**Some explanations to the editor based on his comments:**

1. Regarding Kasher’s and Yadlin’s thesis – in contrast to my dissertation, in the book I chose to use their thesis only as a frame of reference for my own doctrine. However, I could also stick to my dissertation. This would mean that in Chapter 3, instead of merely introducing the doctrine, I would also raise the main criticism directed against it.
2. Ethical issues – after raising the ethical issues, I could analyze each case study according to Kasher’s and Yadlin’s doctrine. This would further clarify my own doctrine and enable the reader to appraise which parameters were met, which were not, and which were only met partially. The analysis of the Sri Lanka case study, which I am now re-submitting to you, has been revised in this manner.