Notes and Collation of a New Manuscript Witness of the *Appendix Barberino-Vaticana*

Abstract:

This article looks at the handwritten epigrams on a copy of the first Aldine edition of the *Planudean Anthology* (1503) and offers a complete collation of a new witness to the *Appendix Barberino-Vaticana*, which is bound to it and seems to be closer to the Palatine manuscript (*Palatinus Heidelbergensis* gr. 23 + *Parisinus Suppl*. Gr. 384) than do all three previously known witnesses.
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The *Appendix Barberino-Vaticana* (ABV) has come down to us through three manuscripts: *Parisinus Suppl. gr*. 1199, ff. 14-20, *Vaticanus Barberinus gr*. 123, pp. 589-603, and *Vaticanus gr*. 240, ff. 68-76.[[1]](#footnote-1) The sole critical edition, that of L. Sternbach, *Anthologiae Planudeae Appendix Barberino-Vaticana* (Leipzig: Teubner, 1890), was compiled with no attention to the Paris manuscript.[[2]](#footnote-2)

To these witnesses we should now add a manuscript that is bound to the end of a copy of Aldo Manuzio’s first edition of the *Anthologia Planudea*, held at the Staats- und Stadtbibliothek Augsburg under shelf mark LG 98, *Florilegium diuersorum epigrammatum in septem libros* (Venice: apud Aldum Manutium, 1503),[[3]](#footnote-3) a volume that I identified in a previous article as no. 65 in the inventory of the library of the Italian humanist, historian, and archaeologist Fulvio Orsini (1529-1600).[[4]](#footnote-4) There this item is described as *Epigrammatario d’Aldo, con un quinterno d’Epigrammi non impressi, in 8º*.[[5]](#footnote-6) Declared missing in the twentieth century,[[6]](#footnote-8) it most probably was removed from the Vatican Library during the Napoleonic looting of art and other objects of value in the late 18th and early 19th century, and never returned.[[7]](#footnote-9) It does not appear in the 17th-century catalogues of the library of Augsburg.[[8]](#footnote-10)

This copy has no front and final pages, which is probably why its connection to the Vatican Library has gone unnoticed. Both have been conveniently replaced by photocopies of the equivalent pages of a volume of the same edition held at the library of the Georg-August-Universität Göttingen.[[9]](#footnote-11)

The *Ἐπιδιόρθωσις* or *erratum* with the corrections and epigrams not attested in this edition and which Manuzio added at the end, in ff. MMviiv-NNixv, is likewise missing, though its beginning, semi-erased, appears on f. 286v.

What makes this volume especially relevant, however, is that it includes a quire of eight pages (ff. 274-281) bound at the end, between ff. Μii y Μiii, that contains fifty-five handwritten epigrams. As forty-five of these appear in a different order in the well-known ABV, the volume in Augsburg constitutes a new and hitherto neglected witness to this famous anthology of Greek erotic epigrams, which amount to the following:[[10]](#footnote-12) *AP* 5.18, 5.35, 5.37, 5.50, 5.601-4, 5.77, 5.252, 5.255.1-6 y 13-17, 5.258, 5.259, 5.272, 5.275, 5.285, 5.289, 5.294, 5.128, 5.271\*, 5.309\*, 12.173.1-3, CArG. XVIII.2, 91.25, 5.31, 5.242, 5.243.1-6, 5.244.1-4, 5.246, 5.96, 12.74\*, 12.76, 12.59, 12.86, 5.99, 5.158, 5.172, 5.186, 5.198.5-6\*, 12.79, 12.1, 12.2, 12.4, 12.189\*, 12.196, 12.220\*, 12.75, 12.77, 12.17, 12.45\*, 12.237.3-4, 12.20, 12.65, 12.70\*, 12.67\*, 12.69, 9.361, *Homeric cento* (ABV 7), and 9.381.

The collation of these epigrams published below makes it possible for us to confirm that the text of A is closer to *Palatinus Heidelbergensis* gr. 23 + *Parisinus Suppl.* gr. 384 (P),[[11]](#footnote-13) the main source of our knowledge of ancient Greek epigrams, than it is to the other witnesses to the ABV, and that the text of A neither depends on any of the other witnesses, nor do these depend on it, seeing that A is an independent witness to a common source.[[12]](#footnote-14)

As for the date of the annotations, which include not only the added epigrams, but also the numerous corrections to the text,[[13]](#footnote-15) these must have been copied in the first half of the 16th century since they coincide *uerbatim* with the notes in the manuscript *Vaticanus* gr. 1169, ff. 67-91, copied by the Sienese scholar Lattanzio Tolomei (ca. 1500-1543), which places A in Rome before 1543.[[14]](#footnote-16) These notes are also present in *Vaticanus* gr. 1416, ff. 236-270v, an apograph of the previous onetranscribed by the aforementioned Fulvio Orsini.[[15]](#footnote-17) Even the numbers jotted next to some notes in A are copied—numbers that Mioni 1975, 300, n. 8 identifies with the approximate page numbers of Lascaris’ *editio princeps*, but which probably correspond to the folia of the manuscript that the annotator was using as an antigraph (the numbers oscillate between 3 and 276).

In addition to the epigrams collected in the final quire, the anonymous annotator enriched his copy here and there by adding epigrams or missing lines in the margins.[[16]](#footnote-18) Janus Lascaris (1445-1534) omitted these in his previous edition of the *Planudean Anthology* (*Anthologia epigrammatum graecorum Planudis rhetoris* (Florence: per Laurentium Francisci de Alopa, 1494), and thus they are also absent from Aldo’s first edition. However, as we shall see, not all these epigrams are equally relevant when it comes to to the text’s transmission.

Some of the epigrams were included by Maximus Planudes (1260-1330) in his *Anthology*,[[17]](#footnote-19) but for reasons unknown Lascaris and the subsequent editors omitted them, at least until the edition published by Aldo Manuzio’s heirs (1521). All of them but one (*AP* 6.206 [Pl, f. 64; A, f. 239v-240] [*add. post AP* 6.174])[[18]](#footnote-20) are quoted in the *Ἐπιδιόρθωσις*, where they appear with indications as to where they should be inserted. In each case, the annotator followed the text printed in the *Ἐπιδιόρθωσις*, even when it differed from Pl. We can imagine that the annotator got these from the copy of the *Ἐπιδιόρθωσις,* which he detached from his personal volume before throwing it away.[[19]](#footnote-21)

Other epigrams added to the margins are transcribed from secondary sources, such as Strabo’s *Geography*, Pausanias’ *Description of Greece*, Diogenes Laertius’ *Life of the Philosophers*, Photius’ *Library*, and the *Suda*.[[20]](#footnote-22) Works by all these authors had been printed by the mid-16th century (the *Suda* in 1499, Pausanias and Strabo’s works both in 1516, and Diogenes Laertius’ biographies in 1533),[[21]](#footnote-23) save Photius’ *Library*, which would have to wait until 1601, when it was edited in Augsburg by David Hoeschel (1556-1617).[[22]](#footnote-24) This work was nonetheless extremely popular in the 16th century as attested by numerous handwritten copies and *excerpta* dating from that time.[[23]](#footnote-25) Furthermore, the epigram quoted in A, *App. AP* 3.132, had already been published by Janus Lascaris at the end of Lucian’s *editio princeps*, and is also testified at the beginning of the *scholia recentiora* to Lucian.[[24]](#footnote-26)

The annotator even added one epigram copied from an inscription—*CIL* VI 1710 (*App. AP* 1.346 [f. 158v] [*add. post AP* 7.595])[[25]](#footnote-27)—that appeared on the base of a statue of the poet Claudius Claudianus (ca. 370-405) erected circa 401 in Trajan’s forum in Rome.[[26]](#footnote-28) The work is presumed to have been discovered between 1482 and 1489, but the annotator may have copied the text from a written source, since it was already circulating via manuscripts in epistolary communications among scholars of that period[[27]](#footnote-29) and was also included in several *syllogae* of epigrams and inscriptions, such as Girolamo Bologni’s *Antiquarii libri duo ad Iulium filium*, written prior to 1507,[[28]](#footnote-30) that were collected by the Croatian humanist Marcus Marulus (1450-1524) circa 1503-1510 in his *In epigrammata priscorum commentarius*.[[29]](#footnote-31)

There are also some epigramssuch as , which may have been transcribed from manuscripts to which the annotator had easy access, such as *Parisinus* gr. 1630, f. 76, which was most likely in Italy until the Greek manuscript dealer and occasional poet and copyist Antonius Eparcus (ca. 1491-1571) presented it along with other manuscripts to the French king, Francis I in 1540.[[30]](#footnote-32) In that same manuscript, he may have found *App. AP* 3.239 (f. 71v) on f. 77v, and *App. AP* 4.48 (ff. 67v-68) on ff. 189v-190.[[31]](#footnote-33)

A poem on the twelve months of the year (*inc*. Φέρω ταλάρους ὡρίων πεπλησμένους… ) is likewise testified in *Ambrosianus* G 32 sup., f. 106 (13th), and *Vaticanus gr.* 1908, f. 33 (16th) (ff. 70v-71), among other manuscripts,[[32]](#footnote-34) as is also what seems to be the incipit of a little known and undocumented epigram:[[33]](#footnote-35)

Ἀδριανοῦ Καίσαρος εἰς τὸν αὐτόν (= Ἀχιλλῆα)

Ὄλβιε Πελέος ὑιέ, τεῆς τάχα χειρὸς ἀέθλους

ἄλλος ἀνὴρ ῥέξειε. Τύχον δ’οὐκ ἔστι καμόντα

τοσσατίης σάλπιγγος ἀεὶ ζώοντος Ὅμηρου.

In addition, f. 242 contains some lines added to *AP* 16.387b-c-d that are absent from Pl (f. 65) and all editions of the *Greek Anthology*, both ancient and modern. The lines added are numbered on the left side in an order that conforms with that printed later by Leone Allaci (ca. 1586-1669) in his *Excerpta uaria Graecorum sophistarum, et rhetorum* (Rome: excudebat Mascardus, 1641), p. 398 except that Allaci does not include *AP* 16.387b, and 387c.1.

Finally, some of the epigrams copied in the margins of A have come down to us only through P. These are the ones really relevant to the history of their transmission since they come from an antigraph that is independent of both the Planudean tradition (Pl) and even P, since—as is also the case with the epigrams in A and testified in the ABV— those copied in A come from an anthology of epigrams that follows that of Constantinus Cephalas (active 9th century-10th century), and may perhaps have been one of the two used by Planudes for his own *Anthology*.[[34]](#footnote-36) Most probably they were copied from the antigraph of the common source of the three other manuscripts in the ABV.[[35]](#footnote-37)

In what follows I offer an exhaustive collation of (1) the last mentioned epigrams copied in the margins of A and attested only in P, but not dependent on it; (2) those copied in the margins of A and included in both P and the ABV manuscripts; and (3) those copied in the final quire of A, which agree with nearly 82% of the epigrams in the ABV.

Conspectvs siglorvm

*P* *Palatinus Heidelbergensis gr*. 23 + *Parisinus Suppl. Gr.* 484

*Pl* *Marcianus gr.* 481

*B* *Vaticanus Barberinus gr*. 123, pp. 589-603 (siglos XV ex. –XVI in.)

*V* *Vaticanus gr.* 240, ff. 68-77 (siglo XVI)

*K* *Parisinus suppl. Gr.* 1199, ff. 14-20 (siglo XV [segunda mitad])

J *codicis* P *partim librarius, alibi lemmatista*

c *codicis* P *corrector*

\*The superscripts 1 and 2 next to a sigil indicate the text of the first or second hand, as appropriate.

1. Collation of the epigrams transcribed in the margins only transmitted to us through P and A[[36]](#footnote-38)

9.256 (f. 13v) (*add. post AP* 9.3)

Ἀντιφάνους P A

3 καρποφόρος P: καρποφθόρος A *Salmasius* 6 πορθεῖ P: πόρθει A ǁ τούτους P: τούτον A: οὗτος A *infra lineam*: τούτου *Salmasius* ǁ γηρὰν ἀει μ’ ἔλεγεν P: γηρᾷν ἀεί μ’ ἔλεγεν A: γηραλέαν μ’ἔλεγεν A *infra lineam*

9.282 (f. 14v) (*add. post AP* 9.71)

Ἀντιπάτρου Μακενόνος P A

1 δάφνης P: Δάφνης A Reiske 4 δρεπτέσθω P: δρεπέσθω A 5 ὕπ’ P : ἀπ’ A

9.414 (f.15) (*add. post AP* 16.12)

Γεμίνου P A

9.251 (f. 26v) (*add. post AP* 9.372) Ἐυήνου γραμματικοῦ P A

3 ψήφοισι P: ψηφίῃ A 4 σιλφητὴν P: σίλφι, τὴν *sic* A: σίλφη, τὴν *Brunck*

9.227 (ff. 33v-34) (*add. post AP* 9.10)

Βιάνορος P A

1 ἅλμας P: ἅλμης A 2 ἰχθύων P1: ἰχθύα c: ἰχθΰα A 8 ἠντίασε P: ἠντίασεν A *Reiske*

9.415 (f. 46v-47) (*add. post AP* 7.637)

Ἀντιγίλου εἰς ναῦν ἑταιρικήν P A (ἐν ᾗ πόρναι… μετερχόμεναι *add*. J)

Lemma: εἰς ναῦν P : ἑταιρικήν, ἐν ᾗ πόρναι διεπέρων τὴν θάλασσαν τὴν κακὴν ἐμπορίαν μετερχόμεναι add. c: εἰς ναῦν ἡταιρικήν A

2 ἐναυτολόγει P1: ἐναυστολόγει c A 3 συνέπιξεν P1: συνέπηξεν c A ǁ λεύσει P [*ex* λεύσσει] : λεύσσει A *prima σ supra lineam* 5 ἑταιρείοις P [*ex* –ιος]: ἑταιροίειος *sic* A 6 φῦκος P : κῦφος A

9.415.7-8 (f. 47)

*ut aliud epigramma distinxit* A (*add. post AP* 9.415) Φιλίππου Θεσσαλονικέως εἰς τὸ αὐτό A

8 θαρραλέως P : θαρσαλέως A

11.438 (f. 96) Μενάνδρου P: *om.* A

9.273 (f. 150) (*add. post AP* 7.206)

τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Βιάνορος) P : Βιάνορος A

4 ἠέρος P: εἴαρος A ǁ ἐξεβολῶν P: ἰξεβολῶν A *Salmasius*

5.13.3 y 7-8 (f. 263v)

Φιλοδήμου P: τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Φιλοδήμου) Pl: *om.* A

3 *om*. Pl ǁ μαστῶν P: μαζῶν A 7-8 *om*. Pl 7 φλέγετ’ P : φεύγετ’ Α *Salmasius*

5.76.5-6 (f. 267)

τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Ῥουφῖνου) P: *om.* A

5-6 *om.* Pl 5 ῥυτῶ δες P: ῥυτῶδες A ǁ τὸ P2(*addidit manus recens*) A: *om*. P1

5.36.5-8 (f. 268)

τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Ῥουφῖνου) P: *om.* A

5-10 *om*. Pl 5 μηρῶν P: μηρὸς A 6 ῥόδων P: ῥόδον A ǁ πολλῷ P: πολιῷ A 7 Ῥοδοκλείης P: Χαρικλείης A (*emendat etiam* v. 1: Ῥοδόκλεια P : Χαρίκλεια A *in margine*)

5.60.5-6 (f. 268)

Ῥουφῖνου P *om.* A

5-6 *iunxerunt ad 5.36 ut versus 9-10* P A 6 ἠδύνατο c *Suda*: ἐδύνατο P: ἠδυνατο A

1. Collation of the epigrams copied in the margins of A, and present in P and the ABV manuscripts

11.108 (1/1) (f. 76v) (*add. post AP* 11.67)

1 τεττάρων P A B K: τεττέρων V 2 ἰσουμένων P A K: ἰσομένων B V

5.71 (54/56) (f. 79) (*add. post AP* 11.347)

τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Ῥουφίνου) P1: οἱ δὲ Πάλλαδα Ἀλεξανδρέως add. c: Παλλαδᾶ A B K V

4 λύσεται P: ῥύσεται A B K V

5.135.1-2\*, 5-6 (16/17) (f. 101v) (*add. post AP* 9.377)

ἄδηλον P B K V: Μαικίου A

1-4 *om*. Pl B K V 1 εὐτόρνευτε P: εὐτόρνωτε A 3-4 *om.* A 5 ὁπόταν P: ὅταν A K V: ὅνταν B

1. Collation of the epigrams of the final appendix (these are attested in P and ABV except when indicated with A \*, which signifies that they are absent from ABV)[[37]](#footnote-39)

5.18 (48/49) Ῥουφῖνου P B K V: τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Ῥουφῖνου) A

1 τὰς P A V: τίς B K ǁ ἐκλεγόμεσθα c A K : ἐκλεγώμεθα P1: ἐκλεγόμεθα B V 2 σπαταλοῖς A: σπατάλοις c : ἀπαταλοῖς P1: σπατάλων B K V ǁ τερπόμενοι P A1 B K V: πειθόμενοι A *supra lineam* 3 ταῖς P A: τοῖς B : τῆς K V 4 ἐσπομένη P1 B: ἑσπομένη c A1 K V : ἐσσομένη A *supra lineam* 5 ταῖς δὲ P K V A: τῆς δὲ Bǁ ἐτοῖμον P: ἔτοιμον B K: ἕτοιμον A V 6 habent P A: *om*. B K V ǁ σπατάλης A: σπατάλοις P ǁ οὐκαλεγιζόμενον P: οὐκ ἀλεγιζόμενον A: 7 Ἀχιλλέος P: ἀχιλλέος A: ἀχιλλέως B K V 8 ἀλόχου P A K V: ἀλόχον B ǁ Ἀνδρομάχην P B K V: Ἀνδρομάχης A

5.35 (13/14) (9-10 Pl) Ῥουφῖνου P: τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Ῥουφῖνου) A: Διονύσου V

1-8 *om*. Pl 1 αὐταὶ P : αὗται A B K V 2 ἀστεροπὴν P A: ἀστερόπην B K V 3 τροχαλοῖς σφραγιζομένη P: τροχαλοῖσι σφιγγομένη A K V: τροχαλοῖσι φθεγγομένη B 4 λευκὴ P *Suda* : λευκῇ A V: λευκῆ B K ǁ εὐαφίην P *Suda* : εὐαφίη A B K: εὐαφίῃ V 7 χαράσσετο P: χαράσσατο A B K V 8 αὐτομάτη P A K V: αὐτομάτι B 9 ταύτας P Pl A B K: ταύταις V ǁ ὁ2 P Pl A: *om.* B K V ǁ ἐθεήσατο P B K V: ἐθεάσατο Pl: ἐθεάσσατο A ǁ πυγὰς P A B K V: κούρας Pl

5.37 (19/20) τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Ῥουφῖνου) P A: Ῥουφῖνου B K V

1 παχεῖαν P: παχεῖην A K V: παχίην B 3 τῇ P V: τῆ B K: τὴν A ǁ σαρκῶν P: σαρκὸς A B K V 4 κέκτηται P A V: κέκταται B K

5.50 (49/50) Ἀδέσποτον P: Ῥουφῖνου B K V: τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Ῥουφῖνου) A

5.60.1-4 (14 [49] / 15 [51]) Ῥουφῖνου P: τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Ῥουφῖνου) A B K V

1 ἀργυρόπεζος P: ἀργυρόπεζα A B K V ǁ μαζῶν P A: μαζὸν A *supra lineam* B K V 2 γαλακτοπαγεῖ P Bb Kb Vb: γαλακτοπαγῆ A Va: γαλακτοπαγῇ Ba Ka 3 πυγαὶ c A: πηγαὶ P1 B K V 5-6 *om*. A B K V (*habent ut versus* *AP* 5.36.9-10 P A [f. 268])

5.77 (23/24) τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Ῥουφίνου) P A: ἄδηλον B K1: ἄδηλα Κ2 V

*Habet bis* B (ff. 593 *et* 595)

1 τοίην P A B K: τοίνυν V 3 *uersus 3 ante uersum 2* B K V

5.252 (37/38) Παύλου Σιλεντιαρίου P A B K V

2 ἐμπελάσει P B K V: ἐμπελάσῃ A *Reiske* ǁ γυίοις *om*. V 5 σιγῇ P A: σιγῆ B K V 6 κρυπτέον P: κρύπτεο A B K V

5.255.1-6, 13-17 (24/25) τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Παύλου Σιλεντιαρίου) P A: Παύλου B K V

Habet bis B (ff. 593 et 595)

3 ἀφειδέος A B K V: ἀφηδέος P1: ἀφ’ ἡδέος c 4 ἐς P A: εἰς B K V 5 ἀμφασίης P: ἀφασίης A B K V ǁ ὅσον P A K V: ὅσσον B 6 ἑσσάμενοι P: εἰσάμενοι A B K V 7-12 *om.* A B K V 13 ῥεῖά τις P A (*ex* τῆς) K V: ῥεῖ κτῆς B 16 ἅψεα P A: ὕψεα B K V 18 *om.* A B K V

5.258 (25/26) Pl (5-6) Παύλου Σιλεντιαρίου P: τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Παύλου Σιλεντιαρίου) A B K V

1-4 *om*. Pl 2 ἱμείρων P: ἱμείρω A B K V ǁ κορύμβοις P A K V: κορύμβας B 5 σὸν γὰρ P A B K V: ἦ σὸν Pl 5-6 εἴαρος… θερμότερον *om.* V

5.259 (20/21) τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Παύλου Σιλεντιαρίου) P A: Παύλου B K V

*Habet bis* B (ff. 593 *et* 594)

3 ἔσκυλται P: ἔσσυται A Bb K V: ἔσσεται Ba ǁ ἀμάρυγμα A (Heinsius): ἀμαρύγματα P B K V 5 μὲν P A B K: *om*. V 6 φέρεις P: φέρει A B K V ǁ ὑπερπέτεται P1: ὑπερπέταται c *Suda* A B K V 7 ἔχε P *Suda* A: ἔχει B K V

5.272.1-3, 5-6 (40/41) Παύλου Σιλεντιαρίου P : Παύλου B K V: τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Παύλου Σιλεντιαρίου) A

1 στόματι P A: στόματι *om*. B K V 2 λυσσώων P A *prima σ supra lineam*: λυσσάων B K V ǁ ἀργυφέην P A K V *in margine*: ἀργυφέρην V: ἀργυφαίην B 3 κάμνων P: κάμνω A B K V 4 *non habent* A B K V 5 Παφίῃ… Ἀθηνῃ P : Παφίη… Ἀθηνη A B K V ǁ ἄρ’ P : *om*. A B K V 6 μέσσος P A: *om*. B K V ǁ ἀμφοτεράων P: ἀμφοτέρων A B K V

5.275 (27/28) τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Παύλου Σιλεντιαρίου) P A : Παύλου B K V

1 Δειελινῷ P A K: δειελινῶ V: Δειελινά B ǁ μενεκράτης P: μενεκράτις c A K V: μανεκράτης B 2 περὶ P A : παρὰ B K V ǁ κροτάφους P A: κροτάφοις B K V 3 κέλευθον P: κελεύθου A B K V 4 ἥμισυ P A: ἥμυσι K V: ἥμισι B 7 ἀνύσομεν P: ἀνύσαμεν c A B K V: ἀνύσσαμεν *Brunck edd*.

5.285 (26/27) Ἀγαθίου σχολαστικοῦ P A : Ἀγαθίου B K V

1 εἰργομένη P Α K V: εἰγομένη B ǁ δῖα P Α K V: δι’ ἁ B 2 ἐξετάνυσσε P: ἐξετάνυσε Α B K V 3 ὀχετεγός P A: ὀχεταγός K V: ὀχετασγός B 4 εἵλκον P : ἕλκον A B K V 6 ποππύζων P: ποππύσδων A: ποππίσδων B V K 7 παραίφασις P A K V: παραίφρασις B

5.289 (39/40) Ἀγαθίου σχολαστικοῦ P A: Ἀγαθίου B K V: τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Ἀγαθίου σχολαστικοῦ) A

1 Ἡ P A: Ἁ B K V ǁ ἡμετέρους P A K V: ἡμετέροις B 2-3 ἀμβολίην… ἄγριον *om*. B 2 ἀμβολίην P A K: ἀμφιβολίην V 4 οὔτε P A: οὐδὲ B K V 6 ἀθρήσῃ c A: ἀθρήσει P1: ἀθρηση B K V 7 ἆ c: ἇ P : ὦ A B K V ǁ πρόσωπα P: πρόσωπον A B K V 9 τὸν *om*. A B K V 10 τηκεδόνος P A: τακεδόνος K V: κηδόνος B 11 ἀμφοτέροισι P: ἀμφοτέροις A K V: ἀμφοτέρην B ǁ χάρις… κούρην *om*. B 12 παθέειν P A B K: ποθέειν V

5.294 (42/43) Ἀγαθίου σχολαστικοῦ P A: Ἀγαθίου B K V: τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Ἀγαθίου σχολαστικοῦ) A

3 ἀνέμβατος P *Suda*: ἀνένδοτος A K V: ἀνεύδοντος B ǁ δὲ πυρὶ P: δὲ πύργος A B K V 6 νάματι P *Suda* A : νεύματι B K V 8 ἀδουπήτοις P A: ἀδουποίτοις B K V ǁ ἀειράμενος P A: ἀειρόμενος B K V 9 φρυκτοὺς P : φρικτοὺς A B K V 14 τῆς κούρης P A: κούρης B K V 20 ἀδηρίτῳ P A: ἀδακρύτῳ K V: ἀδακρύτω B

5.128 (17/18) τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Μάρκου Ἀργενταρίου): Μάρκου Ἀργενταρίου A: Μάρκου B K V

1 μαστῷ… μαστὸν P: μαστὸν… μαστῷ A B K V ǁ ἐπι P: ἐπὶ A B K V ǁ ἐρείσας P A: ἐρείσσας B K V

5.271.1-3, 5-6\* Μακηδονίου ῾Υπατικοῦ P: Μακηδονίου A

*Non habent* B K V

2 σειομένην P: κρουομένην A 4 *om.* A 6 ἐξέλιπεν P: ἐξέλιπε A ǁ συνόδου P: ξυνόδου A ǁ γινομένης P: γιγνομένης A

5.309\* Διοφάνους Μυριναίου P: Διοφάντου A

*Non habent* B K V

1 ψιλλιστὴς P: ψυλλιστὴς A

12.173.1-3 (11/11) Φιλοδήμου P A B K V

1 ἑταίρη P A K αί *supra lineam*: ἑτέρη B K V 2 δημώ η P : δημῴ ἡ B: δημώ ἡ A K V 3 Κύπρι P: Κύπρις A B K V 4-6 *non habent* A B K V

*CArG.* XVIII.2, 91.25 ([11b]/12)

1 οὐ πολλοὺς Αἴγυπτος ἐπὴν δὲ τέκῃ, μέγα τίκτει A B K V (B K V *ut uersus* 12.173.4; *ut uersus* 12.173.5 A)

5.31 (10/10) τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Ἀντιπάτρου Θεσσαλονικέως) P: Κιλλάτορος A B K V

2 Κυθέρεια P A K V: Κεθέρεια B 3 καὶ… καὶ P : ἤ… καὶ A B: ἥ… καὶ K : ἡ… καὶ V 4 καὶ P A: ἣ K: ἥ V : ἢ B 5 ἡ Παφίη P: τῇ Παφίη A V: τῆ Παφίῃ B: τῇ Παφίῃ K ǁ Δανάῃ P A: Δανάη K V: Δαναή B ǁ ζεῦς P : ζεὺς A K V: ζης B 6 χρυσὸς P: χρυσοῦς c A B K V

5.242 (41/42) Ἐρατοσθένους σχολαστικοῦ P: Ἐρατοσθένους A B K V

1 Μελίτην P A: Μελέτην B K V ǁ ἀκοίτῃ P: ἀκοίτης A B K V 2 κείνη P B K V: κείνῃ A ǁ ἐφωμάρτει P A : ἐφομάρτει B K V 3 δύναμαι P Α K V: δύναμιν B 5 κρηπῖδα P: κρηπίδα A B K V ǁ περήσας P: περῆσαι c: περάσαι B K V: περάσσαι A 6 σκευὴν P: σκεύην B K V: κεφαλὴν A ǁ ὀλέσῃ P: ὀλέσῃς A B K V

5.243.1-6 (36/37) Μακηδονίου ὑπατικοῦ P: Ἐρατοσθένους B K V: τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Ἐρατοσθένους) A

1 κόρην P A: κούρην B K V 3 ἀλέγιζεν P B K V: ἀλέγισεν A *sic* ǁ ἐμεῖο P A2: ἐμοῖο A1 B K V 4 παντοίῃ c A: παντοίη P B K V 5 νύκτα λοχήσας P A: νύκτα λογέσας K V: νυκταλογήσας B 6 *om.* B ǁ ἐξέχεεν P : ἐξέχεε A K V 7-8 *om*. A B K V

5.244.1-4 (21/22) Παύλου Σιλεντιαρίου P: Ἐρατοσθένους B K V: τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Ἐρατοσθένους) A

Habet bis B (ff. 593 *et* 594-595)

2 δωροῖς P: Δωρὶς A: δωρὶς c B V K ǁ δακτίζει P: δακτάζει c: δ’ἀττικίζει A B K V 4 τρηχαλέων P: τριχαλέων A: τριγαλέων B K V 5-8 *om.* A B K V

5.246 (38/39) Παύλου Σιλεντιαρίου P: Ἐρατοσθένους B K V: τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Ἐρατοσθένους) A

1 γυίων c A B K V: *om.* P3 ἀπειθέος P A K V: ἀπιθέος B 4 ἐρωστομάτων P: ἔρως στομάτων c A B K V 5 ταλάσσαν P: ταλάσσας c A B K: ταλάσας V 6 δίψαν P A: δίψος B K V

5.96 (50/52) Μελεάγρου P A B K V

1 Τιμάριον P: Τιμόριον A: μώριον B K V 2 καὶ εἰς P: καίεις c A B K V ǁ ἣν γε P: ἤν γε c: ἢν δὲ A B K ἢν V

12.74\* Μελεάγρου P: τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Μελεάγρου) A

*Non habent* B K V

12.76 (31/32) Μελεάγρου P B K V: τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Μελεάγρου) A

1 φαρέτραν P: φαρέτρην A B K V 2 πόθων P A K V: πόθον B 3 ἐπόμνμαι P: ἐπόμνυμι B K V: ἐπώμνυμι A ǁ ἔγνως P A: ἔγνων B K V

12.59 (34/35) Μελεάγρου P B K V: τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Μελεάγρου) A

1 ἁβροὺς P A K V: ἁβρὸς B ǁ μυίσκος P A: μενίσκος B K V

12.86 (32/33) τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Μελεάγρου) P A B K V

2 ἁνιοχεῖ P: ἡνιοχεῖ A B K V 3 παιδα τη P: παῖδ’ ἢ A Sylburg: παῖδ’ ἢ τὴν B K V ǁ ματέρα P: μητέρα A B K V ǁ φαμὶ P B K V: φημὶ A ǁ καὐταν P: κ’αὐτὴν A K V: κ’ αὐτὸν B

5.99 (18/19) ἄδηλον P: Μελεάγρου B K V: τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Μελεάγρου) A

5.158 (51/53) Ἀσκληπιάδου P: ἄδηλον K B V: τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Μελεάγρου) A

2 ἐξανθέων P *sic*: ἐξ ἀνθῶν A K V: ἐξανθῶν B 3 χρύσια P: χρύσεα c A B K V ǁ δι’ ὅλου P: Διόλου A: *om*. B K V 4 λυπηθῆς P B K: λυπηθῇς c A V ǁ μ’ ἔχει P: ἔχῃ μ’ c A V: ἔχη μ’ K: ἔχει μ’ B

5.172 (9/9) τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Μελεάγρου) P A: ἄδηλον B K V

1 περικοῖτον P: περὶ κοῖτον c: παρὰ κοῖτος A B K V 2 φίλας P: φίλης A B K V 4 ὡς P A *add.* *supra lineam*: *om*. B K V ǁ φῶς P A *supra lineam*: φάες Β: φάος Α K V

5.186 (52/54) Ποσειδίππου P: τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Μελεάγρου) A: ἄδηλον B K V

4 μεῖζον κεῖνον P: μεῖζον ἐκεῖνον c A: κεῖνον μεῖζον B K V [5.187.2 ὅλως οὐ… χρόνος *habent* B K V : ἤλως οὐ… χρόνος P: *om*. A]

5.198.5-6\* τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Μελεάγρου) P A

*Non habent* B K V

5 φαρέτρη πτερόεντας οἰστοὺς *post φαρέτρη ‘*πτερόεντας οἰστοὺς*’ deleuit et, spatio octo litteris relicto, denuo addidit* c: φαρέτρη κενεὴ πτεροεντας A (κενεὴ *in margine*) (*cf.* Q. S. 3.337) 6 κρύπτεις P: κρύπτει A *Salmasius*

12.79 (35/36) ἄδηλον P: τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Μελεάγρου) A B K V

1 λήγοντος P A: λέγοντος B K V 2 ψυχρῆς P A K V: ψυχῆης B 3 δίς με μιῆς P: ἐκ μιῆς δὶς A B K V

12.1 (4/4) Στράτωνος A B V: *om.* P K

12.1 *et* 2 *iunxerunt* B K V 1 ἀρχώμεσθα P A K2 (ω *et* σ *supra lineam*): ἀρχόμεσθα B: ἀρχόμεθα K1 V 4 Ἑλικωνιάδας P: Ἑλικωνίτιδας A: Ἑλικωνιτίδας B K V

12.2 (5/5) Στράτωνος P: τοῦ αὐτοῦ (=Στράτωνος) A: *om.* B K V

12.1 *et* 2 *iunxerunt* B K V 2 μηδὲ P: μήτε A B K V 3 Ἴτυν P A K V: Ἴτην B 4 πρότεροι P V2: πρότερον A B K V1 5 χαρίτεσσι P A K V: χαρίτεσι B

12.4 (6/6) τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Στράτωνος) P A: *om*. B K V1: Στάτωνος (*sic*) V2 *in margine*

1 δωδεκέτους P A: δωδεκαέτους B K V 2 χὠ P: χ’ᾡ B K V: χ’ὡ A 3 χὠ P: χ’ᾡ B K V: χ’ὡ A ǁ τὰ P A: *om*. B K V 4 ἀρχόμενος P: ἀρξάμενος A B K V 5 δὲ1 P A: *om*. B K V 6 ζητεῖν P A K V: τητεῖν B ǁ ἐμὸν P A: ἐμοὶ B K V 7 ἐπὶ P A: ἔτι B K V ǁ τις ἔχει P A: ζητεῖ B K V ǁ οὐκέτι P A B: οὐκ ἔτι K V

12.189\* τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Στράτωνος) P A

*Non habent* B K V

12.196 (33/34) τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Στράτωνος) P A: Στράτωνος B K V

12.220\* τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Στράτωνος) P A

*Non habent* B K V

12.75 (30/31) Ἀσκληπιάδου P A B K V

1 πτερά P A B K: πατέρα V ǁ χερὶ P A B K: χειρὶ V

12.77 (29/30) Ἀσκληπιάδου ἢ Ποσειδίππου P B K V: τοῦ αὐτοῦ ἢ Ποσειδίππου (= Ἀσκληπιάδου ἢ Ποσειδίππου) A 4 τέτοκεν A B K V: τέτοκε P

12.17 (44/45) Ἀσκληπιάδου ἢ Ποσειδίππου B K V: τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Ἀσκληπιάδου ἢ Ποσειδίππου) A: ἄδηλον P

1 μοι P A K V: με B ǁ με P A K V: *om*. B 2 ἀσβέστῳ P A K V: ἀσβαίστῳ B 3 δυνατώτερος P A: δυνατώτερον B K V 4 τόσῳ A: τόσσῳ P B K V ǁ χ’ὁ P A2: χὠ Α1: χ’ὡ B K V

12.45\* Ποσειδίππου P: Νουμηνίου A (*cf*. 12.28 [12.60 *et* 237 B K V])

*Non habent* B K V

1 πολλοῖς A Saumaise: βαλλοις P 3 νικήσητ’ P: νικήσατ’ A

12.237.3-4 (8/8) τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Στράτωνος) P: Νουμηνίου B K V: τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Νουμηνίου) A

1-2 *om*. A B K V 3 ὁμόσῃς P: ὁμόσεις A: ὁμόσαις K V: ὁ μοῦσαις B 4 πόσου P K V: πόσον A B

12.20 (28/29) Ἰλίου Λεωνίδα P: Λεωνίδου A B K V

1 πάλι A B K V: πάλιν P ǁ εἰλαπίναισιν A B K V: εἰλαπίναισι P 3 Περίανδρον P A B K: Περίανδρος V ǁ ἥρπασε P A K V: ἥρπυσε B

12.65 (3/3) Μελεάγρου P A2 (*scriptum iuxta* ἄδηλον): ἄδηλον A1 B K V

2 ἔχῃ P A V: ἔχη K: ἔχει B 3 κἠμοι P A K V: κἠμὴ B ǁ ἔστιν ἐνὶ A (ἐνὶ *add. in margine*) B K V: ἐστι P ǁ Μυΐσκον P A: Μενίσκον K : Μένισκον B V

12.70\* Μελεάγρου P: τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Μελεάγρου) A

*Non habent* B K V

1 καὶ P: τοῦ A ǁ εἰ σὲ A Saumaise: εἰσεμε P 4 παθὼν A *Guyet* (*cf. Parisinus gr*. 2752, f. 8v): παθῶν P 6 γέγονεν P: γέγονε A

12.67\* ἄδηλον P: τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Μελεάγρου) A

*Non habent* B K V

1-6 *sic disposuit* A 1 ἆρά γ’ A *Bouhier* : ἆρ’ ἀγ’ P 2 *lacunam post* πάτερ *usque ad u.* 3 (= 2 P) δεύτερος A 3 (= 2 P) οἰνοχοεῖ P : οἰνοχοεῖ Γανυμήδης A ǁ *lacunam statuit P post* οἰνοχοεῖ 4 *om.* A 6 (= 4 P) ἔχει P: ἔχῃ A *Brunck*

12.69 (2/2) ἄδηλον P B K V: τοῦ αὐτοῦ (= Μελεάγρου) A

2 φθονέων P: φθονέω A B K V 4 τὸ B K V: *om*. P A ǁ σοῦ P: σοί A :σοὶ B K V

9.361 (46/47) Λέοντος φιλοσοφοῦ P A B K V

*Lemma*: εἰς παρθένον φθαρεῖσαν· ὁμηρόκεντρα c A B K V

6 οὖρόν τε P Α: οὖρον τε B K V

*Homeric cento* (7/7) (*cf*. Q. S. 1.235-243) ἀδέσποτον A B K V

*Non habet* P

3 φορύνοντό τε A B: φορύνον τότε K: φορύνοντό το V (*sic*) 4-7 *ut nouum carmen sine auctore, spatio unius uersus relicto, distinxit* A 4 ἔγχεϊ A *Sternbach*: ἔγχει B K V ǁ μεσηγὺ A B: μεσσηγὺ K V 5 εὔσφυρον A B K: εὔφυρον V ǁ διὰ δὲ A B: δϊαδὲ K V 5 δέ οἱ A B K: δεοὶ V 7 ἐσσϋμένως A K V: ἐσσομένος B ǁ δέ ἑ νεύρινον A *Sternbach*: δὲ ἑ νεύρϊνον B K: δὲ ἑ νεύριον V

9.381 (47/48)

*Lemma*: Ὁμηροκέντρων εἰς Λέανδρον καὶ Ἡρὼ τὴν Σιστιάδα (*sic pro* Σηστίαδα) c (*in eraso priore*): εἰς Ἡρὼ καὶ Λέανδρον· ὁμηρόκεντρα A: *om*. B K V

1 προὐχοούσῃ P: προὐχούσῃ A B K V 2-3 P A: *ordine inuerso* (3-2) B K V 3 ἐφειστήκει P: ἐφεστήκει c A K V: ἐφειστίκει B 4 χρύσεον P A K V: χρύσεων B 5 ὀϊεμένη P: ὀιομένη A B K V ǁ κάμμορον P A K V: κάμορον B 6 καὶ P A: κατὰ B K V ǁ ἐκπεράασκε P: ἐκπεράσειε A K V: ἐκπεράσειες B 7 βροτοὶ P A: θεοὶ B K V 9 ἐκγεγάασι P A K V: ἐκγεγάασιν B 12 καὶ2 P A: *om*. B K V
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7. See Maras 1994, 567-578, and Ferreri 2002, 179. [↑](#footnote-ref-9)
8. I refer to **Henisch** 1600, and **Ehinger** 1633. Both are available online at <https://www.sustb-augsburg.de/recherche-und-service/suchen-und-finden/historische-kataloge/>. [↑](#footnote-ref-10)
9. It is the copy with shelfmark 8 AUCT GR I, 2096. My thanks to Cornelia Pfordt, Head of Historic Printed Collections, for confirming this information, which appears in the online catalogue of the library of Augsburg at: <https://sbaoz2.bib-bvb.de/webOPACClient.sbasis/singleHit.do?methodToCall=activateTab&tab=showTitleActive>. A does not have foliated quires, but the annotator conveniently foliated it with Arabic numerals at the top of each page; it is this numbering that I follow in this article. [↑](#footnote-ref-11)
10. I have added an asterisk to those epigrams absent from the ABV. [↑](#footnote-ref-12)
11. These are available at <https://digi.ub.uni-heidelberg.de/diglit/cpgraec23> and https://gallica.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/btv1b8470199g. [↑](#footnote-ref-13)
12. For more information about the relationship between this witness and P as well as the other manuscripts of the ABV, see Galán Vioque 2023 [in press]. [↑](#footnote-ref-14)
13. Most of the corrections agree with the notes and conjectures attributed to the Greek émigré Marcus Musurus (ca. 1475-1517) found in many copies of Janus Lascaris’ *editio princeps* (*Florilegium diuersorum epigrammatum in septem libros*, Florence 1494), and in several manuscripts held at different libraries throughout Europe (see Mioni 1975, 263-310; Pontani 2002, 557-617; Ferreri 2005, 80-114; and Galán Vioque 2022, 235-258). [↑](#footnote-ref-15)
14. Pontani 1982, 27 identifies Tolomei as the copyist of the notes of *Vaticanus gr*. 1169. Pontano lived in Rome from at least 1522 until his death. On his life, see Mercati 1926, 138-150, and Pontani 1982, 27-29. [↑](#footnote-ref-16)
15. See Mioni 1975, 299-302, and Pontani 1982, 27, 31, and 54. Sternbach 1890, VI already anticipated the relationship between the ABV and the notes copied in *Vaticanus* gr. 1169. [↑](#footnote-ref-17)
16. Almost all of them appear in the bottom margin. [↑](#footnote-ref-18)
17. The oldest witness is the autograph *Marcianus* gr. 481 (Pl), dated 1299 (or 1301). On other manuscripts, see Aubreton 1969, 68-87; Mioni 1975, 263-310; and Lauxtermann 2009, 41-66. [↑](#footnote-ref-19)
18. It probably derives from the same independent source as do the epigrams copied in the final quire. Actually, in l. 5 the text agrees with P: ῥιπίδα τ’ P A: ῥίπίδα δ’ Pl (on the relationship of this independent source and P, see supra). [↑](#footnote-ref-20)
19. On the absence of this addendum in this volume, see supra. These epigrams are the following: *AP* 11.98 (f. 90) (*add. post* 11.95) (cf. *Ἐπιδ.* f. Niii), 11.41.1-2, and 4-6 (f. 103) (*add. post AP* 11.39) (cf. *Ἐπιδ.* f. Niiii), 16.73 (f. 172v) (*add. post* *AP* 16.72) (cf. *Ἐπιδ.* f. ΝΝvi), 16.74 (f. 173) (*add. post* *AP* 16.73) (cf. *Ἐπιδ.* f. ΝΝviv), 9.604 (f. 173v) (*add. post* *AP* 6.134) (cf. *Ἐπιδ.* f. ΝΝviv), 16.30 (f. 179) (*add. post AP* 16.106) (cf. *Ἐπιδ.* f. ΝΝviv), 16.202 (f. 189v) (*add. post AP* 16.201) (cf. *Ἐπιδ.* f. ΝΝvii), 16.212 (f. 190) (*add. post AP* 16.211) (cf. *Ἐπιδ.* f. ΝΝvii) (a different hand filled the blank space with the word χερὸς, a conjecture attributed to Badius Ascensius (1462-1537), editor of *Florilegium diuersorum* epigrammatum *in septem libros*, Paris: uaenundatur Badio, 1531 [cf. f. 218v]), 16.240 (f. 193) (*add. post AP* 16.239) (cf. *Ἐπιδ.* f. ΝΝviiv), 16.266.1-5, and 7-8 (f. 196v) (*add. post AP* 16.265) (cf. *Ἐπιδ.* f. ΝΝviiv), 9.627 (f. 201v) (*add. post AP* 9.626) (cf. *Ἐπιδ.* f. ΝΝviii), 9.660 (f. 204) (*add. post AP* 9.659) (cf. *Ἐπιδ.* f. ΝΝviii), 6.30 (f. 234) (*add. post AP* 6.28) (cf. *Ἐπιδ.* f. ΝΝix). Most of these epigrams were later included in Aldo’s second edition, published by his heirs in 1521. [↑](#footnote-ref-21)
20. *App. AP* 3.132 (*apud* Phot. *Bibl.* 128.96b) (f. 36v; *add. post AP* 10.23), Phoc. 1 (*apud* Strab. 10.5.12) (f. 95v) (*add. post AP* 11.237), *App. AP* 1.23 (*apud* Paus. 6.9.9) (f. 111v) (*add. post AP* 16.24); 7.217.3-4 (f. 132) (*apud* D. L. 3.31), *App. AP* 3.25 (*apud Suda* π 3079) (f. 172v), *App. AP* 1.44 (f. 233) (*apud* Paus. 8.42.9), and *App. AP* 3.97 (f. 286) (*apud* Paus. 8.52.6). [↑](#footnote-ref-22)
21. These are the following editions: *Suda lexicon*, Milan: impensa & dexteritate D. Demetrii Chalcondyli, 1499 (it was reprinted and corrected with the help of an unidentified manuscript by Aldo Manuzio in 1514 [see Adler 2001, I, XI]); *Pausanias*, Venice: in aedibus Aldi et Andreae soceri, 1516; *Strabo. De situ orbis*, Venice: in aedibus Aldi et Andreae soceri, 1516, and *Diogenis Laertii Vitae philosophorum*, Basel: [Hieronymus Frobenius et Nicolaus Episcopius], 1533. [↑](#footnote-ref-23)
22. *Photii myriobiblon, siue Bibliotheca librorum quos Photius Patriarcha Constantinopolitanus legit et censuit*, Augsburg: ex officina Iohannis Praetorii, 1601. [↑](#footnote-ref-24)
23. See Martini 1911, 108; Eleuteri 2000, 111-156; and Taylor 2020, 406-409. [↑](#footnote-ref-25)
24. See *Luciani Samosatensis Dialogi. Epistolae*, Florence: per Laurentium Francisci de Alopa, 1496, f. HHvi. The first Aldine, published later in 1503, included this epigram on its front page. As for its inclusion in the *scholia recentiora* to Lucian, the epigram can be found in *Laurentianus conu. Suppr*. 77 (14th century), and at the beginning of the dialogue *Cataplus* in *Neapolitensis* III AA 5, f. 18 (14th century) (see Rabe 1906, 1), and other manuscripts (for example, *Riccardianus gr*. 25, f. IIv, and *Ambrosianus* gr. A 218 inf., f. VIIIv, both dated to the 15th century [see *Database of Byzantine Book Epigrams* https://www.dbbe.ugent.be/verse\_variants/2993]). Actually, in l. 2, A agrees with the *scholia recentiora* to Lucian in presenting ἀνθρώποισι against ἀνθρώποις, as testified in the other witnesses (Photius’ *Library* and Lucian’s first editions). [↑](#footnote-ref-26)
25. This inscription is today preserved at the Museo Nazionale di Napoli (inventory nº 2648) (see *IG* XIV 1074, and Last Statues of Antiquity nº 1355, available at http://laststatues.classics.ox.ac.uk/database/detail.php?record=lsa-1355). [↑](#footnote-ref-27)
26. See Cameron 1970, 361, and 390. [↑](#footnote-ref-28)
27. See Accame 2008, 186; Lučin 2011, 23, and 2014, 39. [↑](#footnote-ref-29)
28. This Italian humanist lived circa 1454-1517. This work remained unpublished until 1995 (see D’Alessi 1995 [the epigram is on pp. 132-134], and Lučin 2011, 23). On the manuscripts of this work, see D’Alessi 1995, XIII-XXV. [↑](#footnote-ref-30)
29. # On the manuscripts of this work, see Lučin 2014, 59 n. 124. The sole critical edition was edited by B. Lučin as part of his dissertation (see Lučin 2011, 284-407; this epigram [nº 34] is on p. 315).

    [↑](#footnote-ref-31)
30. See f. Jv, and Delisle 1874, II, 33; Omont 1892, 107 n. 90, and 110; Mioni 1975, 302; Tziatzi-Papagianni 1994, 68-73; and Maltomini 2008, 31 n. 12. On Eparcus, see now Pontani-Weise 2022, 55-57. [↑](#footnote-ref-32)
31. For an exhaustive description of this codex and the identification of its copyist, see Pérez Martín 2011, 361-386. [↑](#footnote-ref-33)
32. See Boissonade 1830, II, 69 n. 1. [↑](#footnote-ref-34)
33. F. 134v (*add. post AP* 7.142) (see Pontani 1982, 58 n. 66). It is also testified at *Vaticanus* gr. 1169, ff. 67-91, an apograph of the handwritten notes of A transcribed by Lattanzio Tolomei, and Vaticanus gr. 1416, ff. 236-270v, where the same notes were copied from the previous Vatican manuscript by the aforementioned Fulvio Orsini (see Mioni 1975, 299-303, and Pontani 1982, 27, 31, and 54) (see *infra*). [↑](#footnote-ref-35)
34. See Maltomini 2008, 125-129. On the *Anthology* of Cephalas, see Cameron 1993, 121-159, and Lauxtermann 2008, 194-208, and on the anthologies used by Planudes, see Cameron 1993, 205-206, and 363-365, and Lauxtermann 2009, 45-47. [↑](#footnote-ref-36)
35. See Galán Vioque 2023b [in press]. [↑](#footnote-ref-37)
36. Here I take into consideration neither the *syllogae minores*, nor the apographs of P. I always begin by quoting the reading of P regardless of the one accepted by modern editors. [↑](#footnote-ref-38)
37. Beside the number of each epigram I add between brackets the numbers of the list of the ABV published by Cameron 1993, 164-165 and Maltomini 2008, 117-118. [↑](#footnote-ref-39)