			INDIVIDUA	ALIZED ED	DUCATION PROGRAM	I (IEP)		Page 1 of 2
Los Angeles Unified Student Identifica				SSID			Eliai	
Number		2002017/142	]				Eligi	ible (SLD)
Student BASSON		ATAN Einst	MI			Date of Birth	n: []	6-MAY-2012
Last		First		ction A: M	eeting Information			
	Perti	nent Dates				Type of	Meeting	
Date of Initial IEP Tea	m Meeting	01-JUN-2022	2		<ul> <li>Initial</li> </ul>		Amend	lment of IEP dated
Date of Present Meetir	ıg	01-JUN-2022	2		Initial			
Annual Review to be c by	conducted	01-JUN-2023	3		Annual Review		- ·	start Transition
Next Three Year Revie conducted by	ew will be	31-MAY-202	5		OThree Year Revi	ew	-	ion Analysis lual Transition Plan
Three Year Review or was conducted on	Evaluation	01-JUN-2022	2				_	
Transition to Kinderga conducted by	rten to be							
Location of Meeting		Colfax Charter I	El. via Zoom		District Name	Los Ang	eles Unified	School Dis
			Se	ction B: St	udent Information			
Date of Birth	16-MAY-2	·	Age		10	Grade		4
Gender	O Male	) Female	Limited Proficien	English 1t Student	O Yes 🔘 No	Ethnic Co	de	
Location of the Psych Folder	LOCALE	DISTRICT N	Student l Psych Fo					
Location of the Cum Folder	COLFAX	EL(CHART	Student l Folder	has no Cum				
Home Language	English		Student I	Language	English	Alternate Communi		
Home Address of Student	11558 MC	ORRISON STRE	ET					
City	VALLEY	VILLA( CA	ZIP Code	e	91601			
Home Telephone	818-300-5	9999	Daytime	Telephone		Emergenc Telephone		
School of Attendance	Private Sc	hool Office (	Location	Code	1536			
School of Residence	Colfax El(	(Charter)	Location	Code	3164			
Name of Parent/Guardian	Sharon Ba	asson	Telephor	ne				
Address								
City		CA	ZIP Cod	e				
Surogate Parent			Telephor	ne				
Attends CURRENT S one of the following	CHOOL as	a result of	Private Sc	hool Enrollr	nent	•		
Private School: Valley Beth Shalom Da	av School							
Is the student living in Home (FFH)?		ster O No	Yes		FFH#			
Is FFH Provider relate	d to student?	$\bigcirc$ No	o 🔿 Yes		Relationship			
Licensed Children's In			o O Yes		LCI Name			
	·	2 10	-		LCI#			
Out of the home place	ment made by	-	egional Cente perior Court		O Department of Me	ental Health	○ Departr	nent of Children's Services
Child's family living w boundaries?	vithin LAUSI	D's O No	o 🔿 Yes					
If the student is 18 yea	rs old or olde	er or is an emano	cinated minor	r. does he/sh	e have educational decision	on-making rights?		$\bigcirc$ No $\bigcirc$ Yes

	IND		ZED EDUC	ATION BROCHAM (IFD)		Page 2 of 2
Los Angeles	s Unified School District	IVIDUALI	ZED EDUC	CATION PROGRAM (IEP)		
Student	BASSON NATAN Last First	MI		Date of Birth 16-MAY-2	2012	
		Sectio	on C: Lang	uage Acquisition		
Language Cla					Start Date:	
Withdrawal b	y Parent Request:	$\bigcirc$	Yes 🔿 No		Reclassification Date:	
ELPAC Perfo	rmance Level and Performance Descriptor	r:		♥	Test Date:	
	PAC Performance Level and Performance			♥	Test Date:	
Descriptor:						
				vement from Current IEP		
Goal for: (e)	xample - Reading)	Ach Yes	ieved No	If No. explain the reason t	the goal/objective was not acl	nieved
1		0	0			
Category	✓	0	0			
	Objective 1 met	$\bigcirc$	0			
	Objective 2 met	0	Õ			
2		Õ	Õ			
Category	V	<u> </u>				
	Objective 1 met	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$			
	Objective 2 met	0	0			
3		0	0			
Category	( v)					
	Objective 1 met	0	0			
	Objective 2 met	$\bigcirc$	0			
4		0	0			
Category	(					
	Objective 1 met	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$			
	Objective 2 met	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$			
5		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$			
Category	✓					
	Objective 1 met	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$			
	Objective 2 met	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$			
6		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$			
Category	( <b>v</b> )					
	Objective 1 met	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$			
	Objective 2 met	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$			
7		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$			
Category		~	~			
	Objective 1 met	0	0			
Q	Objective 2 met	0	0			
8		$\bigcirc$	0			
Category	Objective 1 met	$\frown$	$\sim$			)
	-	0	0			
9	Objective 2 met	0	0			
9 Category		0	$\bigcirc$			
Category	Objective 1 met	0	0			
	Objective 1 met Objective 2 met		0			
10		0	0			
Category		U	0			
Category	Objective 1 met	0	0			)
	Objective 2 met	0	0			
		$\bigcirc$	$\cup$			

	Page INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)
Los Angeles Unified School Distric Student BASSON NATA	
Last Fir	st MI
Performance Area:	Section E: Present Level of Performance Reading
Category:	Reading
Assessment/Monitoring Process	Woodcock Johnson IV Tests of Achievement
Used:	
State/District Assessment Results:	
Current Performance/Assessment Summ	nary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance):
On the Woodcock-Johnson IV Tests of	Achievement, Reading subtests include:
word. Natan identified initial items rap	Low Range of Performance Very Low Range of Performance w Range of Performance e of Performance t measured Natan's ability to identify letters and words. He was not required to know the meaning of any pidly and accurately but had difficulty applying phoneme-grapheme relationships to latter items. He was
multisyllabic (i.e -library, special, how The Sentence Reading Subtest measuread sentences, decide if they were tru	tomaticity as he read 38/50 letters/words correctly. The words he had difficulty with were mostly ever) He scored in the Low Range of Performance on this subtest. red Natan's reading rate, requiring both reading-writing and cognitive processing speed. He was required to e or false, and then circle Y for yes and N for no. The sentence complexity increased with difficulty as it inute time limit. On this subtest, Natan appeared to read the sentences slowly. He achieved 17 correct with
and put in a word/phrase that belonged	neasured Natan's ability to understand what he reads. Natan had to read sentences and passages out loud I. He was able to read the initial passages easily but appeared to struggle as the reading increased in ed in the Low Range of Performance on this subtest.
	Reading
Category:	Reading
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:	Informal teacher monitoring, student work samples, teacher observation
State/District Assessment Results:	
Current Performance/Assessment Summ	nary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance):
words, a reading-writing ability. The in read aloud combinations that are phon words. The items on this subtest becom	tan's ability to apply phonetic and structural analysis skills to the pronunciation of unfamiliar printed nitial items required Natan to produce the sounds for single letters. The remaining items required him to etically consistent or are regular patterns in the English orthography but are nonsense or low-frequency ne more difficult as the complexity of the nonsense words increases. Natan identified the initial items ty applying the phoneme-grapheme relationships to the latter items. On this subtest, Natan got 18 correct f Performance.
him to make connections to the text. H understand and apply comprehension s individual instruction, Natan contribut the beginning, middle, and end of stor relationships in short passages. He is a	s classroom teacher and Literary/Learning Specialist, Natan has good background knowledge which enables is listening comprehension skills are stronger than his reading comprehension skills, and he is able to strategies to grade-level text that is read aloud. When focused and engaged, particularly in a small group or es to discussions about the text he has read. He is able to answer comprehension questions and can identify es that he reads at his independent reading level. He can draw conclusions and identify cause and effect ble to ask and answer clarifying questions about a text. When answering questions about the text, he can go hat supports his answers. He is also able to make predictions and inferences from a text.

Los Angeles Unified School Dis	strict	INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)	Page 4
	TAN	Date of Birth 16-MAY-2012 Meeting Date 01-JUN-2	2022
Last	First	MI	
erformance Area:	Readin	Section E: Present Level of Performance	
ategory:	Readin		
ssessment/Monitoring Process		al Monitoring, Student Work Samples, Teacher Observation	
sed:		a Montoring, Student Work Samples, Teacher Observation	
ate/District Assessment Results:			
urrent Performance/Assessment S	ummary (incl	lude student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance):	
but his independent reading level of reading level is at DRA 38, which which makes reading lengthier tex at his school indicates that Natan i vowels, and multisyllabic words. I when reading (i.e- her for their, the place or repeats words and phrases develop, and he is working on und	continues to f is below Ben t, such as cha s still develop He has difficu at for what). V s for accuracy lerstanding th n's Specific L	iteracy/Learning specialist at Valley Beth Shalom, Natan has shown growth in reading this year, fall below grade level expectations. The most recent assessment indicates that his independent nchmark for the end of 4th grade. Natan reads very slowly and is building his reading stamina, apter books, very challenging for him. A recent CORE Phonics Survey taken by the literary teacher ping his decoding skills and has challenges with R-controlled vowels, long vowel patterns, variant alty reading high-frequency words accurately, and sometimes omits them or makes a substitution While Natan has improved in his phrasing and expression when reading, he sometimes loses his y when reading aloud, which impacts his fluency. Natan's comprehension skills are continuing to he main idea of a text and citing text evidence both orally and in writing. Learning Disability makes it difficult to read through and comprehend grade level text which ds in Reading.	
rformance Area:			
ategory:			
ssessment/Monitoring Process			
sed:			
ate/District Assessment Results:		lude student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance):	

Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summar On the Woodcock-Johnson IV Tests of A • Broad Written Language: SS (85) Low • Writing Samples: SS (108) Average Ra • Sentence Writing Fluency: SS (74) Low • Spelling: SS (75) Low Average Range The Spelling Subtest measured Natan's a	Section E: Present Level of Performance Written Language Writing Woodcock Johnson IV Tests of Achievement Woodcock Johnson IV Tests of Achievement ry (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Achievement, Written Language subtests include: Achievement
LastFirstPerformance Area:Image: Category:Category:Image: Category:Assessment/Monitoring ProcessImage: Category:Used:Image: Category:State/District Assessment Results:Image: Category:Current Performance/Assessment SummarImage: Category:On the Woodcock-Johnson IV Tests of AImage: SS (85) LowImage: SS (108) Average RaImage: SS (74) LowImage: SS (75) Low Average RangeImage: SS (75) Low Average RangeThe Spelling: Subtest measured Natan's a	MI Section E: Present Level of Performance Written Language Writing Woodcock Johnson IV Tests of Achievement Woodcock Johnson IV Tests of Achievement ry (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Achievement, Written Language subtests include: A Average Range of Performance ange of Performance w Range of Performance
Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summar On the Woodcock-Johnson IV Tests of A • Broad Written Language: SS (85) Low • Writing Samples: SS (108) Average Ra • Sentence Writing Fluency: SS (74) Low • Spelling: SS (75) Low Average Range The Spelling Subtest measured Natan's a	Written Language Writing Woodcock Johnson IV Tests of Achievement ry (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Achievement, Written Language subtests include: A Average Range of Performance ange of Performance w Range of Performance w Range of Performance
Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summar On the Woodcock-Johnson IV Tests of A • Broad Written Language: SS (85) Low • Writing Samples: SS (108) Average Ra • Sentence Writing Fluency: SS (74) Low • Spelling: SS (75) Low Average Range The Spelling Subtest measured Natan's a	Writing Woodcock Johnson IV Tests of Achievement ry (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Achievement, Written Language subtests include: Average Range of Performance ange of Performance w Range of Performance w Range of Performance
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summar On the Woodcock-Johnson IV Tests of A • Broad Written Language: SS (85) Low • Writing Samples: SS (108) Average Ra • Sentence Writing Fluency: SS (74) Low • Spelling: SS (75) Low Average Range The Spelling Subtest measured Natan's a	Woodcock Johnson IV Tests of Achievement ry (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Achievement, Written Language subtests include: V Average Range of Performance ange of Performance w Range of Performance w Range of Performance
Used: State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summar On the Woodcock-Johnson IV Tests of A • Broad Written Language: SS (85) Low • Writing Samples: SS (108) Average Ra • Sentence Writing Fluency: SS (74) Low • Spelling: SS (75) Low Average Range The Spelling Subtest measured Natan's a	ry (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Achievement, Written Language subtests include: V Average Range of Performance ange of Performance w Range of Performance
Current Performance/Assessment Summar On the Woodcock-Johnson IV Tests of A • Broad Written Language: SS (85) Low • Writing Samples: SS (108) Average Ra • Sentence Writing Fluency: SS (74) Lov • Spelling: SS (75) Low Average Range The Spelling Subtest measured Natan's a	Achievement, Written Language subtests include: / Average Range of Performance ange of Performance w Range of Performance
On the Woodcock-Johnson IV Tests of A • Broad Written Language: SS (85) Low • Writing Samples: SS (108) Average Ra • Sentence Writing Fluency: SS (74) Low • Spelling: SS (75) Low Average Range The Spelling Subtest measured Natan's a	Achievement, Written Language subtests include: / Average Range of Performance ange of Performance w Range of Performance
<ul> <li>Broad Written Language: SS (85) Low</li> <li>Writing Samples: SS (108) Average Ra</li> <li>Sentence Writing Fluency: SS (74) Lov</li> <li>Spelling: SS (75) Low Average Range</li> <li>The Spelling Subtest measured Natan's a</li> </ul>	Average Range of Performance ange of Performance w Range of Performance
<ul> <li>Writing Samples: SS (108) Average Ra</li> <li>Sentence Writing Fluency: SS (74) Lov</li> <li>Spelling: SS (75) Low Average Range</li> <li>The Spelling Subtest measured Natan's a</li> </ul>	ange of Performance w Range of Performance
	or renormance
substituted and/or omitted letters when sp	ability to write orally presented words correctly. Natan was able to spell 19/26 words correctly. He spelled omatic). He was able to repeat the words correctly, however, confused many of the sound-spellings, pelling out the stimuli words. Examples of some responses include frum/from, wunce/once, fit/fight, in the Low Average Range of Performance for the spelling subtest.
sentences in response to pictures that inc able to write six sentences but had troubl	neasured Natan's skill in quickly formulating and writing a simple sentence. He was required to write luded a set of three words. This subtest has a five minute time limit. During the five minutes, Natan was le formulating or writing the sentences quickly. All sentences contained the three stimuli words which of his responses were written as simple sentences with no added details. Natan scored in the Low Range subtest.
were evaluated concerning the quality of Sentences were simple but adequate (typ	Natan's skill in writing responses to a variety of demands. He was asked to produce written sentences that his expression. Natan expressed the ability to compose a sentence verbally and then put it onto paper. ical). He was able to provide 16 written responses to the verbal/visual stimuli provided. Throughout this inctuation and inserted capital letters mid-sentence. Natan scored in the Average Range of Performance
Performance Area:	Written Language
Category:	Writing V
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:	Informal Teacher Monitoring, Student Work Samples, Observation
State/District Assessment Results:	
Current Performance/Assessment Summar	ry (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance):
BASELINE PERFORMANCE/STRENC can formulate a graphic organizer as a pr	GTHS: In the area of Written Language Natan can write in complete, simple sentences. Given support, he recursor to his writing.
struggles to get his responses onto paper adult and they will scribe for him. When frequently omits punctuation. He has a d He is not able to independently produce s and revising his work is a very difficult t average range of performance, work sam	e of Natan's greatest areas of academic difficulty. He can verbally express what he wants to say, however, independently, whether it be handwritten/typed or speech to text. He often will speak his responses to an he does produce written language on his own, he often does not use appropriate capitalization and ifficult time spelling many basic words, in addition to grade level high-frequency words, with accuracy. single or multiple paragraphs and is not able to follow the writing process through completion. Editing ask for him. Although written language WJIV test scores show Natan to be in the low average to the uples and teacher observations indicate he is working well below grade level standards.
impedes him from meeting grade level st	ecific Learning Disability makes it difficult to generate ideas and write using grade level skills which tandards in Written Language.

Student DACCON INAT	AN	Data of Dirth 16 MAN 2012	Meeting Date 01 UIN 2022
Student BASSON NAT Last H	AN irst	Date of Birth 16-MAY-2012	Meeting Date 01-JUN-2022
		Section E: Present Level of Performance	
Performance Area:	Mathemati	cs	
Category:	Math	►	
Assessment/Monitoring Process Jsed:	Woodcock	Johnson IV Tests of Achievement	
state/District Assessment Results:			
Current Performance/Assessment Sur	nmary (include	student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student	t performance):
digits without regrouping, up to 2 by He solved problems slowly and dem problems that involved regrouping. The Math Facts Fluency Subtest me	e Range of Per- Low Average R Average Range ge Range of Per- Vatan's ability t 1 digit multip onstrated less a His score is wit	formance ange of Performance e of Performance	2 24/31 problems correctly. and multiplication otest.
		g. He would lose his place, look around, and need to be redirected.	Given minimal prompting,
he quickly got back on task. His sco The Applied Problems Subtest mea recognize the procedure, and then po the problem and which numbers to i paper. Item difficulty increased with difficulty but demonstrated increasi	re is within the sured Natan's a erform relativel nclude in the ca more complex ag difficulties s		Given minimal prompting, ibtest. tan to listen to the problem, ion should be used to solve others, he used his scratch as with no observed
he quickly got back on task. His sco The Applied Problems Subtest mea recognize the procedure, and then pa the problem and which numbers to i paper. Item difficulty increased with difficulty but demonstrated increasin Performance for the Applied Problem	re is within the sured Natan's a erform relativel nclude in the ca more complex ag difficulties s	g. He would lose his place, look around, and need to be redirected. Low Average Range of Performance for the Math Facts Fluency su bility to analyze and solve math problems. This subtest required Na y simple calculations. He had to decide which mathematical operati alculation. For some items, he was able to use mental math and for o calculations as the subtest progressed. Natan solved initial problem olving the latter items. He got 31/39 correct and scored within the A	Given minimal prompting, ibtest. tan to listen to the problem, ion should be used to solve others, he used his scratch as with no observed
he quickly got back on task. His sco The Applied Problems Subtest mea recognize the procedure, and then py the problem and which numbers to i paper. Item difficulty increased with difficulty but demonstrated increasin Performance for the Applied Problem erformance Area:	re is within the sured Natan's a erform relativel nclude in the ca more complex 1g difficulties s ns Subtest.	g. He would lose his place, look around, and need to be redirected. Low Average Range of Performance for the Math Facts Fluency su bility to analyze and solve math problems. This subtest required Na y simple calculations. He had to decide which mathematical operati alculation. For some items, he was able to use mental math and for o calculations as the subtest progressed. Natan solved initial problem olving the latter items. He got 31/39 correct and scored within the A	Given minimal prompting, ibtest. tan to listen to the problem, ion should be used to solve others, he used his scratch as with no observed
he quickly got back on task. His sco The Applied Problems Subtest mea recognize the procedure, and then po the problem and which numbers to i paper. Item difficulty increased with difficulty but demonstrated increasin Performance for the Applied Problem erformance Area: Category:	re is within the sured Natan's a crform relativel nelude in the ca more complex g difficulties s ns Subtest. Mathemati Math	g. He would lose his place, look around, and need to be redirected. Low Average Range of Performance for the Math Facts Fluency su bility to analyze and solve math problems. This subtest required Na y simple calculations. He had to decide which mathematical operati alculation. For some items, he was able to use mental math and for calculations as the subtest progressed. Natan solved initial problem olving the latter items. He got 31/39 correct and scored within the A	Given minimal prompting, ibtest. tan to listen to the problem, ion should be used to solve others, he used his scratch as with no observed
he quickly got back on task. His sco The Applied Problems Subtest mea recognize the procedure, and then p the problem and which numbers to i paper. Item difficulty increased with difficulty but demonstrated increasin Performance for the Applied Problem erformance Area: Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:	re is within the sured Natan's a crform relativel nelude in the ca more complex g difficulties s ns Subtest. Mathemati Math	g. He would lose his place, look around, and need to be redirected. Low Average Range of Performance for the Math Facts Fluency su bility to analyze and solve math problems. This subtest required Na y simple calculations. He had to decide which mathematical operati alculation. For some items, he was able to use mental math and for or calculations as the subtest progressed. Natan solved initial problem olving the latter items. He got 31/39 correct and scored within the A	Given minimal prompting, ibtest. tan to listen to the problem, ion should be used to solve others, he used his scratch as with no observed
he quickly got back on task. His sco The Applied Problems Subtest mea recognize the procedure, and then po the problem and which numbers to i paper. Item difficulty increased with difficulty but demonstrated increasin Performance for the Applied Problem Performance Area: Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Jsed: State/District Assessment Results:	re is within the sured Natan's a erform relativel nelude in the ca more complex g difficulties s ns Subtest. Mathemati Math Informal T	g. He would lose his place, look around, and need to be redirected. Low Average Range of Performance for the Math Facts Fluency su bility to analyze and solve math problems. This subtest required Na y simple calculations. He had to decide which mathematical operati alculation. For some items, he was able to use mental math and for or calculations as the subtest progressed. Natan solved initial problem olving the latter items. He got 31/39 correct and scored within the A	Given minimal prompting, ibtest. tan to listen to the problem, ion should be used to solve others, he used his scratch as with no observed Average Range of
he quickly got back on task. His sco The Applied Problems Subtest mea recognize the procedure, and then py the problem and which numbers to i paper. Item difficulty increased with difficulty but demonstrated increasin Performance for the Applied Problem Performance Area: Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Jsed: State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Sur BASELINE PERFORMANCE/STR Harcourt Go Math Curriculum. Nata stage of understanding fact families, solving problems involving fractions CHALLENGES/NEEDS: In the are value, determining the approach to t	re is within the sured Natan's a erform relativel nclude in the ca more complex ug difficulties s ms Subtest. Mathemati Math Informal T ENGTHS: In t n can perform subtraction wi s with like and a of Mathemata ake in solving s gage and does	g. He would lose his place, look around, and need to be redirected. Low Average Range of Performance for the Math Facts Fluency su bility to analyze and solve math problems. This subtest required Na y simple calculations. He had to decide which mathematical operati alculation. For some items, he was able to use mental math and for calculations as the subtest progressed. Natan solved initial problem olving the latter items. He got 31/39 correct and scored within the A eacher Monitoring, Student Work Samples, Observation student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student he area of Math, Natan is currently working through the fourth grad basic addition and subtraction calculations and add with regrouping th regrouping, working through the standard algorithm for multiplic different denominators. ics, Natan struggles with grade-level concepts. Difficulties lie in the single and multiple-step word problems, and units of measurement. not follow along. He rarely completes his workbook pages with the	Given minimal prompting, btest. tan to listen to the problem, ion should be used to solve others, he used his scratch as with no observed Average Range of t performance): te Houghton-Mifflin g. He is in the emerging eation and division, and te comprehension of place During direct teaching of

adegory: Health   Varesonant/Monitoring Process Parent interview   Jsed: interview   Jsed: Parent interview   itate/District Assessment Results: Parent interview   Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance):   HEALTH SUMMARY: Initial Health Assessment was completed 4/26/22 with information provided by Mother Sharon Basson. Naton is a 10 year old student that attends Valley Beth Shalom.   Mother reports uneventful pregnancy and her developmental milestones were within normal limits. There were no prenatal, birth or newborn complications. Was delivered via cesarean section due to being breech.   STRENGTHS: General good health; normal growth and development; milestones within normal limits for both motor and speech, mother states passed vision screening and aduio screening at last physical last year with Dr. Orkin at Kaiser Woodland Hills. No history of seciens or chronic illness, allergies, injury, accident, surgery, hospitalization, psychiatric care; no daily medications or other known health problems.   AREA OF NEED: Physical health does not impact the student's participation, performance and access in the educational program.   ACCOMMODATIONS/MODIFICATIONS: None   Angelica Gomez RN School Nurse 5/24/22	Los Angeles Unifie	ed School I	District		INDIVIDU	UALIZED E	JUCAI	ION PROC	GRAM (IEF	7)			
Section E: Present Level of Performance   Performance Area:   Health   Category:   Health   Stateory:   Health   Stateory:   Health   Stateory:   Parent interview   Stateory:   Parent interview   Stateory:   Carent Performance/Assessment Results:   Carent Performance/Assessment Results:   Carent Performance/Assessment Results:   Carent Performance/Assessment Results:   Carent Performance/Assessment was completed 4/26/22 with information provided by Mother Sharon Basson. Naton is a 10 year old student that attends Valley Beth Shalom.   Mother reports uneventful pregnancy and her developmental milestones were within normal limits. There were no prenatal, birth or newborn complications. Was delivered via cessrean section due to being breech.   STRENGTHS: General good health; normal growth and development; milestones within normal limits for both motor and speech, mother states allergies, injury, accident, surgery, hospitalization, psychiatric care; no daily medications or other known health problems.   AREA OF NEED: Physical health is not an area of need   IMPACT OF DISABILITY: Physical health does not impact the student's participation, performance and access in the educational program.   ACCOMMODATIONS/MODIFICATIONS: None   Shelon Nurse   Sylapor   Solon Nurse	Student BASSC	)N N	NATAN					Date of Bir	th 16-MAY	7-2012	Meeti	ng Date 01-J	UN-202
Performance Area: Health   Category: Health   Category: Parent interview   Ised:	Las	st	First	t		on E: Prese	ent Leve	el of Perfo	rmance				
Seessment/Monitoring Process       Parent interview         Jaed:	Performance Area:		(	Health									
Jsed:          Jsed:	Category:			Health			•	~					
Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): HEALTH SUMMARY: Initial Health Assessment was completed 4/26/22 with information provided by Mother Sharon Basson. Naton is a 10 year old student that attends Valley Beth Shalom. Mother reports uneventful pregnancy and her developmental milestones were within normal limits. There were no prenatal, birth or newborn complications. Was delivered via cesarean section due to being breech. STRENGTHS: General good health; normal growth and development; milestones within normal limits for both motor and speech, mother states passed vision screening and audio screening at last physical last year with Dr. Orkin at Kaiser Woodland Hills. No history of serious or chronic illness, allergies, injury, accident, surgery, hospitalization, psychiatric eare; no daily medications or other known health problems. AREA OF NEED: Physical health is not an area of need IMPACT OF DISABILITY: Physical health does not impact the student's participation, performance and access in the educational program. ACCOMMODATIONS/MODIFICATIONS: None Angelica Gomez RN School Nurse 5/24/22 Performance Area: Category: Cat	Assessment/Monitori Used:	ing Process	(	Parent ir	nterview								
HEALTH SUMMARY: Initial Health Assessment was completed 4/26/22 with information provided by Mother Sharon Basson. Naton is a 10 year old student that attends Valley Beth Shalom.         Mother reports uneventful pregnancy and her developmental milestones were within normal limits. There were no prenatal, birth or newborn complications. Was delivered via cesarean section due to being breech.         STRENGTHS: General good health; normal growth and development; milestones within normal limits for both motor and speech, mother states passed vision screening and audio screening at last physical last year with Dr. Orkin at Kaiser Woodland Hills. No history of serious or chronic illness, allergies, injury, accident, surgery, hospitalization, psychiatric care; no daily medications or other known health problems.         AREA OF NEED: Physical health is not an area of need         IMPACT OF DISABILITY: Physical health does not impact the student's participation, performance and access in the educational program.         ACCOMMODATIONS/MODIFICATIONS: None         Angelica Gomez RN         School Nurse         S/24/22         Verformance Area:         Category:         Verformance Area:         Stategory:         Assessment/Monitoring Process         Jace         Jace	State/District Assess	ment Results	s: (									)	
year old student that attends Valley Beth Shalom. Mother reports uneventful pregnancy and her developmental milestones were within normal limits. There were no prenatal, birth or newborn complications. Was delivered via cesarean section due to being breech. STRENGTHS: General good health; normal growth and development; milestones within normal limits for both motor and speech, mother states passed vision screening and audio screening at last physical last year with Dr. Orkin at Kaiser Woodland Hills. No history of serious or chronic illness, allergies, injury, accident, surgery, hospitalization, psychiatric care; no daily medications or other known health problems. AREA OF NEED: Physical health is not an area of need IMPACT OF DISABILITY: Physical health does not impact the student's participation, performance and access in the educational program. ACCOMMODATIONS/MODIFICATIONS: None Angelica Gomez RN School Nurse 5/24/22 Performance Area: Category: Ca	Current Performance	Assessment	t Summa	ry (inclu	ude student s	strengths, stud	dent need	ds and impa	ct of disabili	ity on student	performan	ce):	
complications. Was delivered via cesarean section due to being breech. STRENGTHS: General good health; normal growth and development; milestones within normal limits for both motor and speech, mother states passed vision screening and audio screening at last physical last year with Dr. Orkin at Kaiser Woodland Hills. No history of serious or chronic illness, allergies, injury, accident, surgery, hospitalization, psychiatric care; no daily medications or other known health problems. AREA OF NEED: Physical health is not an area of need IMPACT OF DISABILITY: Physical health does not impact the student's participation, performance and access in the educational program. ACCOMMODATIONS/MODIFICATIONS: None Angelica Gomez RN School Nurse 5/24/22 Performance Area: Category:						leted 4/26/22	2 with inf	formation pr	rovided by M	Aother Sharo	n Basson. N	aton is a 10	
passed vision screening and audio screening at last physical last year with Dr. Orkin at Kaiser Woodland Hills. No history of serious or chronic illness, allergies, injury, accident, surgery, hospitalization, psychiatric care; no daily medications or other known health problems. AREA OF NEED: Physical health is not an area of need IMPACT OF DISABILITY: Physical health does not impact the student's participation, performance and access in the educational program. ACCOMMODATIONS/MODIFICATIONS: None Angelica Gomez RN School Nurse 5/24/22 Performance Area: Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Jsed: itate/District Assessment Results:							were wit	hin normal l	limits. There	e were no pre	natal, birth	or newborn	
IMPACT OF DISABILITY: Physical health does not impact the student's participation, performance and access in the educational program. ACCOMMODATIONS/MODIFICATIONS: None Angelica Gomez RN School Nurse 5/24/22 Performance Area: Category:	passed vision screer	ning and aud	lio screei	ning at la	ast physical l	last year with	n Dr. Ork	in at Kaiser	Woodland H	Hills. No hist	ory of serio		s
ACCOMMODATIONS/MODIFICATIONS: None  Angelica Gomez RN School Nurse 5/24/22  Performance Area: Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: Category: Catego	AREA OF NEED:	Physical hea	alth is no	ot an area	a of need								
ACCOMMODATIONS/MODIFICATIONS: None  Angelica Gomez RN School Nurse 5/24/22  Performance Area: Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: Category: Catego	IMPACT OF DISA	ABILITY: Ph	ysical h	ealth doe	es not impact	t the student's	s particip	oation, perfo	ormance and	access in the	educationa	l program.	
Angelica Gomez RN School Nurse 5/24/22 Performance Area:			-		-		1P	, 1	0				
5/24/22 Performance Area: Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results:	Angelica Gomez R		IICAIN	5115.110	Jiie								
Category:  Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: Utate/District Assessment Results:													
Assessment/Monitoring Process Jsed: State/District Assessment Results:	Performance Area:		(										
Jsed: State/District Assessment Results:	Category:						•	►					
	Assessment/Monitori Used:	ing Process	l									J	
Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance):	State/District Assess	ment Results	s: (										
	Current Performance	Assessment	t Summa	ıry (inclu	ude student s	strengths, stud	dent need	ds and impa	ct of disabili	ity on student	performan	ce):	

	INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)
Student BASSON NATAN	
Last First	st MI Section E: Present Level of Performance
Performance Area:	General Ability (Cognitive, motor skills, language functioning)
Category:	General Ability
Assessment/Monitoring Process ( Used:	Standardized/Alternative assessment, review of records, interview & observation
State/District Assessment Results:	
Current Performance/Assessment Summa	nary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance):
activity. Overall, he does not present wit to interpret visual information such as sh auditory discrimination, memory, sequer words, segment words into morphemes, ability to select and to direct attention, to acquire and to store basic units of inform see similarities, memorize, and to learn l using information in an increasingly con Areas of Concern: He demonstrated bel other including the ability to effectively Natan presents with an inconsistent ability Impact: Natan's disability of Specific Lo	<ul> <li>average ability in Sensory-Motor (the ability to combine input of sensory information with output of motor vith a processing deficit at this time, Visual Processing (The ability to recognize, to track, to remember, and shape, contrast, and size), Auditory Processing (the perception and use of auditory information, including lencing, and integration), and Phonological Processing (the ability to discriminate between sounds within s, and blend phonemes into words). He performed in the low average range in Attention Processing (the ability to discriminate among, respond to, to sustain, or to shift focus as necessary), Association (the ability to rimation in memory and to relate these units to one another as in matching or sorting, as well as the ability to a by rote), and Conceptualization (the ability to learn new concepts presented in an educational setting, omplex and fluid manner to combine, rearrange, and use in multi-step operations).</li> <li>elow average range in Expression (the process of ordering thought in a form that can be understood by y communicate ideas through language). Although Phonological processing falls within the Average range, ility to recall rote information.</li> <li>Learning Disability (SLD), specifically in Expression and Phonological Processing, affects his ability to ing Comprehension, and Written Expression, which adversely impacts their involvement and progress in</li> </ul>
Performance Area:	Social Emotional
Category:	Social Emotional
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:	Formal/informal assessment, observation, interview, review of records
State/District Assessment Results:	
Current Performance/Assessment Summa	nary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance):
Natan is described as a polite, active, tea relationship with parents and siblings. H He can be a positive leader and is suppo Areas of Concern: He believes he can d behaviors and requires additional suppor	do better in school if he was able to focus and was not easily distracted. Natan engages in off task ort/redirection to complete a task. He struggles to initiate and complete assignments. Natan will often shut erceives to be difficult. He can sit/sustain focus for appropriately 2 to 5 minutes. At times, he struggles with inappropriate comments, and blame others for his actions.
	Learning Disability (SLD), specifically in Expression and Phonological Processing, affects his ability to

los Angel	os Unified Sak	nol District	IND	IVIDUALIZED	EDUCATION PROGRAM	A (IEP)	Pag
	es Unified Scho BASSON	NATAN			Date of Birth 16	-MAY-2012	Meeting Date 01-JUN-2022
	Last	First		MI Secti	on F: Eligibility		
f applicable	e, areas discussed	l related to dis	ability or sus	pected disability:			
For Initial II	EP, interventions	attempted price	or to determin	ning eligihility.			
		anomprov pro		ing engleting)			
ligible as a ode:	student with the		nooifia I com	ing Disability			
oue:				2			
dditional I	• Not Applica		Blind or	∪Pa: , DEA, HOH, or s	rtially Sighted		
Code:				, DEA, HOH, O			
	Not Applica	ible.	Blind or	Ора	rtially Sighted		
) Does not r	t meet eligibility	criteria for Sp	ecial Educati	on Services (Initia	d IEP).		
-	ger Eligible for S	pecial Educati	on Services (	Review IEP).			
No Long	ger Eligible (Effe		(	)			
Date):							
☐ This is a	Final IEP, the st	udent remains	eligible for S	pecial Education	Services until the Effective	Date below.	
inal IEP Ro	eason:				Final IEP Effectiv	re Date:	
he IEP Te	am has conside	red and agree	s that the ed	ucational needs (	of the student are not prim	arily due to:	
🗹 Socia	al Maladjustment	t		🗹 Temporary I	Physical Disability	🗹 Lack	of instruction in reading
🗹 Lack	of instruction in	math		🗹 Limited Eng	lish Proficiency		
						Environi	nental, Cultural or Economic Facto

Last	NATAN First	MI	Date of Birth 16-MAY-2012	Meeting Date 01-JUN-2022
Last	FIISt	Section G: Annual G	oals and Objectives	
ormance Area:	Reading C	Category: Rea	nding   Annual Ge	bal #: 1
	-	n context and out of context 4/5 trials with 85% accurac	by using knowledge of letter-sound corres	spondences and
	o be reported to parents by Progress Report or Report C	Card periods.	t of Progress and Achievement from Cur	rent IEP" form(s) which
~		Methods of 1	$\square$	
<ul> <li>State Assessments</li> <li>Observation</li> <li>Other</li> </ul>	Norm     Portfo	Referenced	Criterion Referenced Work Samples	<ul><li>Curriculum Based</li><li>Informal</li></ul>
unfamiliar multisyllabic w knowledge of letter-sound	vel phonics and word analy words in context and out of d correspondences and sylla 2/3 trials, with 75% accura	context by using bication, as measured by	Natan will decode 40 unfamiliar multi knowledge of all letter-sound correspo morphology (e.g., roots and affixes) to words in context and out of context. as 3/4 trials, with 80% accuracy.	ondences, syllabication patterns, and read accurately unfamiliar multisyllab
Date to be achieved:	October V 2022		Date to be achieved: February	▼ 2022 ▼ MO/YR
	IEP REPORT		CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT II	EP
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED	<i>3 SUBSTANTIAL PRO</i> met)	GRESS (50-99% of goal	2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of g	goal met) <i>I NO PROGRESS</i>
1st Reporting Period	2nd Reporting Period	<b>3rd Reporting Period</b>	4th Reporting Period (Secondary	Goal Achievement
	Date:	Date:	Only) Date:	
Date:				
Date:	Progress Mark:	Progress Mark:	Progress Mark:	Objective 1 Met: O Yes O No

Student BASSON	NATAN		Date of Birth 16-MAY-2012	Meeting Date 01-JUN-2022
Last	First	MI Section G: Annual G	oals and Objectives	
ormance Area:	Vritten Language C		iting	oal #: 2
-	nd coherent writing of five or iting samples, in 4/5 trials v		the organization is appropriate to the task	c, purpose, and/or audience
rogress on annual goals t vill be provided at either I	o be reported to parents by Progress Report or Report C	Card periods.	t of Progress and Achievement from Cur	rent IEP" form(s) which
		Methods of	Evaluation	-
<ul> <li>✓ State Assessments</li> <li>✓ Observation</li> </ul>	Norm	Referenced	Criterion Referenced Vork Samples	<ul> <li>Curriculum Based</li> <li>Informal</li> </ul>
Other			1	
ncremental objective #1	related to the goal:		Incremental objective #2 related to	the goal:
the organization is approp	east one body paragraph, a priate to the task, purpose, a ing samples, in 3/5 trials w	and/or audience as		y paragraphs, and a conclusion, in whic ask, purpose, and/or audience as measu Is with 70% accuracy.
Date to be achieved:	October V 2022		Date to be achieved: February	
Pate to be achieved:		Γ OF PROGRESS AND A	Date to be achieved: February CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS	
Date to be achieved: 4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED	IEP REPORT	Γ OF PROGRESS AND A	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I	EP
4 GOAL MET OR	IEP REPORT	Γ OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATIO	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS	EP
EXCEEDED	IEP REPORT 3 SUBSTANTIAL PRO met)	Г OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATIO DGRESS (50-99% of goal	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of g	EP goal met) <i>I NO PROGRESS</i>
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period	IEP REPORT 3 SUBSTANTIAL PRO met) 2nd Reporting Period	T OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATIO OGRESS (50-99% of goal 3rd Reporting Period	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of g 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only)	EP goal met) 1 NO PROGRESS Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met:
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date:	IEP REPORT 3 SUBSTANTIAL PRO met) 2nd Reporting Period Date:	F OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATIO OGRESS (50-99% of goal 3rd Reporting Period Date:	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of g 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date:	EP goal met) <i>I NO PROGRESS</i> Goal Achievement
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark:	IEP REPORT 3 SUBSTANTIAL PRO met) 2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to	F OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATIO OGRESS (50-99% of goal 3rd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of g 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date:	EP goal met) I NO PROGRESS Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: Objective 2 Met:
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date:	IEP REPORT 3 SUBSTANTIAL PRO met) 2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark:	T OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATIO OGRESS (50-99% of goal 3rd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark:	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of g 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual	EP goal met) I NO PROGRESS Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: O Yes O No
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark:  Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal?	IEP REPORT 3 SUBSTANTIAL PRO met) 2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal?	F OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATIO OGRESS (50-99% of goal 3rd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark:  Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal?	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of g 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal?	EP goal met) <i>I NO PROGRESS</i> Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: Objective 2 Met: Objective 3 Met

Last	NATAN       First	MI Section G: Annual G	Date of Birth 16-MAY-2012	Meeting Date 01-JUN-2022
ormance Area:	Mathematics C	ategory: Mat		bal #: 3
	digit whole numbers up throu samples in 4/5 trials with 80		regrouping strategies along with the stan	dard algorithm, as
	o be reported to parents by Progress Report or Report C	Card periods.	t of Progress and Achievement from Cur	rent IEP" form(s) which
		Methods of l	$\square$	
State Assessments	$\Box$	Referenced	Criterion Referenced	Curriculum Based
Observation Other	Portfo	lio	Work Samples	Informal
J Other			• ,••• .• <u>•</u> •	<b>a b</b>
ncremental objective #1	digit whole numbers up thr	ough the thousands place	Incremental objective #2 related to Natan will subtract multi-digit whole r	
	es along with the standard a			tegies, along with the standard algorith
	in 2/3 trials with 70% accur	•	as measured by student work samples	
ate to be achieved:	October 🗙 2022	► MO/YR	Date to be achieved: February	<ul> <li>✓ 2023</li> <li>✓ MO/YR</li> </ul>
ate to be achieved:		C OF PROGRESS AND A	Date to be achieved: February CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT II ON OF MARKS	▼ 2023 ▼
ate to be achieved: <i>4 GOAL MET OR</i> <i>EXCEEDED</i>	IEP REPORT	C OF PROGRESS AND A	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I	EP
4 GOAL MET OR	IEP REPORT	C OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATIO	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT IN DN OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of g 4th Reporting Period (Secondary	EP
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED	IEP REPORT 3 SUBSTANTIAL PRO met)	C OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATIO GRESS (50-99% of goal	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT IN DN OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of g	EP goal met) <i>I NO PROGRESS</i>
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date:	IEP REPORT 3 SUBSTANTIAL PRO met) 2nd Reporting Period Date:	C OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATIO GRESS (50-99% of goal 3rd Reporting Period Date:	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT IN DN OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of g 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date:	EP goal met) <i>I NO PROGRESS</i> Goal Achievement
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date:	IEP REPORT 3 SUBSTANTIAL PRO met) 2nd Reporting Period	C OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATIO GRESS (50-99% of goal 3rd Reporting Period	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT IN DN OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of g 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only)	EP goal met) 1 NO PROGRESS Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met:
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period	IEP REPORT 3 SUBSTANTIAL PRO met) 2nd Reporting Period Date:	C OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATIO GRESS (50-99% of goal 3rd Reporting Period Date:	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT IN DN OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of g 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date:	EP         goal met)       1 NO PROGRESS         Goal Achievement         Objective 1 Met:         O Yes       No
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark:	IEP REPORT 3 SUBSTANTIAL PRO met) 2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to	C OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATIO GRESS (50-99% of goal 3rd Reporting Period Date:	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT IN DN OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of g 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual	EP         goal met)       I NO PROGRESS         Goal Achievement         Objective 1 Met:         Objective 2 Met:
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal?	IEP REPORT 3 SUBSTANTIAL PRO met) 2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal?	C OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATION GRESS (50-99% of goal 3rd Reporting Period Date:	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT IN DN OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of g 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal?	EP         goal met)       I NO PROGRESS         Goal Achievement         Objective 1 Met:         O Yes       No
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark:	IEP REPORT 3 SUBSTANTIAL PRO met) 2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to	C OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATIO GRESS (50-99% of goal 3rd Reporting Period Date:	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT IN DN OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of g 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual	EP goal met) I NO PROGRESS Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: Objective 2 Met:
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal?	IEP REPORT 3 SUBSTANTIAL PRO met) 2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal?	C OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATION GRESS (50-99% of goal 3rd Reporting Period Date:	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT IN DN OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of g 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal?	EP goal met) I NO PROGRESS Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: Objective 2 Met: Objective 3 Met
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED      1st Reporting Period      Date:      Progress Mark:      Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal?      Yes O No      If "No" please comment:	IEP REPORT 3 SUBSTANTIAL PRO met) 2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment:	C OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATIO GRESS (50-99% of goal 3rd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment:	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT IN DN OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of g 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time	EP goal met) <i>I NO PROGRESS</i> Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: Objective 2 Met: Objective 3 Met
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes O No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time	IEP REPORT         3 SUBSTANTIAL PROmet         2nd Reporting Period         Date:       Progress Mark:         Is progress Mark:       Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal?         Yes       No         If "No" please comment:       Needs More Time	F OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATION GRESS (50-99% of goal 3rd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT IN DN OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of g 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy	EP goal met) I NO PROGRESS Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: Objective 2 Met: Objective 3 Met
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED      1st Reporting Period      Date:      Progress Mark:      Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal?      Yes O No      If "No" please comment:	IEP REPORT 3 SUBSTANTIAL PRO met) 2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment:	C OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATIO GRESS (50-99% of goal 3rd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment:	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT IN DN OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of g 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not Completed	EP goal met) I NO PROGRESS Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: Objective 2 Met: Objective 3 Met
4 GOAL MET OR   EXCEEDED   1st Reporting Period   Date:   Progress Mark:   Is progress Mark:   Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal?   Yes   Yes   No   If "No" please comment:   Needs More Time   Excess   Absence/Tardy   Assignments Not	IEP REPORT         3 SUBSTANTIAL PROmet         2nd Reporting Period         Date:       Progress Mark:         Is progress Mark:       Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal?         Yes       No         If "No" please comment:       Needs More Time         Excess       Absence/Tardy         Assignments Not	C OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATIC GRESS (50-99% of goal GRESS (50-99%	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT IN DN OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of g 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date: Progress Mark: Secondary Progress Mark: Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not Completed Need to review/revise Goal	EP goal met) I NO PROGRESS Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: Objective 2 Met: Objective 3 Met
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED         1st Reporting Period         Date:         Progress Mark:         Is progress Mark:         Is progress Sufficient to meet annual goal?         Yes       No         If "No" please comment:         Needs More Time         Excess         Absence/Tardy         Assignments Not Completed	IEP REPORT         3 SUBSTANTIAL PROmet         2nd Reporting Period         Date:	C OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATIO GRESS (50-99% of goal GRESS (50-99	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT IN DN OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of g 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not Completed	EP goal met) I NO PROGRESS Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: Objective 2 Met: Objective 3 Met
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes O No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not	IEP REPORT         3 SUBSTANTIAL PROmet         2nd Reporting Period         Date:       Progress Mark:         Is progress Mark:       Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal?         Yes       No         If "No" please comment:       Needs More Time         Excess       Absence/Tardy         Assignments Not	C OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATIO GRESS (50-99% of goal GRESS (50-99	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT IN DN OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of g 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date: Progress Mark: Secondary Progress Mark: Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not Completed Need to review/revise Goal	EP goal met) I NO PROGRESS Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: Objective 2 Met: Objective 3 Met

os Angele	s Unified Sch	ool District	INDIVIDUA	LIZED ED	UCATION PR	ROGRAN	M (IEP)				
0	BASSON Last	NATAN First	MI		Date of 1	Birth 16	5-MAY-2	012	1	Meetin	g Date 01-JUN-2022
		Sec	tion K: Particip	pation in St	tate and Distr	rict-wid	e Assess	ments			
sessments a	dministered wi	ll conform to those	assessments deter		ach grade by the bool District.	e Califor	nia Depa	rtment of	Educa	ition and	d/or the Los Angeles Ur
		e in Regular Stat d/or Accommoda									CAASPP Subjec ELA and Math
Designated - Maski	Supports:		-								
	~ 1 1	1 11									
- Simpli		rased test direction		•	lied support)						
- Simpli - Scribe	by an adult (f	or ELA non-writi		•	lied support)						
- Simpli - Scribe		or ELA non-writi		•	ned support)						
<ul><li>Simpli</li><li>Scribe</li><li>Test in</li></ul>	by an adult (f	or ELA non-writi		•	lied support)						
<ul> <li>Simpli</li> <li>Scribe</li> <li>Test in</li> <li>Accommod</li> </ul>	by an adult (f a separate/sn lations:	or ELA non-writi	ing items and ma	ath items)		; non-em	nbedded	accomm	nodatio	on)	
<ul> <li>Simpli</li> <li>Scribe</li> <li>Test in</li> <li>Accommod</li> <li>Multip</li> <li>Speecl</li> </ul>	by an adult (f a separate/sm lations: lication table n-to-Text voic	for ELA non-writing	-9 (paper-based; ware enabled for	ath items) ; not allowe r ELA perfo	ed for Grade 3; ormance writir	ng tasks	only (no			,	nodation)Can be use
<ul> <li>Simpli</li> <li>Scribe</li> <li>Test in</li> <li>Accommod</li> <li>Multip</li> <li>Speecl for not</li> </ul>	by an adult (f a separate/sn lations: lication table n-to-Text voic e-taking-prep	for ELA non-writinaller setting for single digits 1 e recognition soft aration for the ass in Regular Stat	-9 (paper-based; ware enabled for sessment task and e and District A	ath items) ; not allowe r ELA perfe d/or for the ssessments	ed for Grade 3; ormance writir writing assess s.	ng tasks	only (no			,	CAST Subject
<ul> <li>Simpli</li> <li>Scribe</li> <li>Test in</li> <li>Accommod</li> <li>Multip</li> <li>Speech for not</li> <li>Student with Designate</li> </ul>	by an adult (f a separate/sn lations: lication table n-to-Text voic ce-taking-prep ill participate d Supports an	for ELA non-writinaller setting for single digits 1 e recognition soft aration for the ass	-9 (paper-based; ware enabled for sessment task and e and District A	ath items) ; not allowe r ELA perfe d/or for the ssessments	ed for Grade 3; ormance writir writing assess s.	ng tasks	only (no			,	-
<ul> <li>Simpli</li> <li>Scribe</li> <li>Test in</li> <li>Accommod</li> <li>Multip</li> <li>Speech for not</li> </ul> Student with Designated	by an adult (f a separate/sn lations: lication table n-to-Text voic ce-taking-prep ill participate d Supports an Supports:	for ELA non-writinaller setting for single digits 1 e recognition soft aration for the ass in Regular Stat	-9 (paper-based; ware enabled for sessment task and e and District A	ath items) ; not allowe r ELA perfe d/or for the ssessments	ed for Grade 3; ormance writir writing assess s.	ng tasks	only (no			,	CAST Subject
<ul> <li>Simpli</li> <li>Scribe</li> <li>Test in</li> <li>Accommod</li> <li>Multip</li> <li>Speech for not</li> </ul> Student with Designated Output Designated	by an adult (f a separate/sn lations: lication table n-to-Text voic e-taking-prep ill participate d Supports an Supports: ng	for ELA non-writinaller setting for single digits 1 e recognition soft aration for the ass in Regular Stat d/or Accommoda	-9 (paper-based; ware enabled for sessment task and e and District A tions identified b	ath items) ; not allowe r ELA perfe d/or for the ssessments	ed for Grade 3; ormance writir writing assess s.	ng tasks	only (no			,	CAST Subject
<ul> <li>Simpli</li> <li>Scribe</li> <li>Test in</li> <li>Accommod</li> <li>Multip</li> <li>Speech for not</li> <li>Student wit</li> <li>Designated</li> <li>Maskii</li> <li>Multip</li> </ul>	by an adult (f a separate/sn lations: lication table n-to-Text voic e-taking-prep ill participate d Supports an Supports: ng lication Table	for ELA non-writinaller setting for single digits 1 e recognition soft aration for the ass in Regular Stat d/or Accommoda	-9 (paper-based; ware enabled for sessment task and e and District A tions identified b	ath items) ; not allowe r ELA perfo d/or for the ssessments below are ap	ed for Grade 3; ormance writir writing assess s.	ng tasks	only (no			,	CAST Subject
<ul> <li>Simpli</li> <li>Scribe</li> <li>Test in</li> <li>Accommod</li> <li>Multip</li> <li>Speech for not</li> </ul> Student with (Designated) <ul> <li>Masking</li> <li>Multip</li> <li>Test in</li> </ul>	by an adult (f a separate/sn lations: lication table n-to-Text voic e-taking-prep ill participate d Supports an Supports: ng lication Table a separate/sn	for ELA non-writinaller setting for single digits 1 e recognition soft aration for the ass in Regular Stat d/or Accommoda	-9 (paper-based; ware enabled for sessment task and e and District A tions identified b	ath items) ; not allowe r ELA perfo d/or for the ssessments below are ap	ed for Grade 3; ormance writir writing assess s.	ng tasks	only (no			,	CAST Subject

INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCA	ATION PROC	GRAM (IEP)		Page 14 of 2
Los Angeles Unified School District Student BASSON NATAN	Date of	16-MAY-2012	Meeting	01-JUN-2022
Last First MI	Birth		Date	
Section N: Procedural Safegu A Parent's Guide to Special Education Services including Procedural language.	Rights & Sa	feguards was provide	-	n his/her primary
The IEP Team Meeting Introductory Statements were read aloud at the			g.	
The parent/guardian was informed of his/her right to a written translati	on of the IEP.			
Is the parent/guardian requesting informal translation? $\bigcirc$ Yes $\bigcirc$ No	Select Pr	eferred Language:	~	
Is the parent/guardian requesting official translation? $\bigcirc$ Yes $\bigcirc$ No	Select Pre	ferred Language: Hel	brew	~
Specify the Individual Pages to be translated:				
Special Requests:				
For students who are 17 years old, the student and parent(s)/guardian(s transfer to the student at 18 years of age, unless the court has determined to the student at 18 years of age.			ational decision-	making rights will
Pandemic Learning Loss Consideration of C	ompensator	<u>y and/or Recoupmen</u>	<u>t Services</u>	
Compensatory Education Consideration:	-	nt Services Consider		
<ul> <li>The IEP team has reviewed and discussed whether compensatory education is required due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The IEP team has determined:</li> <li>Student received all of their special education and related aids and services required by their IEP. Compensatory education is not required.</li> <li>Student did not receive all of their special education and related aids and services required by their IEP. Compensatory education offer details are documented in FAPE 2- Summary of Services.</li> <li>Student did not receive all of the special education and related aids and services required by their IEP. However, no compensatory education was warranted for the reasons documented by the IEP team in FAPE Part 2 Part 4.</li> <li>Compensatory education consideration was documented on IEP dated</li> <li>01-JUN-2022</li> </ul>	progress student COVII Stude progr achie Stude facili recou recou servia IEP ( Reco dated	Is learning during the D-19 pandemic. The IF ent has made expected ress is in alignment wi vement. No recoupme ent experienced learnin ty closures caused by upment services are ne upment services to add ces offer details are in including completion upment services consi	nsidered factors school facility cl 2P team has dete progress toward th expectations of ent services are r ng loss as a resul the COVID-19 p ccessary. The IEF bress past learnin cluded in FAPE of a service grid	that may have impacted osures as a result of the rmined: I IEP goals and/or of progress/goal ecommended. It of the school bandemic and P team discussed g loss. Recoupment Part 2, Part 4 of the , as necessary).
<ul> <li>Preschool Only Consideration (Transition IEP)</li> <li>30-Day IEP Consideration (Out-of-District)</li> <li>Student attends private school within district boundaries and resides on</li> </ul> THIS SPACE DELIBER.			ility Determinati	on Only)

	INDIVIDUALIZED EDU	CATION PROGRAM (IEP)		Page 15 of 21
Los Angeles Unified School District Student BASSON NATAN		Date of Birth 16-MAY-2	012 Meeti	ing Date 01-JUN-2022
Student         BASSON         NATAN           Last         First	MI	Date of Dirth 16-MAY-2	012	Ing Date 01-JUN-2022
		ticipation and Consent		
De se d De states		therpation and Consent	D	
Parent Participa	ltion	Method	Parent Notification Whom	When
<ul> <li>Parent/Student (18-21) has participated in</li> <li>Parent/Student (18-21) indicated before the able to attend.</li> <li>Parent/Student (18-21) was notified 3 time Parent/Student (18-21) did not respond to any the meeting was held without the Parent/Student (18-21) did not attend and without them if they did not attend.</li> </ul>	e meeting that they would not be es of the meeting time and place. of the meeting notifications and nt (18-21) present	Email	Linda Palacios	17-MAY-2022
white a chemin in they and not accent.		request(Paren the IEP meeting be reschedul		f the PARENT requested that
Parent/S	Student (18-21) Agreement	0	/	
A Parent/Student (18-21) may agree to all implement those portions of the IEP to wh				nd services.
O Parent/Student (18-21) AGREES to all co	omponents of the IEP.			
O Parent/Student (18-21) AGREES to all c	omponents of the proposed IEP W	TTH THE SPECIFIC EXCE	PTION(S) stated bel	ow:
Assessment Specify				
Eligibility Specify				
Instructional SettingSpecify				
Services Specify				
O The Parent/Student (18-21) DOES NOT A Parent/Student (18-21) is not required to not agree. If a parent/student (18-21) does information on dispute resolution processor <i>Rights and Safeguards</i> ).	o initiate any form of dispute re wish to initiate a form of dispu	solution as to components o te resolution as to the comp	onents of the propos	sed IEP, the parent can find
	Parent Concern	s and Comments		
Signature(s)			Date	
Guardian O Parent 21 y	Student age 18-21 years age 18- years	O Surrogate Parent	Emancipated	O Foster Parent
Did the school district facilitate parent involve				No Response
✓ I certify that I have received a copy of voluntary and can be done at anytime after		ing the IEP process. I under	stand that my comp	letion of the form is
Signature(s)			Date	1-JUN-2022

## PARENT INPUT SURVEY

### Would you please take a moment to complete the survey below. The information you provide will help us improve the Individualized Education Program (IEP) process. Thank you in advance for your time and interest. ALL INFORMATION IS CONFIDENTIAL

DIRECTIONS: After each statement, please place an X on the line that describes your experience with your child's IEP.

А.	Regarding your child's current IEP:	Yes	No	Does Not Apply
1.	I am satisfied with the IEP meeting.			
2.	I feel that the IEP accurately reflects the decisions made at the IEP meeting.			
3.	I received notice of the IEP meeting.			
4.	I received "The IEP and You" handbook with the notice of the IEP meeting.			
5.	During the IEP process I received "A Parent's Guide to Special Education Services (Including Procedural Rights and Safeguards)" explaining my rights as a parent.			
6.	The IEP meeting was held in an appropriate setting.			
7.	I feel I was treated as an equal and important part of the IEP team.			
8.	The participants at the IEP meeting were prepared and informed.			
9.	Placements for my child, including the general education setting, were discussed and decided upon.			
10.	Related services were discussed and decided upon, if relevant.			
11.	If my child is 14 years old or older, an Individualized Transition Plan (ITP) was developed with my child's and my concerns in mind.			
12.	At the end of the IEP meeting the decisions were summarized.			
13.	If I needed an oral interpretation of the IEP team meeting an interpreter was provided.			
14.	The interpretation of the IEP team meeting enabled me to participate and make an informed decision regarding my child's IEP during the IEP meeting.			
15.	The interpreter stayed for the duration of the IEP team meeting.			
16.	If the interpreter left the IEP meeting before it was over, another staff member served as the interpreter and the interpretation was adequate.			
17.	I am aware that, if I am dissatisfied with the adequacy of the oral interpretation at the IEP team meeting, I can file a complaint with the Educational Equity Compliance Office at (213) 241-7682.			
18.	If I needed a written translation of the IEP, translation services were offered.			
19.	I am aware that, if I do not receive a copy of the translated IEP, I can contact the site administrator or call the Division of Special Education at (213) 241-6701.			
	any of the answers to items 13–16 or 18–19 was No, please discuss your concern(s) with the te administrator or call the Division of Special Education at (213) 241-6701.			
Sec.	Regarding your child's previous IEP (if relevant):			
20.	I am satisfied that my child received the services described on the previous IEP. (If your answer to this question is "No", please write concerns below.)			
		2	Addition	al Comments

Please fold alor	ng dotted lines with	the address showing Again, Thank you!	. Seal and mail. Postage i	s pre-paid.
	BUSINES	S REPLY		NO POSTAGE NECESSARY IF MAILED IN THE UNITED STATE
	T-CLASS MAIL PERM	T NO. 33798 LOS ANG BE PAID BY ADDRES	ELES CA 90051	
	LOS ANGELE PO BOX 5133	T RESOURCE NE S UNIFIED SCHO 307 S CA 90099-409	OL DISTRICT	
		llılıdı	ռԱահետեսՍահետվեն	ull
2				
PARENT INPUT SURVEY English				
UTS				
dNI				
PARENT English				
Jo D				

			convened ceting Date
Student BAS	SSON NATAN Last First MI	Date of Birth 16-MAY-2012	Meeting Date 01-JUN-2022
	Section	R: Names and Signatures (Signatures on File)	
	Team Member	Print Name	Signature
Parent/Guardian	1	Shannon Basson	512
Parent/Guardian	1		
Student Age 18	- 21 years		
Student Under A	Age 18 years		
Surrogate Paren	t		
Foster Parent			
Family Foster H	Iome Provider		
Administrator		Sandra Riggs	Sandra Riggs
Administrative l	Designee		
Special Education	on Teacher	Lisa Rosenstein	due Rosewin
General Educati	ion Teacher	Candace Weitzman - In Attendance '	
School Psycholo	ogist	Carina Pantoja	Carina Pantoja
School Nurse		Angelica Gomez	Angelica Gomez
Related Service	Staff		
Related Service	Staff		
Related Service	Staff		
Interpreter			
Sign Language l	Interpreter		
Agency Represe	entative		
Agency Represe	entative		
Agency Represe	entative		
Other	General Studies Teacher	Meghan Hart - In Attendance Via Ze	
Other	Literacy/Learning Specialist	Alissa Binns - In Attendance Via Zo	
Other	Educational Therapist	Stacey Field - In Attendance Via Zoo	
Other			

		INDIVIDUALIZED EDUC	CATION PROC	GRAM (IEP)		Page 17 of 2
		TAN First MI	Date of Birth	16-MAY-2012	Meeting Date	01-JUN-2022
		LEAST RESTRICTIVE EN	VIRONM	ENT ANALYSI	S	
		To Be Completed By the IEP		-		
		Student's Current	<u>Placement Ty</u>	<u>pe:</u>		
O Genera	l Education Class/Ger	neral Education Site	○ Special	Day Program/General	Education Site	
O Special	Day Program/Specia	l Education Center	○ Nonpub	lic School		
O Home/I	Hospital or Residentia	l Care Facility				
		nformation below as part of the IEP team that indicates YES. After reaching the s				
restrictive s required su there is a co	etting with the use of pports, services, acco ompelling reason why the quality of services Can the supports, s	etting should only occur if the nature or supplementary aids and services canno mmodations and modifications is not th they cannot be provided. In selecting the s that he or she needs.	t be achieved s e sole justifica ne LRE, consid	atisfactorily. The lack tion for placement in a leration is given to an	of current availa a more restrictive y potential harmf	bility of a student's setting, unless ul effect on the
Supin	classroom/setting?					
	● Yes ○ No	If the answer is YES, then a general education classroom/setting is the appropriate placement. If the answer NO, go to the question below.				
	○ Yes ○ No	If not currently available, can the req available in a general education class and/or modifications must be provide the box below. Then go to Step B.	room/setting?	If YES, all required su	apports, services,	accommodations
Step B.	Can the supports, s in a special day pro-	services, accommodations and/or modif ogram?	ications in the	student's IEP be made	e available on a g	eneral education site
	◯ Yes ◯ No	If the answer is YES, then a special d answer is NO, go to the question belo		a general education s	ite is the approp	iate placement. If the
	○ Yes ○ No	If not currently available, can the req available in a special day program on accommodations and/or modification articulate why in the box below. The	uired supports a general edu s must be prov	cation site? If YES, al vided within a reasona	l required suppor	ts, services,

os Angele	s Unified S	School Dist	rict	INDIVIDUALIZI	ED EDUCATION PRO	GRAM (IEP)		
-	BASSON Last	NA		MI	Date of Birth	16-MAY-2012	Meeting Date	01-JUN-2022
	A	NNUAL			/E ENVIRONM	ENT ANALYSIS	S (Continued)	)
Step C.	Can the	supports, s	ervices, ac	commodations and/	or modifications in the	student's IEP be made	e available in a sp	pecial school setting?
	○ Yes	() No	If the ans question		special school setting	is the appropriate place	ement. If the answ	wer is NO, go to the
	O Yes	() No	available modifica	in a special school	setting? If YES, all red ded within a reasonabl	s, services, accommoda quired supports, servic e timeline. If the answ	es, accommodation	ons and/or
Step D.	Can the	supports, s	ervices, ac	commodations and/	or modifications in the	student's IEP be made	e available in a ho	ome/hospital setting?
	○ Yes	🔿 No		swer is YES, then a swer is NO, go to th		is the appropriate place	ement.	
	⊖ Yes	() No	If not cur available modifica	rrently available, ca in a home/hospital	n the required supports setting? If YES, all re ded within a reasonabl	s, services, accommoda quired supports, servic e timeline. If the answ	es, accommodati	ons and/or
Step E.	Can the	supports, s	ervices, ac	commodations and/	or modifications in the	e student's IEP be made	e available in a re	sidential care
Step 21	facility?		10	.1 .1 .1 .	· 1 · · · · 1 IFF 1		. 1/	11.00
	O Yes	○ No		rrently available, art rudent in this setting		t supports, accommoda	ations and/or mod	diffications are require

s Angeles	s Unified Scho	ol District	INDIVIDUALIZE	D EDUCATION PRO	GRAM (IEP)		
- (	BASSON	NATAN		Date of	16-MAY-2012	Meeting	01-JUN-2022
	Last	First	MI	Birth		Date	
	ANN			E ENVIRONMI the IEP Team at the IE		(Continued)	)
Step F.			d in the contents of s time, including (cl	this IEP, and the place neck all that apply):	ment being considered	d by the IEP tean	n, outweigh any
		Missed genera Rate at which Lack of opport Lack of opport Amount of soc Limited access	student may earn cre unity for social inte unities for age-appr ialization opportuni to peers in student!	on taught by highly que edits for graduation raction opriate peer role mode ties with typical peers	ls		

Los Angeles Unified Student BASSON	NATAN	Date of Birth 16-MAY-		bility, Placements and Support Meeting Date 01-JUN-2022
Last	First	MI	2012	meeting Date 01-JOIN-2022
	As of Dotor	Effective With this IEP	Future Ch	anges Related to this IEP
	As of Date:			
Eligibility: from Page 4)		Eligible (SLD)		
	Final IEP Reason Final IEP Effective Date:			
Curriculum		General Education		
Placement	Type of School	District Resident School		
	Name of School	COLFAX EL(CHARTER)		
nstructional Setting	Setting	General Education		
	Program	(GE )		
	Special Day Minutes/Wk			
	Addresses Goals	1(Reading),2(Written Language ),3(Mathematics)		
Additional Factors	Low Incident Support	None		
	Assistive Technology Support	No		
	Transportation	None		
	Extended School Year/Intersession	🔿 Yes ( No		
	Parent Counseling and Training (PCT)	🔿 Yes 💿 No		
	ESY Transportation			
Accommodation, Modifications, Supports	Instructional Accommodations	Preferential Seating, repetition, extended time, small group instruction, simplified directions, allow to complete assignments in short periods, movement breaks, student repeat of directions, check for understanding, dictate to a scribe, speech to text, graphic organizer, checklist for organization, reward system, multiplication chart, scaffolding/leading questions, chunking information, adjusted workload assignments/homework, highlight/circle key words, backpack checks.		
	Instructional Modifications			
	Other Supports, including Non- Academic and Extra- curricular Activities			
Preparation for Three Vear Review IEP (At he second Annual Review IEP Meeting, he team must discuss and document the	Do the Parent and the District (local educational agency) agree that a reassessment is unnecessary?	● Yes ○ No		
lecision to conduct or not conduct a three- year comprehensive reassessment.)	If the Parent does not agree, specify the area(s) to be reassessed.			

	Comments, as appropriate
Low Incidence Equipment	
Assistive Technology Equipment	
Participation in General Education	

Los Angeles Unified School Dis tudent BASSON NATAN		IEP FAPE Part 2 - Summary of Date of Birth 16-MAY-2012	Meeting 01-JUN-2022
Last Fir			Date
		Effective With This IEP	Future Changes Related To This IEP
Service 1	Start Date:	Effective on Signature Date	
RSP	End Date:		
RSP	Service applies to:	Regular	
	Frequency:	1-5	
This service addresses the following <b>goals:</b>	Interval:	Weekly	
3(Mathematics)	Minutes/Interval:	135	
	Minutes/Interval (Pullout from Gen Ed):	0	
	Service Delivery Model:	RSP: Collaborative Teaching and Planning*	
	RSP Area:	M	ath
	Responsible Personnel:	Special Education Teacher	
		General Education Teacher	
Service 2	Start Date:	Effective on Signature Date	
RSP	End Date:		
RSP	Service applies to:	Regular	
	Frequency:	1-5	
This service addresses the	Interval:	Weekly	
following goals:		- -	
1(Reading)	Minutes/Interval:	135	
2(Written Language)	Minutes/Interval (Pullout from Gen Ed):	0	
	Service Delivery Model:	RSP: Collaborative Teaching	

	Responsible Personnel:	Special Education Teacher		
		General Education Teacher		
*				

#### Notes:

Parents of students who are Medi-Cal eligible authorize LAUSD to submit claims for reimbursement by Medi-Cal funded services unless parent(s) signs a Parent Medi-Cal Non-Authorization to Bill form. Please see Parent's Guide to Special Education Services (including Procedural Rights and Safeguards).

0

**Effective With this IEP** 

**Future Changes Related to this IEP** 

# Part 3 - Percentage of Time Outside of General Education

% of Time per Week outside of General Education

## Part 4 - Additional Discussion (This section is optional)

6/1/22 This is an initial IEP for Natan. Due to technical challenges LAUSD General Education Teacher, Private School General Studies Teacher, Private School Learning/Literacy Specialist, and Educational Therapist were unable to sign in, however, were in attendance throughout the meeting. Health report was read by the school nurse. School Psychologist shared results of the assessment and current levels of performance. Parent participated in the discussion and gave input. Special Education teacher shared assessment results and current levels of performance. Parent and teachers participated in the discussion and gave input. School Psychologist led the discussion on eligibility. Natan meets the eligibility criteria for Specific Learning DIsability qualifying for special education services. Parent is in agreement. Purposed goals were developed collaboratively by the team. Recoupment was discussed and team is in agreement that goals, accommodations, and services meet the student's needs. Compensatory services were discussed and not required at this time. The offer of FAPE for the 2022-2023 school year is Colfax Charter's Inclusion program. As an option in Colfax's continuum of program options, special education teachers and paraprofessionals work collaboratively with general education teachers to meet the needs of students with IEPs in an inclusion model as determined by student's needs. Inclusion models offer a wide range of supports and services to be delivered in the student's least restrictive environment. Parent does not have any additional concerns at this time.

		Ι	NDIVIDUALIZ	ED EDUCATIO	)N PROGRAM (IEP	')	Page 20 o
		geles Unified Schoo	l District				(SLD, pg. 1 of 1)
Student	BASSON	NATAN First	MI	Da	te of Birth 16-MAY-20	012 N	Ieeting Date 01-JUN-2022
	Last			NING DISABII	LITIES CERTIFICA	TION	
nited to s is the con is form s	tudents with chansensus of the IE serves as the wri e educationally r	ee Year Reviews and tracteristics of dyslexi P Team that the stude tten report of the IEP	comprehensive as a. This form is not nt meets the eligib Team consensus.	ssessments for stud t required at Annu bility criteria for S	al Review meetings.	a Specific Learni lity based upon t	ng Disability, including but not he information which follows.
During t O No Ves, deso	)	f the student in the ge	neral education se	etting, was behavic	or noted that relates to th	e student's gene	ral academic functioning? 〇
	discrepancy exitening Compreh	sts in one or more of ension S B	the following acac asic Reading Skill		k all that apply) Oral Expression		Reading Comprehension
	itten Expression		ath Calculation		Math Reasoning		Reading Fluency
Att	ention gnitive abilities i		isual Processing		ogical processes: (Check Auditory Processing Phonological Processin		Sensory Motor Skills
	-	discrepancy is not pr					
🗹 Lir	nited school exp	erience	Poor se	chool attendance	, T	Environmen lisadvantage	tal, economic or cultural
	cial maladjustme	nt the English language	✓ Intellee	ctually Disabled	<pre></pre>	U	ing or motor impairment

										Page
Los Ange	eles Unifie	d Scho		VIDUALI	ZED EDU(		OGRAM (IEP E Part 2 - Sun	/	of Services	
Student BASSON NATAN						rth 16-MAY-20				
	Last		First	MI						
					FAPE Sun	nmary Grid				
Program: GE			GE		Setting:			General Education		
Eligibility: Eligible (S			Eligible (SLD)		Curriculum:			General Education		
Transportation: None			None		Low Incident Support:			None		
	strict Rece Signature:									
Service Code	Service Desc		Start Date	Service Applies To	Interval	Frequency	Area		Total Minutes	Addresses Goal(s)
RSP	RSP	Effec	tive on Signature Date	Regular	Weekly	1-5	RSP-Math		135	Mathematics
RSP	RSP	Effec	tive on Signature Date	Regular	Weekly	1-5	RSP- Literacy/ELA		135	Reading, Written Language

Alternative Remote/Distance Learning Services During Emergency Conditions

If instruction or services, or both, cannot be provided to the pupil either at the school or in person for more than 10 school days due to emergency conditions caused by fire, flood, impassable roads, epidemic, earthquake, imminent major safety hazard as determined by local law enforcement, a transportation services strike by nonschool entity, or other official order issued to meet a state of emergency or war, the IEP will be provided by one or more of the means stated below, to the greatest extent possible in light of the emergency circumstances and District policy.

Means of Delivery, to greatest extent possible ("x" all that could apply for student, depending on emergency circumstances):

	Teacher-posted lessons, asynchronous (online or other media)	Virtual class meetings, synchronous	Personalized learning tools (virtual or paper packets, as available)	Scheduled teacher appointments (virtual or in- person, as available)	Scheduled email check-ins (parent or student)	Virtual office hours (drop-in; parent or student)
Specialized Academic Instruction and Related Services						
Supplementary Aids and Services (provided in general education classes and other general ed environments)						

As soon as practicable following the determination that instruction or services, or both, cannot be provided either at the school or in person for more than 10 days due to a qualifying state of emergency, the parent will be notified as to the specific means by which the student's IEP will be provided, in light of the emergency circumstances present at that time. The IEP will be provided by alternative means as necessitated during the period of emergency conditions, only.

Comments above do not constitute a change to the District's offer of FAPE or IEP. Because the nature of any future emergency cannot be known in advance, the specific means by which the IEP shall be provided in a future emergency will be determined at the time, in light of the circumstances.

Sy clicking this box the IEP team has reviewed the FAPE Summary Page to ensure that it reflects the IEP Team decisions.