**From Contested Space to Sacred Topography: Jews, Protestants and Catholics in Reformation Cracow**

**Anat Vaturi**

Throughout the 16th century and into the beginning of the 17th, in the days when England was satirically considered a “Hell of Horses […] and the Paradice of Weomen,”[[1]](#footnote-1) Poland was described as a paradise for Jews (*paradisus Judaeorum*) and a refuge for heretics (*asylum haereticorum*).[[2]](#footnote-2) Indeed, amidst a Europe fraught with religious wars, Poland’s “golden and silver age”[[3]](#footnote-3) boasted conditions that accommodated a multi-cultural, multi-ethnic, and multi-religious mosaic that attracted members of persecuted denominations and empowered the development of local religious groups.[[4]](#footnote-4) The unification with Lithuania (1569) and resultant territorial expansion from the Baltic Sea almost all the way to the Black Sea coincided with economic prosperity as the “wheat barn of Europe” and a cultural and intellectual boom. Concurrently, the aristocracy’s gains over the church and monarchy led to the emergence of a “democracy of nobles,” which legislated limited religious pluralism. All these factors and especially the fear of religious war, enabled the coexistence in sixteenth-century Poland of Catholics, Orthodox Christians, Armenians, Muslims, Jews, and Protestants of different denominations, with scarcely any religious coercion from the state.[[5]](#footnote-5) They facilitated the development of everyday practical toleration among neighbors of different religions or confessions, and a number of arrangements that allowed different ethnic or religious groups to share urban spaces. Shared churches of Catholics and Protestants, or of Lutherans and Calvinists, Mosques in the outskirts of Vilna, multiple Jewish communities, and a multidimensional coexistence between the followers of various confessions, were a source of Polish pride at the time and attracted the attention of foreign travelers and emissaries, like for example the papal nuncio Niccolo Stoppio, who was astounded by the power of Protestants during his visit in Cracow in 1564:

“So powerful are the heretics, that they dare take arms and wreak havoc on the royal city.”[[6]](#footnote-6)

What puzzled Stoppio was not the very existence of Protestants in Cracow, which at that time was the most ethnically diverse metropolis in Poland with a large immigrant population.[[7]](#footnote-7) The papal nuncio was astounded by Protestants’ status, their ability to express religious diversity and exercise power in public in the city that was known for its religiosity. This chapter attempts to shed some light on the character of religious diversity that surprised the papal legate. While concentrating on Jewish and Evangelical community,[[8]](#footnote-8) it traces the dynamics of interreligious coexistence and discusses the local arrangements, that established and constantly redefined the experience of shared city center in the period right before the triumph of the Catholic confesionalization in the second half of the 17th century.[[9]](#footnote-9)

**Jewish challenge**

Late medieval Cracow was known as Poland’s principal metropolis, university town, and a flourishing commercial, cultural, and political center with extensive ties to other states and a substantial number of foreign residents.[[10]](#footnote-10) It was also a Catholic spiritual center with a growing number of churches, convents, and relics attracting pilgrims, as well as home to the most influential Jewish community in the Crown Poland. Until 1469, Jewish communal institutions and houses of most community members were centrally located on and near the today *Jagiellońska Street* then markedly called *Vicus Iudaeorum*, and *Judengasse*, or Jewish street, the modern-day *St. Anne Street*, which ran from the main market square to the city walls and the so called Jewish gate (*portula Iudaeorum*) leading to the main Jewish graveyard outside the city walls.[[11]](#footnote-11) Although there was no spatial segregation with walls or gates, and Christians lived next to Jews, this city corner marked with an old synagogue (built before 1356) with adjoining cemetery located on a parcel in front of the St Anna’s church, was recognized as a Jewish space.[[12]](#footnote-12) In contemporary documents, the location of St. Anna’s and of the first university buildings was described as *in Judea* or *inter Judeos*. During the 15th century, Jews were very active on Cracovian estate market. Some of their houses were sold to Christians, while prominent community members purchased properties for themselves and for the entire community in another part of the city centre, near the Szczepańskiego square, behind the Church of Saint Stephen.[[13]](#footnote-13) A new synagogue was established close by, on St. Mark str. In 1469, an exchange agreement was signed between the representatives of the Jewish community and Dlugosz brothers, according to which the Jews left their old neighbourhood and moved to the northern part of the city.[[14]](#footnote-14) Although the rules of Jewish residence and the legal status exempting Jews from municipal jurisdiction did not change, the new location was not only more remote from the main road to the city, from the city scale and the town hall, but also distanced Jewish homes from the upscale first row of city square houses. In exchange for a less-central location, the communal authorities preserved Jewish residence inside the city. Unexpectedly, they quickly managed to re-establish a quite consolidated neighbourhood. Although the new location wasn’t physically defined with a wall or limited to Jewish residents, and its formal religious space centred around a single synagogue, Jewish domestic practices and rituals turned it into a distinct sanctified urban area, that spread along the streets with houses whose windows shone with candlelight on Friday nights. Although its official name had not been changed, very soon the *Szpiglarska str*. became known as Jewish Street in everyday topography, thus challenging again the sacred landscape and municipal jurisdiction of the Catholic capitol. This bone of contention was part of the context of the two attacks on new Jewish neighbourhood in 1477 and 1494.

The agreement of 1469 did extenuate the spatial conflict by moving the Jewish challenge to the city outlook further away from the city centre. Although counted on, it did not help with the more pressing tension related to Jewish share in urban economic sphere and their physical and economic omnipresence at the central market square. This issue laid the foundation for the 1485 agreement, of a kind known as ‘pacta’, between the Cracovian magistrate and the Jewish elders. This rather constrained compromise, which aimed at limiting Jewish competition in trade and sales, actually divided the contested economic sphere between Christian and Jewish merchants. To preserve their cut in urban economy, the community agreed

“[…] to abstain from trade and cease from dealing with merchandise. Likewise, not to take various commodities or merchandise and sell with our own hands to other Christians, except for our unredeemed pledges by which we lost in usury and which we can sell in our houses at any time and opportunity. We may not dare to convey and bring these pledges to sell them in the streets or markets in the city, except for two specific days of the week, that is on Tuesday and Friday, restricted for markets, as well as on the fair-days […] Likewise we shall sell only the pledges we can swear on the Torah to be ours. […] Likewise, poor Jewesses have the right to sell on all days headdresses and neck jewels made by their own hands and craft.” [[15]](#footnote-15)

For local authorities, struggling with Jewish exemption from municipal jurisdiction, this arrangement was a way to subject community to their will. For Christian merchants, this agreement sought to deprive Jews of economic rights of city residents and bring down their market activity at least to the limited level of other foreign merchants (extranei),[[16]](#footnote-16) who were allowed to sell their products at fairs and were subjected to city’s staple rights.[[17]](#footnote-17) From the perspective of sacred topography, this arrangement was befitting the church policy to emphasize Catholic overtone of city streets by limiting Jewish economic activity on Sundays and Christian holidays. From Jewish perspective, this agreement limited community members’ cut in retail and wholesale. Yet simultaneously it officially secured Jewish presence in urban economy and opened the window to increase in pawnbroking and trade in unredeemed pledges (so-called lapsed bonds—*obligatio sub lapsu*). It forced Jewish merchants to develop marketing methods breaking the urban mercantile cartel, such as employment of mercantile agents who worked on percentage and flooded the city streets and markets, or enrollment of family members and especially Jewesses in sales of handicraft products. Thus, while fewer Jews probably appeared at the city scale, Jewish peddlers and agents enticing customers became an omnipresent feature of the city squares. The economic pressure continued growing,[[18]](#footnote-18) and together with the above-described spatial challenge and increasing jurisdictional tension, necessitated new arrangement limiting Jewish share in Cracow’s religious landscape and economy to a tolerable level.

**Redefining Jewish Share in Contested Space**

While searching for ways to overcome the economic limitations, some of the affluent Cracovian Jews purchased properties in the adjacent independent royal city of Kazimierz, south of the northern branch of the Vistula (*Wisła*) river.[[19]](#footnote-19) In 1495, following a great fire and several anti-Jewish riots, the entire Cracovian Jewish community, amounting probably to 500-700 individuals at the time, was transferred from Cracow to Kazimierz, where they joined a small Jewish community which had already existed in the 14th century.[[20]](#footnote-20) The nature of this resettlement and the interreligious tensions behind it, were differently interpreted not only by modern historians but already by the contemporaries.[[21]](#footnote-21) However, when analyzing the socio-political and economic forces at play and perceiving physical space as a ‘contested ground’, it seems that the Jewish community consciously agreed to move to a designated quarter of the royal city of Kazimierz, which though independent was still a part of Cracow courban economy under royal jurisdiction. By settling only a half-hour’s walk from the Cracovian city market, Jewish authorities gained a higher degree of communal autonomy and congruence, as well as more freedom in economic activities of Jewish merchants and craftsmen in the capitol, all without losing jurisdictional protection assigned to Jews residing in royal domains under the supervision of administrator of royal estates (*wielkorządca*).

Whatever were the circumstances behind the move to Kazimierz, the significance of this change remains undisputed. Jewish community and its institutions were erased from the sacral topography of Cracow. Except for very distinguished individuals who had royal permits to reside in the capitol,[[22]](#footnote-22) no Jews permanently dwelled in the city or remained there after the town watch announced evening curfew. If anyone did stay in the city, or its enclaves owned by nobles, he still needed to walk to Kazimierz to attend religious services or receive communal assistance. The mode of Jewish residence itself was altered, the jurisdictional and social environment changed. Although socio-economic, confessional and political tensions similar to that occurring in Cracow, could be felt in Kazimierz, the situation and dynamics in suburban town were different and while two separate “sacred areas” were gradually established -Christian town and Jewish quarter called ‘the city of Jews’ (*Oppidum Iudaeorum)* - the frequency, amplitude and resonant of conflicts were much lower. Around 1530, after settling the stormy quarrels with new emigrants from Bohemian lands, the size of the Jewish population in Kazimierz was more or less compatible with that of the Christian population (circa 2000),[[23]](#footnote-23) and the Kazimierz community was at the doorstep of the period known as its golden age. The community soon had a number of functioning synagogues,[[24]](#footnote-24) and turned into a fast developing ‘big urban community’ managed by an autonomous self-government in a corporation-like manner and ready to adopt itself to the evolving surrounding.[[25]](#footnote-25) The community secured the needs of its members not only in spiritual realm but in everyday life as well. After the king officially forbade Jews to live in Christian part of Kazimierz (1566),[[26]](#footnote-26) the community received the first privilege *de non tolerandis Christianis (to not tolerate Christians)* evergranted on Polish lands (1568).

Following the relocation to Kazimierz, the negotiations between Jews and Cracovian magistrate continued. Yet, their character and orientation changed. The reoccurring conflicts had economic roots and although some religious rhetoric was gradually added to the opposition against renting city shops and storages to the Jews or against Jewish economic activity on Sundays and Christian holidays, the negotiations focused on the contested urban market and Jewish new position in the metropolitan economic constellation. While Cracovian burgers insisted on treating Jews as foreign merchants and constantly returned to the above-mentioned agreement of 1485,[[27]](#footnote-27) the Jewish merchants and craftsmen sought to utilize their “in between” position. On one hand, they claimed that the restrictions of the 1485 pact could not be imposed on them as they were no longer town residents. On the other hand, the community continued to refer to itself as the Holy Community of Kroke (Cracow in Yiddish), and emphasized its location within the co-urban economic triangle - Cracow- Kazimierz- Kleparz- consequently demanding Jewish fair cut in metropolitan economy.[[28]](#footnote-28) Since Christian inhabitants of Kazimierz enjoyed some special trade rights in the capital, including permission to sell freely their products and purchase materials necessary for their craft,[[29]](#footnote-29) Jews insisted on equal treatment.[[30]](#footnote-30) They appeared in Cracow on every possible occasion, selling various commodities around the city, attracting Christian buyers, taking an active part in local bazaars and fairs, and making use of the small city weighing scale not only for measurements and taxes but also for closing deals.[[31]](#footnote-31) Following Jewish merchants’ requests, the king allowed them to rent number of stores and warehouses in the center of the capitol:

Jews are allowed to rent shops and storage spaces, display merchandise at the market of Cracow; the magistrate and custom officials should not charge them with high or special payments, different to those required of the citizens of Cracow or Kazimierz.[[32]](#footnote-32)

While petty merchants opposed renting shops to the Jews, other groups in the Cracovian society supported it. The Cracovian elite sought to continue rent spaces to Jews for substantial sums,[[33]](#footnote-33) while the municipality enjoyed collecting taxes from Jewish merchants. Even the poorer strata of Cracovians supported Jewish peddlers for their provision of cheaper products:

 “If only Jews were allowed to sell goods and roots, we would get them for much cheaper; [Christian merchants] are worse vendors than Jews, that is why they hate Jews […].”[[34]](#footnote-34)

The royal interventions not always managed to ease the conflict between the negotiating sides and at times, when the city council could not appease guild members or Christian merchants claiming to exclusive trade rights, the conflict escalated and the competition over agricultural products even before they reached the city included confiscation of merchandise or violent excesses.[[35]](#footnote-35) In times of crisis not only merchants but city magistrate itself took extreme measures such as temporary closing of the city gates before Jews.[[36]](#footnote-36) Using the excuse of epidemics brought by Jews as outsiders entering the city, the municipality sought to brake the circle of litigations and antagonism, and redefine the market division anew, by emphasizing the residential separation of the sides.

Throughout the sixteenth century, the existence of separate religious spheres diminished the importance of religious topography as determining Christian-Jewish relations and as a root of reoccurring conflicts. The sacral character of capitol was not the key argument used by anti-Jewish opposition fighting for their everyday income. Following the relocation to Kazimierz, economics constituted a framework for *convivencia* and religious diversity while it simultaneously fueled the market rivalry and supported the management of crises and reconciliation. In the established arrangement, Jews and Christians saw themselves as physically separated from one another. Although Jews no longer lived in unifying *intramuros* space, they cohabited the same economic and administrative environment. Both sides understood the inevitability of economic tides and encounters, and negotiated – though not always peacefully - their character, frequency, and scale. They imposed limits on interreligious ties and economic activity that could lead to overexposure to another way of life or challenge the sacredness of religiously demarcated space. As long as they posed no religious threat and agreed to limitations, Jews were interwoven into the symbiotic metropolitan economic structure. The residential separation was the product of a complex multifaith reality. Economy, on the other hand, was far from facilitating total separation between the two communities. It reinforced religious diversity and practical toleration in the shared market space, even when the Protestant Reformation posed a new challenge to the religious topography of Cracow.

**The Rise of Protestant Challenge**

Cracow, as a heterogenic city with a large German-origin population, and as a university town with a thriving publishing and cultural scene that also served as a center of international trade, was exposed to the ideas of the Reformation at an early stage. Many members of Cracow’s academia,[[37]](#footnote-37) had a keen interest in Luther’s ideas, read and discussed his publicly sold works. Despite the papal bull and a number of anti-Reformation decrees issued by the king,[[38]](#footnote-38) Lutheranism continued to spread across Cracow with every passing day not only among humanists and intellectuals but also among urban nobles, and wealthy city-dwellers.[[39]](#footnote-39) In an attempt to quell the spread of the Reformation in this early stage, the Church launched a series of public sermons and began prosecuting city-dwellers who were accused of Lutheran errors and the desecration of Catholic sacraments (1525-1531). Public interest in Luther’s teachings brought to Cracow a former student of its academia and a zealous preacher Jakub of Iłża. In 1528, he was put on trial for supporting Lutheran doctrine, but received only a warning. Jakub continued to propagate Lutheran ideas, this time from the church stand at St. Stephen’s and became the first preacher to get following in Cracow. He was put on trial again (1534), yet this time, after the church authorities understood the threat of losing a parish church to a new confession, he was forced to escape into exile. The rising presence of Lutheranism in the city and the threat of losing parish churches were also behind the Church authorities’ recommendation to move German sermons from St. Mary’s - the largest, most prominent and centrally located parish church in town - to a smaller St. Barbara’s chapel located in the churchyard (1536).[[40]](#footnote-40) In response to the Church’s clampdown, the Reformation movement in Cracow went underground, with supporters convening clandestinely in private residences. Between 1542-1547, royal librarian Andrzej Trzecieski, known in modern historiography as “the first hero of the Polish Reformation,”[[41]](#footnote-41) hosted in his home what were to become the most famous Protestant private gatherings. Gradually many Reformation supporters began abandoning the Catholic church and officially accepting the Protestant faith. Consequently, even before the death of the king Sigismund I the Old (1548), who was a strident opponent of the Reformation, the movement emerged back into the open. Public Lutheran sermons could be heard in several of Cracow’s Catholic churches, that turned into a contested space and seeds of Protestant sacred topography. The Catholic response, at that stage, was focused on curing the souls and strengthening teaching basics.

The Reformation began to truly prosper in the capital with the enthronement of young Sigismund II Augustus, “inclined to pragmatic compromise” in matters both political and religious.[[42]](#footnote-42) As of 1552, the minister Grzegorz Paweł of Brzezin, one the most prominent leaders and theologists of the Polish Reformation movement, began conducting Protestant services in private homes near Cracow. These were attended “by masses of people arriving on foot from Cracow with the utmost enthusiasm […] in good times and bad times, and without fear of the threats or insults levelled at them along the way at the incitement of the Church.”[[43]](#footnote-43)

In the 1550s, many Poles who had previously been interested in Lutheranism began leaving the Catholic establishment for Calvinism, which was better tailored to the needs of wealthy city-dwellers and nobles. Calvinist congregations were quick to emerge. On August 17, 1557, the first public Protestant mass was held in Cracow, at the court of burgrave and senator Jan Boner.[[44]](#footnote-44) This event marked the official beginning of the Cracovian Evangelical community. At the request of the followers, Grzegorz Paweł was appointed as the first permanent chief Protestant minister in Cracow, subsequently serving in this position for ten years.[[45]](#footnote-45) In addition to continuous attempts to introduce Protestant preachers to parish churches,[[46]](#footnote-46) Protestant services were held at the private homes of nobleman Marcjan Chełmski next to the Church of St. Francis,[[47]](#footnote-47) and of Jan Tarło on St. John street. Although not ecclesiastical, these buildings turned into acknowledged sites of religious practice, and of the fast developing alternative sacred topography. Their appearance in the midst of city center and next to ecclesiastical buildings shaping the religious life of Catholics, introduced Protestantism to official public sphere and made it more pluralistic, to the obvious discontent of Catholic church authorities.

Lutheran-Calvinist division was not the only one to divide the Polish Protestant community. While the Calvinist doctrine as it was adopted in Poland gave no room to social ideals that would appeal to city commoners or members of the lower classes, following some theological disputes many city-dwellers left the Calvinist Church in favor of more radical avenues. The Calvinist denomination split into the larger Reformed Church and the anti-Trinitarian minor church (*Ecclesia Minor*), referred to by its rivals as Arian (after the ancient Christian sect), and by its supporters as the Polish Brethren.[[48]](#footnote-48) Grzegorz Paweł, became a leader of the Polish Brethren in Cracow. In the capitol, members of the more radical denomination held their services at a residential building on 14 Szpitalna street, corner of St. Thomas street, which had been donated to the Polish Brethren Church by Stanisław Cikowski.[[49]](#footnote-49) Cracow fast became the most important center of this radical denomination (alongside Lublin), up to the establishment of the ani-Trinitarian center in Raków (1567). The split inside the church did not impede the fast development of the Calvinist center in Cracow. According to the biased account of the Protestant minister Wiśniowiecki there were approximately 1000 Protestants in the Cracow metropolitan area in 1556.[[50]](#footnote-50) According to Urban’s likely bloated estimates, there were 2,000-3,000 Protestants of different faiths and sects in the Cracow metropolitan area, already in 1568. That is, roughly 10 percent of the local population.[[51]](#footnote-51) Most of them were Calvinists.[[52]](#footnote-52) Despite their growing numbers, Protestants’ impact on city religious outlook was still minor. Their domestic space had no sacred character, and they led urban life not different form their Catholic neighbours. Protestants gathered in private residences and ceased their missionary activities. As Tazbir accurately summarized, in Poland “the transition to the Protestant faith did not involve lifestyle changes; nobles who changed their faith did not sever ties with Catholics and vice versa.”[[53]](#footnote-53) Research prove that Calvinist communities in Poland were dissimilar to those in western Europe, as the Polish nobility did not change its ways or make efforts to propagate the new faith, nor secluded from its Catholic neighbors.[[54]](#footnote-54) The fact that Calvinist Synod legislation called upon devotees to change their ways, to cease from “gluttony, drunkenness, and immodest dancing […] and extravagant dress,”[[55]](#footnote-55) likely points to the lack of any significant behavioral change among Polish followers of Geneva. Some burghers and nobles who adopted a new faith did become more earnest and righteous, and more inclined to live modestly or abstain from luxury.[[56]](#footnote-56) Otherwise, religious differences had very little influence over the day-to-day lives of devotees, and thus posed a minor challenge to the city outlook. Despite the opposition of religious authorities on both sides, intermarriage was not uncommon, and Christians of different denominations gathered in assemblies and accommodated each other as guests. Catholics discussed religion with Protestants and attended Protestant funerals. Wealth owners employed Protestant servants and clerks. The atmosphere as a whole encouraged the development of pragmatic approaches to interfaith interaction, which existed alongside prejudice and religious animosity. This relatively quiet period, experienced by Papal nuncio Stoppio, was temporary, and it changed when Protestants’ achievements started to threaten the sacred topography of Cracow.

In 1564, the first Protestant gymnasium was founded, attracting students from all over Poland, and establishing Cracow as one of the centers for reformed education.[[57]](#footnote-57) In 1569, “thanks to the influence of the Protestant magnates,”[[58]](#footnote-58) King Sigismund II Augustus permitted the establishment of an Evangelical cemetery in an ancient garden outside one of the city gates (*Brama Mikołajska*).[[59]](#footnote-59) On May 2, 1572, after years of praying in private homes, uttering Protestant ideas in contested parish churches, and following continuous effort and fundraising, the community managed to obtain a permit from the king to open a Protestant church (*zbór*) in the city, on 6 St. John street.[[60]](#footnote-60) Due to the unique structure of the roof, reminiscent of a movable structure used to cover hay barracks (see picture), the church was nicknamed “Bróg” (the Haystack).[[61]](#footnote-61) This was the so called ‘shared church,’ in which members of both the Augsburg Evangelical (Lutheran) Church and the Evangelical Reformed (Calvinist) Church could hold their services separately according to the common Evangelical confession, formulated in 1570 and known as *Consensus Sandomirensis*. [[62]](#footnote-62) German services were held in a separate hall on the uppermost floor, while the German-Lutheran minister was subordinated to the Polish minister.[[63]](#footnote-63) Besides those local achievements, the Protestants in the capitol gained also from state-wide political successes of their leaders. For instance, owing to the annotation to the legislation of King Casimir IV Jagiellon, they could hold titles and positions “as long as they were members of the Christian faith,” and not necessarily “members of the Christian faith who answer to the Roman Church.”[[64]](#footnote-64) Moreover, owing to the Warsaw Confederation (1573), their legal status was strengthen and no state interference in conscientious matters, and strengthened the Evangelical Protestants’ legal status within the Polish-Lithuanian Commonwealth.

Following the Evangelists’ series of triumphs in the regional and state political arena, the Cracovian congregation began to attract opposition. Markedly, although anti-protestant excesses had both religious-political and socio-economical grounds, the most resented were Evangelical actions influencing the religious topography of the city center of Cracow. Although Catholic authorities tolerated Protestants in the city council and market square,[[65]](#footnote-65) it was a rather antagonistic tolerance, based on Catholics enduring of Evangelical modest presence without embracing it. It was a very delicate equilibrium which lasted as long as the sacred landscape of the capitol was preserved. Collective use of public space for processions and establishment of new sites of religious practice shaken the balance. Yet, since the church authorities had their hands tied by political agreements, the anti-protestant opposition moved to “the streets”. Among all the cities in Poland, Cracow became the site of exceedingly frequent religious riots, until the papist opposition managed to push the Protestant sacred institution out of the city and regain control over urban sacred landscape.



Mobs of commoners and students targeted public displays of Protestant worship. They attacked Protestant funerals (1557, 1578, 1581, 1597), demolished the Evangelical cemetery (1574, 1577, 1578, 1585) and the Evangelical hospital (1607), and assaulted Protestant clerics and community members, breaking into their homes or setting them on fire (1577, 1578, 1581, 1610). The Brog church building, was demolished twice (1574 and 1587). On May 26, 1591, it was burned to the ground along with the city’s Polish Brethren church building. During the first attacks, Protestants sought to retaliate against their Catholic aggressors. Protestant violence usually took the form of individual attacks against students or clerics. Such were the assaults carried out by Piotr Tomicki in 1576, by Jan Stadnicki in 1577 and 1581, as well as the murder of a student at the hands of Mikołaj Dłuski’s servant in 1582.[[66]](#footnote-66) There were also isolated cases of group attacks by Protestants, such as an ambush on a group of passers-by who had approached the Bróg in 1578, an attack on worshippers during a Catholic mass by a group of soldiers in 1587, or an attack on the Carmelite church in 1588. However, in face of the escalating pressure of the Counter-Reformation and the arrival of the Jesuits in Cracow (1564), the Protestant leadership ceased from its displays of power and assumed instead a defensive position focusing on upholding its existing accomplishments and ensuring safety.

**Redefining Protestant Share in Urban Space**

After the complete destruction of the Protestant church building, the Catholics had achieved their objective: fearful of building it anew, the Protestant community moved its services away from Cracow. The community now gathered in the village of Aleksandrowice, owned by Stanisław Iwan Kamiński, one of the community elders. In this village, 10 kilometers away from Cracow, Polish adherents – whose numbers were falling – prayed and assembled together with members of German descent who had remained Lutheran. The community continued as yet another small and local community, while its influence beyond the region gradually eroded. The Counter-Reformation re-established the Catholic sacred topography of Cracow while limiting Protestant worship to private sphere. Polish Evangelists, who unlike the Jews created no sanctity around their domestic space, were allowed to live in the city center. They were allowed to use public space while refraining from public expressions of their religion, which were viewed as challenging to the sacred topography of the city. Furthermore, as the Counter-Reformation conquered the urban space, the Catholic church sought to transform central public sphere from contested or shared to sanctified. While using the urban space as part of their narrative of victory over dissidents and religious diversity, Catholic authorities first invested in retaking former Protestant buildings and turning them into manifestly ecclesiastical. For instance, they convinced the king to hand the Bróg’s ruins over to Stanisław Lubomirski, a pious Catholic and sponsor of many churches, who then gave them to the St. Bernard Order. The Protestants voiced opposition to this move in the 1627 general assembly, to no avail. In the 1630s, the construction of a new Catholic church of Saint Mary of the Crib began atop the ruins of the Bróg. In addition to retaking Protestant churches, the Counter-Reformation movements included also rebuilding existing churches and establishing new religious sites in central city areas. As in many other places in central Europe, the non-Catholic symbolic markers were erased from the contested city center, and the urban landscape became overwhelmingly Catholic.

Conclusion

On 18 March 1596, the king Zigismund the 3rd decided to move his residence from Cracow to Warsaw. Although this change significantly contributed to the decline of city’s political and economic importance, it did not influence the prominence of Cracow as a religious center. In the same year, Giovanni Paolo Mucante -the secretary of the papal legat Enrico Caetani-visited Poland and wrote in his diary, that Cracow was indeed a spiritual center worthy the old saying “if Rome was not Rome, Cracow would be Rome.”[[67]](#footnote-67) Roughly four decades separate the relations of Stoppio and Mucante, yet the impression left by the two foreign observers testify to a shift Cracow underwent from a urban hub experiencing religious diversity and negotiating the character of city space, to an ecclesiastical centre “rich […] in churches, in bodies of saints, innumerable places of worship, and non-stop religious services as if the city was another Rome.”[[68]](#footnote-68) Although the city dwellers and daily incoming remained religiously and confessionally heterogenic, the public expression of their religious diversity was limited to the necessary minimum. Both Jews and Protestants of different disseminations were allowed to be present in the economic, juridical and administrative sphere of the city, as long as their worship practices was confined to private sphere in case of Protestants, or to the separated residential quarter in adjacent town in case of Jews. The difference in the Catholic approach to Jewish and Protestant neighbors was among others related to challenge the two minorities posed to the sacred topography of the city. Sine Polish Protestants were not visibly different from their Catholic neighbours, they could live in the city as long as they conformed to the norm of a public and private distinction dictated by the Catholic politico-religious majority and practiced their faith in private spaces marked by the threshold of the house or churches outside of the city. In case of the vivid Jewish community, it was not only the institutions or worship but the way of life in general that appropriated urban space, and thus violated the sacral topography of Cracow and led to the exclusion of Jews from urban residential space. The coexistence in the public sphere was thus realized through exclusion of religious minorities from the sacred topography of the city. With the victory of the Counter-Reformation the once negotiatied contested space of the urban center became the heart of practical toleration especially in economic realm and the sphere of antagonistic or granted tolerance of Catholic authorities towards religious minorities.[[69]](#footnote-69) The dominance of Catholic creed was reflected in physical transformation of urban space, in which there was no place for Jewish Street, neither for Protestant church nor for “heretics [who] wreak havoc on the royal city.”[[70]](#footnote-70)
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