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Agility in Teacher Training: Distance learning in the Age of Corona 1.0 - a test case at Ohalo College of Education

Abstract

The Coronavirus outbreak forced the entire world to respond in new and unconventional ways. Much of this required quick thinking and unusual flexibility whilst operating under conditions of uncertainty and fear. This manifested especially in the ways that countries, leaders, organizations and individuals needed to think and act in light of the viral threat. To our thinking, there is one idea that is key to understanding and analyzing this behavior, and that makes all the difference between success and failure, between response and passivity: namely, agility. Organizations that acted and responded rapidly succeeded in overcoming the various crises posed by the Coronavirus epidemic. Others, who reacted slowly and observed processes from a distance, experienced failure, or even the danger of becoming obsolete. This article deals with the implementation of methods of pedagogical agility in distance learning in the Age of Corona 1.0, as it occurred at Ohalo College with the outbreak of the epidemic in Israel in 2020.

Within 48 hours, agility in this institution led to moving 700 courses, 150 lecturers and around 1,500 students into their homes; the semester continued, but differently, in light of the lockdown and limitations ordered by the government. It is clear that such swift organization with maximum flexibility was far from being planned or perfect. This article offers a case study of pedagogical agility as demonstrated in the transition of a college of education to distance learning. This topic will be tested through analysis of student attitudes toward the implementation of this strategy.

This is a test case in which adaptable leadership guided an organization that coped with a threat in light of the closing of all institutions of education and higher learning, which had to create a solution swiftly, flexibly and with agility under uncertain conditions. In particular, it needed to preserve and continue organizational activities, and to move from study at the college to a system of study, teaching, practice and management from a distance.

It is important to emphasize that the college transitioned all its components from frontal teaching to *emergency-mode* distance teaching. We emphasize “emergency-mode” in order to show how it differs from the term “distance learning,” which refers to a planned online format that implements distance learning pedagogy. With the outbreak of the Coronavirus epidemic in Israel in the beginning of March 2020, unexpected emergency plans were created, whose central expression was emergency-mode distance teaching, employing agile organization.

Thus, a process was created that moved the physical and frontal activity from all reaches of the campus to teaching/studying activity carried out online, mainly through ZOOM. This goal enabled flexibility in teaching and studying anywhere, anytime, while preserving student and staff health in accordance with the regulations instituted by the government. The speed with which the change was made to distance teaching was unprecedented and remarkable. Staff and support teams immediately joined the teaching faculty to learn about and carry out distance learning; alongside this there was a need to find quick, readily-available solutions, various platforms allowing video communication and interactive participation in lessons, and a support package for staff guidance, consultation and study meetings. The need to improvise quick solutions was not ideal. However, in light of the circumstances, it did not matter how clever, creative, or familiar the solution might be – and some were indeed very creative; some solutions failed while others took over the academic milieu, such as the ZOOM application.

For the past decade, Ohalo College has held a special week called “Digital Aiming at Hanukkah,” during which the campus transitioned entirely to distance learning, with no lessons taking place on campus. Despite having this experience, the Age of Corona and the transition to distance teaching was not anticipated and therefore this process was not without its difficulties and challenges. Moreover, the emotional climate during the Coronavirus crisis was rife with fears, anxieties, worries, social distancing, isolation, lockdown and a total reality shift for all those engaged in the present study: administrators, lecturers, students and administrative staff.
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1. Introduction

*1.1 Introduce the Problem*

The body of a manuscript opens with an introduction that presents the specific problem under study and describes the research strategy. Because the introduction is clearly identified by its position in the manuscript, it does not carry a heading labeling it the introduction. Before writing the introduction, consider the following questions (Beck & Sales, 2001, p. 100):

1. Why is this problem important?
2. How does the study relate to previous work in the area? If other aspects of this study have been reported previously, how does this report differ from, and build on, the earlier report?
3. What are the primary and secondary hypotheses and objectives of the study, and what, if any, are the links to theory?
4. How do the hypotheses and research design relate to one another?
5. What are the theoretical and practical implications of the study?

A good introduction answers these questions in just a few pages and, by summarizing the relevant arguments and the past evidence, gives the reader a firm sense of What was done and why (Beck & Sales, 2001, pp. 100-102).

*1.2 Explore Importance of the Problem*

State why the problem deserves new research. For basic research, the statement about importance might involve the need to resolve any inconsistency in results of past work and/or extend the reach of a theoretical formulation. For applied research, this might involve the need to solve a social problem or treat a psychological disorder. When research is driven by the desire to resolve controversial issues, all sides in the debate should be represented in balanced measure in the introduction. Avoid animosity and ad hominem arguments in presenting the controversy. Conclude the statement of the problem in the introduction with a brief but formal statement of the purpose of the research that summarizes the material preceding it. For literature reviews as well as theoretical and methodological articles, also clearly state the reasons that the reported content is important and how the article fits into the cumulative understanding of the field.

*1.3 Agility*

It is first important to address our definition of agility: “agility” is one of the most important factors in the survival and development of business organizations in today’s dynamic environment. The major characteristic in this environment is change and uncertainty. Current business organizations have to deal with a number of issues in order to succeed in the current environment: rapid technological development, expansion of risks, globalization and expectation of privatization and more. Agility creates a competitive advantage that can be optimally sustained with innovation and quality. An agile organization synchronizes processes and private individuals along with advanced technology, and addresses customers’ needs based on quality products and services within a relatively short time. This occurs when agility is a systematic, strategic and competitive organizational value to leaders. Most of the researchers have defined agility as an ability that demands active use (Goodarzi et al., 2018)

The concept of agility has its roots in the high-tech industry. Its goal is to succinctly define the availability and quickness of reaction with regard to schedules, while also displaying flexibility. Agility in education means the adoption of an agile and flexible attitude toward teaching and learning, officially called “agility in pedagogical approaches.” This type of teaching and learning approach must significantly demonstrate implementation of change, adopting innovation and leaving conservative or traditional behavior and ways of thinking behind. Morien (2018) quotes the vice president of an Australian university, who claims that, “There shall be no more theatres for lectures in our buildings because we think it is possible to have all of the lectures carried out online….instead of this, we are creating a building for a new, smaller business school consisting of classrooms and seating areas for advanced, complementary studies, for use after students view lectures via the internet.”

Agility represents the need for organizations to act quickly and with flexibility, while displaying adaptability to market changes. This involves the ability and the wisdom to learn from experiences, and to then apply that learning in order to take successful action in new situations. Flexibility is the ability to observe things from various angles and to put forth ideas in the midst of changing directions or correcting information. Adapting includes changes in content, products and services according to need. This approach is reflected in the beliefs of many individuals who support the notion that methods of learning and teaching should be appropriate for education in the modern age, which is informed by a variety of supporting technologies and tools which require making foundational changes to the existing paradigms. These approaches, disseminated in the last decade, discuss “21st Century Skills” and future-oriented education as an attempt to insert approaches toward thinking outside the box, adopting methodologies from the world of business and high tech and integrating pedagogical and technological innovation into the education system, which is anchored in conservative traditions. It is important to note that the college examined in this study is a teacher-training college belonging to the Israeli Ministry of Education and, generally speaking, belongs to the public sector. Indeed, this sector is not usually among the first to adopt changes or undergo ground-breaking processes.

*1.4 Distance Learning*

In recent years, universities, colleges and a variety of institutions have viewed distance learning as an attractive mode of teaching, since it allows more students to register for classes without needing to expand the number of classrooms and teaching facilities. Furthermore, it allows new audiences and populations to be reached, who otherwise may not have continued their higher education or who would not have studied at a specific institution due to distance and travel time. A variety of studies suggest that the main difficulties in distance learning and teaching involve issues with reading texts in digital displays, a sense of isolation, social separation, and a lack of cognitive skills necessary for optimal use of online technologies. Researchers note that this can lead to inadequate use of technologies for teaching and learning, and to a lack of pedagogical skills appropriate to distance teaching and learning processes (Atkinson, 1996; Khan, 2001).

Various studies describe hybrid courses in which face-to-face meetings are combined with distance learning. It is accepted that design evaluation is carried out during distance learning, making it possible to adjust and improve the learning process and course management. Research variables often involve an examination of student characteristics, such as: degree of student participation in distance learning, satisfaction with and attitudes toward distance learning, learning achievement and efficacy of computer use. The characteristics of distance learning are also often studied, such as: the range of technologies, their integration into the learning, accessibility and simplicity of use of technologies. These occur while the teacher and studies are separated by physical distance, and there is a need for technology that defines “Open Distance Learning” as part of the interactive teaching-learning process, part of which, at least, is carried out online, through text, audio and/or video. Some of the various terms in the literature related to distance learning are: distance education, distance training, distance learning, distance teaching, virtual learning, assisted computer teaching, synchronous/asynchronous learning networks, online instruction and more. The term “e-Learning” is used more often in the business and public sectors (Rosenberg, 2001), and refers to the following three criteria: 1) the use of a communication network that enables instantaneous storage, retrieval, distribution and updating of information, 2) the information is transmitted to end-users via computer and internet technology, 3) there is emphasis on expanded learning solutions in order to improve results. It can be said that online learning is one of the means of distance learning, but distance learning does not comprise only e-Learning.

In contrast to distance learning courses that are planned, designed and tailored to the online setting ahead of time, Emergency Remote Teaching (ERT) is a temporary move made to transfer learning to an alternative option due to a crisis. Such a move demands full use of distance learning solutions to enable the teaching that will be carried out in a completely different manner from what was planned as a face-to-face or mixed/hybrid course. During a crisis or time of emergency, the main goal under such circumstances is not to create a strong, ecological education system from scratch, but to provide quick and readily-available temporary access to teaching, learning, and supervision. Understanding ERT in this way, we can begin to study the characteristics of online teaching in the Age of Corona 1.0.

It is important to emphasize that the “agile” approach necessary for ERT may negatively impact the quality of courses and their academic rigor. Numerous studies emphasize that full development of online courses takes at least *a number of months* to be done thoroughly. The need “to simply get it online” is in direct opposition to the time and effort that is usually devoted to developing a quality online course (Lynn & Bentrovato, 2011).

Online courses that have been created in times of emergency do not need to offer long term solutions, like those of MOOCs (Massive Open Online Courses), for example; rather, they are a temporary solution to an immediate problem. The central issue in solutions of this type is the level of accessibility ---- [missing word/s and punctuation] It is possible that learning materials are not dealt with in the midst of ERT. This is just one reason why Universal Design for Learning (UDL) must be part of all discussions surrounding teaching and learning. UDL principles concentrate on the design of flexible learning environments that are inclusive and student-centered, so that all students can access and learn from the course materials and activities (Head & Lockee, 2002).

In recent years, the use of computers in higher education has continued to grow. One of the consequences of computer use is the change in traditional relationships between students and the institution, removing of geography as an element that defines and restricts the space and time in which learning processes are carried out. Due to advanced technology, it is not necessary to physically be in a specific place at a specific time to engage in learning; this invites the use of innovative models for learning and teaching (Kurtz & Chen, 2012; Allen, 2013).

Various studies point to the fact that advanced technologies currently allow for easy access and availability of information that can be transformed into knowledge and advancement of learning (Barak, 2007; Barak & Dori, 2009; Dori, 2007). In recent decades, changes have occurred in learning skills based on the internet (distance learning, internet learning); in parallel, the number of distance courses in institutions of higher learning worldwide has grown (Lee & Pituch, 2006). Moreover, numerous institutions offer full distance-learning diplomas (Seaman & Allen, 2010, 2013). Distance learning demands innovative ways of thinking about strategies in institutions of higher education, especially in the field of pedagogy, quality control in education and educational partnerships (O’Shea & Jones 2004). The growing use of technological skills does not seem to cause teachers to re-examine teaching processes or to take advantage of the new opportunities that these tools offer (Woodmany, 2012). In Israel, since 2008, much effort is invested in using various aids, computers, and technology in schools, with the hope that this will ignite radical change in teaching and learning (Rimon, 2012). Thus, it is important to address distance learning as early as during teacher training programs in universities and colleges. Of course, distance teaching and learning has advantages and disadvantages. There is no consensus regarding learner stances towards this learning method and the level of success in achieving high-quality learning outcomes.

A variety of studies suggest that the main difficulties in distance learning and teaching involve aspects of reading texts in digital displays, a sense of loneliness, social separation, and the lack of cognitive skills necessary for optimal use of online technologies. Researchers note that this can lead to unintelligent use of technologies for teaching and learning, and to a lack of pedagogical skills appropriate to distance teaching and learning processes. It is typical to carry out evaluation that is tailored to the process of distance learning and thus to enable adjustment and improvement of the learning process and course management. The variables examined generally refer to the characteristics of the student, such as: degree of student participation in distance learning, satisfaction with and attitudes toward distance learning, learning achievement and efficacy of computer use. In studies carried out a decade ago, it was found that learning achievements of students participating in distance learning were identical to those learning in a traditional classroom or in a mixed-methods course. For those who engaged in distance learning, student satisfaction was higher with regards to the accessibility of the study material of the lecturer, and the students had greater confidence in knowledge and improvement of skills requiring computers. At the same time, lecturers who tried distance teaching claimed that there are courses, oftentimes introductory courses, that are not suited to virtual learning, especially due to the lack of the self-discipline required by new students for this type of learning (Dori et al., 2009). These studies clearly refer to distance learning courses that were created and planned in advance, and not courses that were converted from their original format to distance learning in light of an emergency situation. Thus, it is likely that gaps will occur in the measurement tools mentioned above.

*1.4 Research Hypothesis and Questions*

This study sought to examine students’ feelings while implementing “agility” in the dramatic transition carried out by a specific college, from frontal teaching in classrooms to distance teaching, in light of the outbreak of the Coronavirus.

The research questions were as follows:

1. What are students’ feelings toward distance teaching? This question was examined through three dimensions: the personal perspective (the students’ point of view), the lecturers’ perspective and the college’s perspective.
2. Were the students’ feelings and ability to cope with distance learning influenced by their assessment of the teaching and functioning of the college in the Coronavirus period?

The research hypothesis was that there will be a connection between students’ feelings and ability to cope with distance learning and their evaluation of the method of teaching and the lecturers’ functioning as well as their evaluation of the college’s functioning.

2. Method

The study employed mixed methods, utilizing qualitative and quantitative measures. The research was based upon an attitude survey built especially for this study, which was distributed via Google Drive to all of the college’s students numbering approximately 1,500. The students were enrolled in various years of study and departments, including B. Ed. and M. Ed. studies as well as a “career-change” track to become teachers. Anonymity was maintained in the responses due to ethical considerations.

A tailor-made questionnaire was used that underwent validation, examined by three experts holding doctorates in education. The questionnaire was built for this study, and sought to examine attitudes of students toward distance learning during the time of the Coronavirus, considering three components: reflective feedback from students to express their feelings towards themselves, their attitude toward the college’s general functioning, and their attitude toward the lecturers’ functioning.

*2.1 Participant (Subject) Characteristics*

183 student subjects participated in the study. 154 of these were female (84.2%) and 29 males (15.8%), ages 18 to 57 (average 27.77). More than half of the subjects were unmarried (55.7%) and most engaged in regular study tracks (rather than the “career-change” track) (69.9%). Table 1 presents the distribution of the participants according to demographic variables:

Table 1. Demographic variables of participants

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | N | % | Minimum  | Maximum | Average  | Standard Deviation |
| Age |  |  | 18.00 | 57.50 | 27.77 | 8.12 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 29 | 15.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Female  | 154 | 84.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Marital Status  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unmarried  | 102 | 55.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Married  | 81 | 44.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Study Track |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Continuing Education | 32 | 17.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Regular  | 128 | 69.9 |  |  |  |  |
| M.A. | 23 | 12.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Year of Study |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year 1 | 59 | 32.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Year 2 | 66 | 36.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Year 3 | 35 | 19.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Year 4 | 20 | 11.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Own a Computer |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 165 | 90.2 |  |  |  |  |
| No  | 18 | 9.8 |  |  |  |  |

*2.2 Research Tool*

The questionnaire included 16 statements, most of which used a five-point Likert scale (other than Statement 11), that examined three topics: The student’s feelings and ability to cope with distance learning; evaluation of the method of teaching and the lecturers’ functioning; and evaluation of the college’s functioning.

The first topic (“students’ feelings and ability to cope with distance learning”) included eight statements: 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 11, and 16, while statements 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, and 16, were written in language that expresses positive feelings about distance learning. Statement 10 examined the level of difficulty in distance learning, and thus it had a reversed scale. Statement 11 examined the level of deviation, when the range of answers were: “No”, “Perhaps”, and “Yes.” It was decided that the “Yes” answer would be given one point, the “Perhaps” answer would be given three points and the “No” answer would be given 5 points. Each participant’s answers were checked for responses to these 8 statements and thus the scale for “student feelings and ability to cope with distance learning” was defined.

The questionnaire included an additional six statements (2, 5, 8, 9, 12, and 15), 1 – 5 on the Likert scale, that examined the evaluation of “the method of teaching and the lecturers’ functioning.” For these statements, higher values represent high appraisal. The average of these 6 answers was calculated for each participant, and that how this scale was judged.

The participants answered an additional two statements (13 and14) on the 1 – 5 Likert scale that examined “evaluation of the college’s functioning.” In these statements, the higher values on the scale indicated a more positive evaluation. The average of these two answers was calculated for each participant, and that how this scale was judged. Table 2 presents general characteristics of two indices.

Table 2. General Characteristics, Average, Standard Deviation and Reliability of the Study Indices (N=18.3)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Index  | No. of Statements | Minimum | Maximum | Average  | Standard Deviation | *a* |
| Feelings and ability to copewith distance learning | 8 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 2.74 | .95 | .857 |
| Assessment of the method of teaching and the lecturers’ functioning | 6 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.12 | .97 | .887 |
| Assessment of the college’s functioning  | 2 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.35 | .94 | .350 |

The reliability of the indices was examined according to Alpha-Cronbach *(a)* and was found to be high in the first two indices, a fact that is characteristic of the extent of stability and consistency in the subjects’ answers to each of the statements. In contrast, the item of data examining the reliability of evaluation of the college’s functioning was found to be low and indicates the low degree of stability and consistency in the subjects’ answers to the two statements in this measure.

3. Results

*3.1 Quantitative Analysis*

In order to test the research hypothesis, Pearson tests were carried out between results for the three categories (“Feelings and ability to cope with distance learning,” “evaluation of the method of teaching and the lecturers’ functioning,” and “evaluation of the college’s functioning”).

A positive connection at a significantly high level was found (r=0.738, p<0.01) between the measure of feelings and coping with distance learning and that of the evaluation of the method of teaching and the lecturers’ functioning as well as the functioning of the college, such that the more the student values the method of teaching and the lecturers’ functioning, the better their feelings and coping with distance learning.

Figure 1. Connection between method of teaching and function of lecturers and feelings/coping with distance learning

Similarly, it was found that there is a significant, moderately positive connection (r=0.506, p<0.01) between the measure of feelings and coping with distance learning and evaluation of the functioning of the college, such that the more the student appreciates the functioning of the college, the better their feelings and coping with distance learning are.

Figure 2. Connection between functioning of the college and feelings/coping with distance learning

3.1.1 Age

In order to examine the connection between the age of the participants and the indices of the study, additional Pearson tests were carried out. It was found that there is a significant, weak, positive connection (r+0.159, p<0.05) between the subject’s age and the level of evaluation about the method of teaching and functioning of the lecturers, such that the older the student was, the more he valued his lecturers’ functioning. There is also a significant, weak, positive connection (r=0.177, p<0.05) between the age of the subject and evaluation of the functioning of the college, such that the older the student was, the more they valued the functioning of the college. In contrast, no significant connection was found between the age of the subject and feelings or coping with distance learning (r=0.118, p>0.05), such that different the participant’s age did not affect their feelings about distance learning.

3.6.2 Owning a Computer

The examination of the differences in the three indices of the study between subjects who have a computer at home and those who do not have a computer, was done through t tests for objective samples. Table 3 below presents the averages for the two groups and the results of the tests.

Table 3. Difference according to participant owning computer and results of t-tests (n=183)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | No Computer (N=18) | Computer (N=165) |  |
| Index | Average | Standard Deviation | Average | Standard Deviation | t |
| Feelings and coping with distance learning | 2.31 | 0.79 | 2.79 | 0.76 | 2.03\* |
| Assessment of method of teaching and lecturer’s functioning | 2.55 | 0.94 | 3.19 | 0.96 | 2.70\*\* |
| Assessment of college’s functioning | 2.94 | 0.94 | 3.39 | 0.93 | 1.93 |

\*p<0.05

Figure 3. Relationship between participant owning a computer and the study's indices

Blue (dark color) – no computer; Green (light color) – computer

Right to left:

Feelings and coping with distance learning

Evaluation of the method of teaching and lecturers’ functioning

Evaluation of the college’s functioning

It was found that feelings and coping with distance learning among subjects with a computer were significantly better than among subjects who did not have a computer: p<0.05, t(181)=2.03. It was also found that computer owners evaluate the method of teaching and the lecturers’ functioning significantly better than those without computers: p>0.01, t(181)=2.70, and additionally, they evaluate the college’s functioning better, although the results are not significant: p>0.05, t(181)=1.93.

3.1.3 Marital Status

In order to examine the differences between the study’s three indices among the married and unmarried subjects, t tests were carried out for independent samples. Table 4 below presents the average among the two groups and the results of the tests.

Table 4. Differences according to marital status and results of t-tests (n=183)

|  |
| --- |
|  Unmarried (N=102) Married (N=81) |
| The Index Average Standard Deviation Average Standard Deviation t |
| Feelings and coping with distance learning 2.62 0.83 2.90 1.07 2.01\* |
| Evaluation of method of teaching and lecturers’ functioning 3.02 0.89 3.25 1.06 1.57 |
| Evaluation of college’s functioning 3.30 0.90 3.40 1.00 0.70 |

\*p<0.05

Figure 4. The relationship between marital status and the study’s indices
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It was found significant that feelings and coping with distance learning among married subjects were better than among unmarried subjects: p<0.05, t(181)=201. It was also found that married subjects evaluated the method of teaching and the lecturers’ functioning more highly than the unmarried subjects, though not significantly: p>0.05, t(181)=1.57 and that they evaluated the college’s functioning better, though not significantly: p>0.05, t(181)=0.07.

3.1.4 Gender

In order to examine the differences between the study’s three indices among women and men, t-tests were carried out for independent samples. Table 5 presents the averages among the two groups and the test results.

Table 5. Differences According to Subject Gender and t Test Results (n=183)

|  |
| --- |
|  Male (N=29) Female (N=154) |
| The Index Average Standard Deviation Average Standard Deviation t |
| Feelings and coping with distance learning 2.62 1.01 2.76 0.94 0.74 |
| Evaluation of method of teaching and lecturers’ functioning 2.99 0.77 3.15 1.01 0.79 |
| Evaluation of college’s functioning 3.34 0.89 3.35 0.95 0.01 |

Figure 5. Relationship between gender and the study’s indices

Red (on the left)=female Blue (on the right)=male
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As seen, there are no differences noted between men and women according to the three study indices.

3.1.5 Study Track

In order to examine the differences in the three research indices according to study tracks, analysis of variance was carried out. Table 6 below presents the averages among the three study tracks and the test results.

Table 6: Differences according to study track and results of analysis of variance (n=183)

|  |
| --- |
|  Continuing Education (N=32) Regular (N=128) M.A. |
| The Index Average Stand. Dev. Average Stand. Dev. Average Stand. Dev. F  |
| Feelings and coping with distance learning 3.19 .87 2.62 .95 2.81 .89 4.92\*\* |
| Evaluation of method of teaching and lecturers’ functioning 3.53 .82 2.99 .97 3.28 1.06 4.40\* |
| Evaluation of college’s functioning 3.41 .89 3.31 .95 3.46 .99 0.30  |

Figure 6. Relationship between study track and the study’s indices

Left (grey)=M.A. Center (orange)=Regular Right (blue)=Continuing Education
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As can be seen, the feelings of the subjects in continuing education track were better than the feelings in regular studies or M.A. This difference is significant: p<0.01, F(2,180)=4.92. Moreover, the students in regular studies evaluate the method of teaching and the lecturers’ functioning as significantly lower than those in continuing education or M.Ed.: p<0.05, F(2,180)=4.40. No differences were found in evaluation of the functioning of the college.

3.1.6 Year of Study

The examination of the differences among the three indices of the study according to year of study, was carried out using analysis of variance. Table 7 below presents the averages among the three groups of study tracks and the test results.

Table 7. Differences according to gender and results of t-tests (n=183)

|  |
| --- |
|  First Year (N=59) Second Year (N=66) |
| The Index Average Stand. Dev. Average Stand. Dev. |
| Feelings and coping with distance learning 2.88 .81 2.71 1.01 |
| Evaluation of method of teaching and lecturers’ functioning 3.38 .76 3.01 1.11 |
| Evaluation of college’s functioning 3.64 .72 3.27 1.00 |
| Continuation Third Year (N= 35) Fourth Year (N=20)  |
|  Average Stand. Dev. Average Stand. Dev. F |
| Feelings and coping with distance learning 2.48 .88 2.96 1.17 1.71 |
| Evaluation of method of teaching and lecturers’ functioning 2.86 .89 3.19 1.10 2.62 |
| Evaluation of college’s functioning 2.89 1.00 3.50 .90 5.33\*\* |

It was found that there are no differences according to study year with regards to feelings and coping with distance learning: p>0.05, F(3,176)=1.71. Moreover, there are no differences according to study year regarding evaluation of method of teaching and lecturers’ functioning: p>0.05, F(3,176)=2.62. In contrast, differences were found in evaluation of the college’s functioning: p<0.01, F(3,176)=5.33, with fourth year students having a significantly lower evaluation as compared to first, second and third year students.

All of the findings shown above will be analyzed below in congruence with distance learning processes and the “agility” process that occurred at the time of the outbreak of the Coronavirus epidemic outbreak.

*3.2 Qualitative Analysis of Content*

In the qualitative portion of the questionnaire, 103 subjects answered the open question.

The responses were read a number of times. Initial encoding was carried out to create content categories. In the first stage, two central categories were found: positive evaluation of distance learning (15 statements) and critical evaluation (88 statements). Most of the responses described a wide variety of difficulties.

Sub-categories were found: work load, problems in the domestic setting (children, household chores, economic burden), problems in concentrating, being used to frontal learning and difficulty in transitioning to distance learning, emotional difficulties arising in light of the Coronavirus crisis, and general lack of satisfaction relating to a combination of different reasons. A selection of examples from each category will be presented below.

It is apparent that most of the critical responses emerged from the complex nature of the Coronavirus epidemic period and from the general climate caused by its outbreak, including measures such as quarantine, social distancing, and lockdown, and particularly the need to face an extreme situation of uncertainty. Beyond this, students had the burden of academic tasks, most of which were unpredictable. When students learn in classrooms on campus, they are usually “passive,” and it is the lecturer or advisor who takes the central role in getting the material across, or in advising and guiding an active learning session. In distance learning/teaching, there are more tasks given in a non-synchronous manner.

The major categories that were identified in the analysis of the qualitative content are listed below. Quotations have been brought in their original form (translated from the Hebrew).

3.1 Efficiency and savings as a result of distance learning:

A female student noted: *“Distance learning is much easier. It saves time and money on travel and I am more attentive when I’m comfortable in an armchair at home.”*

Another female student mentioned this same point: *“I don’t have any complaints towards the lecturers about the variety in the lessons and I even think distance learning is far better and we don’t need to use up gasoline and time. It’s possible to do everything from a distance today (as long as there isn’t Corona).”*

Another female student emphasized the advantages distance learning has for her as a new mother: *“I really love distance learning and actually prefer it. I gave birth to a baby boy three months ago and the distance learning makes it much easier for me because I don’t have to travel an hour and a half to the college. I would be glad if they allowed this after the Corona crisis is over.”*

Another responded that she was pleased, but also had fears about the uncertainty to come once the crisis passes: *“I hope that this learning that we’re doing now will count as if we had been in the college and we won’t have to come in to make up for it and that we can complete the semester on the original date. This is my final year of studies for the diploma and I really hope it won’t be delayed because of the situation, because most of the courses I’m taking are given well even online.”*

Others evaluated distance learning while applying critical thinking to the methods of teaching, what they receive, and the benefits….

*“The distance learning is excellent. I’m able to understand, only with those who lecture and explain the way they should. But there are a few of the lecturers who give a task or two every week and they don’t make an effort to do a lecture online to explain.”*

Another female student noted: *“I think that this is an excellent opportunity to evaluate distance learning: to learn about the advantages and disadvantages of varying the learning and about students’ satisfaction. For us as students we experience this from the other vantage point, too.”*

A male student noted the advantages alongside the desire to return to normalcy:

*“This college is great: the lecturers really invest in us and do everything so that we’ll succeed, but I feel that there are too many tasks, and for me personally it’s hard. For example, in anatomy and the study of the cell, to learn and take the test, I personally need to be in a room with a lecturer and not ‘live.’ But this is the situation and so unfortunately there’s no choice and I have to cope with it; I hope we return very soon.”*

Additional students expressed criticism and ideas for improving efficiency:

*“Not all of the lecturers teach online; some only put up presentations and say learn this. In distance learning, they are supposed to record themselves or film themselves and send it to us so we can understand the presentations.”*

*“Instead of distance learning, they could have changed the approach and instead given some challenging and interesting assignments…thinking outside the box. Lectures could be recorded professionally and more interestingly in a simulation center… This is my opinion after feeling very disappointment from the learning via ZOOM. From my point of view, ZOOM learning was unfortunately a waste of time.”*

With regard to the main difficulties that were mentioned, a number of primary categories were noted:

Distraction and Lack of Concentration:

*“It’s really hard because of course we’re at home, and I am distracted by every little thing, but it’s also convenient for me because I don’t need to get up really early in the morning to get organized to go to the college and that is really helpful. Anyone who has attention issues should go to a room by themselves and listen to the lessons; there’s a solution for everything.”*

Another female student noted that:

*“It’s hard to sit and concentrate for an hour and a half like a regular lecture; it’s not a regular lecture in a classroom. I’m in front of a computer and that is hard; maybe if they shorten the lecture that would help.”*

*“The situation is difficult as it is, and the distance learning makes it harder, especially if you have ADHD. Every lecturer thinks he’s the only one giving you assignments.”*

A similar approach was expressed by a female student who also felt she had trouble concentrating:

*“I would like the lessons to be much shorter because we’re in front of the computer most of the time and that’s hard, and other people in the house also need to use the computer. The lecturers should be easier to understand because beyond the fact that we are frustrated, the material becomes harder and we lose our concentration.”*

This category was prominent, with a large number of reactions (40):

A male student noted succinctly:

*“I am dissatisfied by distance learning, and it doesn’t make sense to pile on assignment after assignment.”*

Another male student wrote:

*“Most of the lecturers don’t teach, they only put up assignments online. The learning via ZOOM creates problems, loss of concentration, the material isn’t internalized the way it should be, the burden of tasks is huge and it makes it hard to be motivated to continue to do them.”*

As noted, the complaints about difficulties and the burden of assignments were a common characteristic, that related to the general situation as in the quotes mentioned above.

Challenges Arising from Household/Family Issues During Lockdown

A female first-year student noted:

*“It’s really hard that there is still no interaction between students and no personal interaction with the lecturers, we don’t know them or each other. I still don’t understand ‘Orbit Live’ well enough, as a model, and I’m not able to find articles. All of this makes it harder with distance learning. I would like if you could consider us specifically. Moreover, as the mother of a child, it is hard to follow the different assignments and to know when to submit them; it’s difficult to be synchronized in distance learning, and to listen without being disturbed. I’m looking forward to going back.”*

Another female student addressed the need to have turn on the computer camera during a ZOOM meeting:

*“We would like there to be more consideration. All in all, we feel from minute to minute that there is progress in the online learning process. Regarding the issue of being required to turn on the camera – this is something that can embarrass some of us, especially if we’re not alone at home.”*

Numerous female and male students noted the fact that they must care for their children during lockdown and are not available for synchronous learning:

*“Be considerate of students who have children and whose partners are working; they are alone with the children and cannot log onto lessons or spend time on assignments when there are so many assignments. It doesn’t make any sense!!!!! Why do you have to give an assignment at every lesson?”*

*“We are in a complicated situation right now and of course we should continue with routine and our academic track, but I also, personally have a young daughter at home and that makes it hard, along with all of the assignments and the ZOOM lessons.”*

*“I am in the career-change track, a mother of 2, my youngest is two and a half, I am a teacher and educator myself. It doesn’t make sense that in addition to all of this, I have to do assignments that lecturers send or participate in ZOOM lessons, when I also have lessons to teach to my students, not to mention taking care of my children at home when my husband is an essential worker and is out of the house most of the day. Please ne more considerate of us in the coming weeks. If you can that will help very much … thank you in advance.”*

These few examples represent many responses in this vein. They testify to the difficulties the students face, most of which stem from the surprising way that the Coronavirus epidemic came about, making distance learning necessary. This was no less surprising to the faculty, who were required to adapt various processes, and to design the time and space for learning in a different way, all while in front of computer screens.

1. Discussion

Distance learning has advantages and disadvantages for students, faculty, and colleges. Institutions that invest in processes of distance teaching and learning on a regular basis will have a good foundation from which to rapidly (within days) transition to distance learning. In the short-term, there is no expectation that this transition will create additional educational benefit beyond allowing for proper continuation of studies, to the degree possible, while preserving a certain routine. It is important to remember that the outbreak of the Coronavirus epidemic was quite sudden, and instructions were given “one day to the next” on the backdrop of a rapidly shifting reality. The transition to distance learning at Ohalo College can be characterized as a change in the teaching format by lecturers to students.

The agile change to distance teaching took place within 24 hours for most of the courses, in a range of fields and disciplines. Lecturers were not given time to prepare and organize the learning sites and to create learning materials that were pedagogically appropriate for distance teaching and the online setting. In this context, it was expected that the quality of teaching the material would be less effective in the short-term than the lessons and courses that had been planned and taught in the usual way. Nonetheless, the demands for social distancing forced the adoption of online or hybrid approaches for the length of an entire semester.

This study sought to examine how distance teaching was implemented at Ohalo College of Education, in the Age of Corona. This agile process was conducted in light of the regulations imposed on the college.

Two central research questions were posed:

1. What are students’ feelings toward distance teaching? This question was examined through three dimensions: the personal perspective (the students’ point of view), the lecturers’ perspective and the college’s perspective.
2. Were the students’ feelings and ability to cope with distance learning influenced by their assessment of the teaching and functioning of the college in the Coronavirus period?

The research hypothesis was that a connection would be found between students’ feelings and ability to cope with distance learning and their evaluation of the method of teaching and the lecturers’ functioning as well as their evaluation of the college’s functioning.

This assumption was reinforced by the responses to the research questionnaire, processed through various statistical tests, presented above. The study’s conclusions are as follows:

1. The answers demonstrated the very positive and significant connection (p<0.01, r=0.738) between the index of feelings and coping with distance learning and the index of evaluation of the method of teaching and the lecturers’ functioning, such that, the more a student values the method of teaching and the lecturers’ functioning, the better their feelings and coping with distance learning.
2. A moderately positive significant connection (p<0.01, r=0.506) was found between the index of feelings and coping with distance learning and the index of evaluation of the college’s functioning, such that the more a student values the college’s functioning, the better their feelings and coping with distance learning were.
3. Additionally, the older the students, the more they valued their lecturers’ functioning. A positive, weak, significant connection (p<0.05, r=0.177) was found between the age of the subject and the index of valuing the college’s functioning, in such a way that, the older the students, the more they value the college’s functioning. In contrast, there was no significant connection found between subject age and the index of feelings and coping with distance learning (p>0.05, r=0.118). It was found that subjects in regular studies valued the method of teaching and the lecturers’ functioning less than subjects in continuing education or M.Ed. and this was statistically significant: p<0.05, F(2,180)=4.40. No differences were found in evaluation of the college’s functioning.
4. No differences were found among students in different years of study in terms of feelings about and coping with distance learning: ->0.05, F(3,176)=1.71, and thus no differences were found based on year of study in evaluating the method of teaching and the lecturers’ functioning: p>0.05, F(3,176)=2.62. In contrast, differences were found in evaluating the college’s functioning: p<0.01, F(3,176)=5.33, where third year students evaluate the college’s functioning significantly lower, as opposed to first, second or fourth year students. It is possible that the reason for this is that third year students generally spend a lot of their time in the field in teaching practicum, in schools and kindergartens, spending less time at the college. The outbreak of the Coronavirus epidemic forced them to study more than at other times, which was done in order to both “practice” distance teaching and to study in various courses. The burden and the load placed on the third year was doubled.
5. The feelings and ability to cope with distance learning among married students were significantly better than for unmarried students: p<0.05, t(181)=2.01. It was also found that married students evaluated the method of teaching and the lecturers’ functioning more favorably than the unmarried students, but not significantly: p>0.05, t(181)=1.57, and that they evaluated the college more favorably, though not significantly: p>0.05, t(181)=0.70.
6. In contrast, in the qualitative section, a number of answers (40) noted a variety of difficulties and complications that emerged due to the students’ having to care for their own children, making it difficult for them to create time and availability for distance learning.
7. An additional item of data indicates that there were no differences between the men and the women in the three study indices.
8. In general, it is noticeable that the students had substantial difficulty coping with distance learning. This index received the lowest score (2.6) in all of the categories. The reason for this is embedded in a wide variety of circumstances. A broader explanation and description of this can be found in the qualitative analysis of the verbal responses.
9. The qualitative analysis demonstrated that there were a wide variety of statements in response to the open-ended question. The large number of answers (104) points to the fact that it was important to students to have their voices heard. The responses were detailed (each answer included at least one written paragraph). Analysis of the various responses points to a clear initial division into positive attitudes alongside those highlighting the difficulty that students describe during distance learning in this period. Analysis of the content indicates many different reasons that made it difficult for the students to deal with distance learning. Among them we identified a number of central content categories: a positive attitude toward distance learning and identification of advantages (15 statements) and a critical attitude (88 statements). Most of the reactions described a wide range of difficulties. Sub-categories were found: feeling too busy and overwhelmed, household issues (children, housework, economic problems), concentration, the familiarity of frontal learning and the difficulty of transitioning to distance learning, and emotional issues, stemming from the complexity of this period of the Corona crisis, as well as a general lack of satisfaction relating to a combination of several factors.
10. We surmise that the longer the exposure to distance teaching and learning continues, the more students and staff will be able to adjust to the change and to feel comfortable with this model. Following this adjustment, we anticipate that some students and lecturers will invest in resources to shift their face-to-face learning to the online setting, while preserving or even raising their quality. These investments could include partnering with designers or private companies that design online courses and rapid training of staff members to transition to online courses. Although online courses allow for more students, they can take all semester or up to a year to be properly developed; plans of this kind can enhance the students’ approach and improve their results, and thus possibly lead to expanded adoption of these technologies even after the Coronavirus crisis is over. In order to facilitate online and hybrid learning at a high level, colleges need to be prepared in the best possible way to deal with distance learning of such a large scope.

5. Conclusion

From the findings of this study, we see that the college acted with agility in light of the unique circumstances posed by the Coronavirus epidemic around the globe. Agile organization created an advantage, in the immediate transfer to distance teaching within 48 hours, for all of the courses at the college by all of the lecturers, with the participation of students from a variety of academic tracks (regular, career-change and M.Ed.). This agile alternative provided a rapid though imperfect solution to the challenge. The alternative would have been to suspend studies altogether or at least for a number of weeks in order to get organized, to make in-depth academic preparations, to open hundreds of online courses (the establishment of a quality online course takes, on average, half a year). This would likely have resulted in greater student satisfaction. However, wasted time and lost momentum would also be a problematic issue; indeed the role of maintaining that momentum was to strengthen and continue to reinforce the process of organized teacher training, as an anchor for establishing a “Corona routine,” in contrast to the alternative of very slow implementation at the price of a somewhat improved academic quality. The consideration that came prior to the decision was to establish a consistent and coherent learning routine as much as possible in light of the rapidly-shifting reality to create some semblance of a routine through distance learning. It is important to remember that the institution being examined is a training college for teachers and educators. Here, the sum total of processes that the student experiences serve as a constructivist base for models, learning and the ability to think reflexively regarding their current activities along with the ways they will act as future teachers. Therefore, the same students who experienced the online semester during the Corona epidemic will have gained experience that will be relevant if and when they experience a period of emergency as teachers and educators. That is to say, the experiment and the experience have added value to their training process for coping with the reality of uncertainty, emergency and distress.

It is clear that the students’ satisfaction is moderate. The emotional conditions created by the Corona crisis – feelings of displacement, uncertainty, fears and anxieties (a topic that has yet to be studied due to the proximity to the event) – significantly impact the findings. Thus - cause and effect. As opposed to experiences of distance learning courses that are well-planned, designed and tailored to the online format in advance, Emergency Distance Learning (ERT) is a temporary transition that moves teaching to a different format due to a crisis situation. It involves full use of distance teaching solutions for teaching or educating, where lessons are given over in a completely different manner than what had been planned as face-to-face meetings or mixed/hybrid courses. In a crisis or emergency situation, the main goal under the circumstances is not to create a strong ecological educational system from scratch but to provide quick, temporary, readily-available and accessible teaching, learning, and guidance.

Moreover, when a student registers for an online course, the format is clear to them. The distance teaching and the academic expectations, demands, and environment are clear and anchored in organized syllabi.

The situation created by the Coronavirus epidemic intensified the scope of uncertainty and instability and thus moderate satisfaction was registered.

Recommendations:

1. One of the core insights in this study is that institutions of higher education, and schools of education in particular, need to prepare for emergency situations as much as possible.

Namely, we suggest preparing emergency strategies, contingency plans, etc.

1. When training institutions create dramatic changes, all the more so in times of emergency, it is appropriate to strengthen ties with the students, and for lecturers to mediate what is happening. It is recommended to organize, as much as possible, methodical systems of support to provide answers for various difficulties that arise (financial issues, stress, overwhelm, lack of concentration, etc.).
2. It is important to understand beforehand that agile processes can create satisfactory solutions. However, while the solutions may be rapid and flexible – agile – there will be a price to pay in terms of quality.
3. The significant insight arising from this study is that the greater the students’ appreciation for the college’s functioning, the better their feelings towards and ability to coping with distance learning. This is significant; it is important to empower the students’ feelings when it comes to distance learning. One of the lessons learned during the Corona crisis is that agile functioning can be sufficient in order to preserve a continuum of learning and to continue activity in an alternative. Preparedness and ongoing assessment allow for improvement, from mere agility to agility *and* quality.

Limitations of the Study:

This is a pioneering study that deals with the stark and rapid transition of a teacher-training college situated in northern Israel to online teaching during the Corona crisis of 2019-2020. Further studies are needed in order to deepen insights into the various aspects of this topic.

Due to the novelty of the study and the brief amount of time that has passed since the crisis, there is insufficient theoretical knowledge and research on this topic, about agile transitioning of a teacher-training college to distance learning during the Coronavirus epidemic.
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