Response to the Comments

Firstly, I would like to thank the reviewers for their notes which helped me to edit the article make it more clear and coherent.

Response to Reviewer 1

Thank you for the generally positive evaluation. I draw attention to the fact that I have withdrawn the term "interactive criticism" at the request of the other reviewer. Some changes were made in order to eradicate any seemingly conflicting information, and I accepted your recommendation to rethink the heading “Discussion in the Process”. I have made the necessary amendments.

Response to Reviewer 2

1. I have made the necessary changes, be they to the title or the body of the text, in order to remove any ambiguity and to make it clearer. As for the term "interactive criticism", I replaced it with the term "digital criticism" to avoid ambiguity, while at the same time maintaining the central idea of the article.

2. I explained the difference between this article and the previous study in the abstract, as well as in the introduction, so that the new article no longer seems like a summary of the previous study. I have also changed the wording of several paragraphs of the previous study as a source of the new article on your proposal. I've also deleted many repetitive ideas, especially in the last three pages.

3. The article has been proofread by a specialist who is a native English speaker.