their professional status. Carter (2015) discusses the fact that today’s information technologies liberate people from time and space constraints by allowing schedules to be revised up until the last minute and reducing the specificity required when trying to set appointments long in advance. This flexibility in social relations expands the self, and lack of these resources leads immediately to a contraction of the self. The disruption of access to the Outlook calendar and contacts caused these problems precisely. Moreover, the employees attributed particular importance to their work-related information as opposed to their personal information, whose loss may cause grief, but does not include organizational responsibility.

Following their initial reaction, the employees recovered and found ways of dealing with the situation. According to the reports by the employees and the technical support staff, the organization and its employees did not suffer any financial or other major damages, and of course, no lives were lost. As one employee noted: “And then at some point I thought that, OK, it sucks, but it’s not being in the hospital in intensive care, no one is going to die from it” (Gila). Within a few days, data recovery was executed and the information lost was almost completely restored. Furthermore, the information that was temporarily lost was mostly workplace-related and the malfunction was not the fault of the employees. The intensity of the emotions expressed and the metaphors used may be attributed to the fact that, beyond the perception of technological devices and aids as a extensions of the body, the employees view the information itself as part of their bodies and their identities, and thus, they experience the loss of information as an injury to the integrity of their bodies and identities. The information that people collect is stored in external devices and applications as a supplement to human memory. When information is lost, people can feel as if something in their brain is missing, as argued by the cyborg anthropologist Amber Case (2010).

**The significance of the research**

Theories of self-expansion treat possessions, tools, and technologies as a continuation of the physical and mental body (Belk, 1988, 2018; Brey, 2000; Clayton et al., 2015; Downey et al., 1995; Ferraro et al., 2011; McLuhan, 1964; Sheth and Solomon, 2014; Verbeek, 2008). Studies from the last decade have discussed the role of the smartphone in people’s lives and the way it is perceived as part of their bodies (Case, 2010; Clayton et al., 2015; Emanuel, et al., 2015; Kruger and Djerf, 2016). This study contributes insights to the theoretical discussion regarding people’s feelings about information itself. The fact that the research was carried out among employees of an organization also contributes to the practical discussion on the importance of information security in organizations. In addition to the importance of information security practices in safeguarding the organization’s profits, protecting against intrusions, and preventing claims of privacy violations, one can also consider employees’ emotions regarding loss of information and the potential harm to their trust in the company’s information systems.

**Limitations of the study**:

The malfunction at the organization was a rare opportunity to examine the perceptions of employees regarding the loss of their information, but despite the attempt to conduct interviews close to the event, some of the interviews took place later, after the information was recovered. Many of the employees believed that the information was backed up and that a return to normal was only a matter of time. This fact could have biased their perceptions of the severity of the data loss. A further limitation was that senior employees in the organization use a different software to manage their email and thus, their perceptions of the situation could not be evaluated. Despite these limitations, the strong feelings articulated by employees about losing their information even after the information was recovered may be further proof of the intensity of the emotions and the perception of information as part of themselves.

**Recommendations for future study:**

This study indicates that employees perceive the information they have maintained as a very important component of their professional identity and status. Thus, it will be of interest to examine the patterns of information management and preservation by employees in organizations. What do they personally do to prevent loss of information? What items are particularly important to them? Is there a clear and well-known information policy in various organizations, and is it followed?
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