

<pinchas.ronit@gmail.com> Ronit Pinchas-Mizrachi



---

## Fwd: Decision on JTN-22-018.R2

---

9 באוגוסט 2022 בשעה 19:48

<beth.zalcman@gmail.com> **Beth Zalcman**  
<romem@g.jct.ac.il> anat romem , <pinchas.ronit@gmail.com> אל: רונית פנחס

רונית - נראה לי שצריכים לכתוב יותר על פרק השיטות.  
אני חושבת שמה שיש כאן זה או discourse analysis או grounded theory. אני פשוט לא ממש מכירה שיטות איכותניות בצורה מעמיקה ...

**תשימו לב - העורך הראשי כתב פסקה קצרה בסוף המייל**

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Journal of Transcultural Nursing** <onbehalf@manuscriptcentral.com>  
Date: Tue, Aug 9, 2022 at 7:03 PM  
Subject: Decision on JTN-22-018.R2  
To: <beth.zalcman@gmail.com>

09-Aug-2022

Dear Ms. Zalcman:

Thank you for revising your manuscript "An Application of the ACCESS Model for Transcultural Nursing to Understand How Religious Disaffiliates Approach Healthcare" (JTN-22-018.R2). Before we're able to make a final decision, we ask that you make some additional minor revisions. See the comments below.

Your revised manuscript is due back to us in 30 days. If you need additional time, please email the editorial office at [elizabeth.marshall@kwglobal.com](mailto:elizabeth.marshall@kwglobal.com).

To start your revision, log on to <http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/tcn> and enter your Author Center. Click 'Manuscripts with Decisions'. Under 'Actions' click 'Create a Revision'. Your manuscript number has been appended to denote a revision (R2, R3 etc). Please do NOT submit your revision as a new submission.

When prompted during the submission process, at the Author Response step, please respond IN DETAIL to the reviewers' and/or Editors' comments. Please list each point and type your response directly below. We can NOT accept a separate Word document, so please do not try to upload a table file. Also, please do not include your name in your response, as this will be sent to the peer reviewers.

When revising your files, please include:

- 1) A Title Page (include full corresponding author contact information and email address, co-authors' names and affiliations, and any funding information and acknowledgements.)
- 2) A Track-Changes or Highlighted manuscript file (NO author names)
- 3) A final Clean manuscript file (NO author names)

Please also double check the following before resubmitting:

- Research articles should have a STRUCTURED (IMRaD) abstract. Please include it on the first page of your manuscript file.
- Please make sure your revised manuscript doesn't exceed the 15-page limit.

Thank you very much for attending to these details. We look forward to receiving your revision. If you have any questions or difficulties, please contact the Managing Editor at [elizabeth.marshall@kwglobal.com](mailto:elizabeth.marshall@kwglobal.com).

Senior Editor: The authors have clearly articulated the concerns of reviewer 2 and most of the concerns from the

associate editor. However one major concern exist.

From the last review: There is still no clear reference to the actual qualitative method used in the study starting in the abstract. Vague reference to "qualitative study" does not relay the potential rigor of the study. The authors indicate that the data was from a previous qualitative study but still no mention of the actual method.

Your response to this concern in revision 2 was: The method used for the qualitative study is interviews. We conducted a total of 34 interviews with disaffiliates, over the course of two years. These were semi-structured interviews. We have edited the Methods section to include more information about the actual method used.

I was asking the authors to clearly articulate the qualitative method used to guide the study. This could be something like phenomenology, focused ethnography, discourse analysis or a variety of other qualitative methods. Interviews are not a qualitative method but rather the process of collecting data, same with semi-structured interview guide. Again what you have listed is not a clear articulation of the actual qualitative method used for your study. You make reference to in the content analysis section about interpretative phenomenology and Atlas software as methods. The content analysis was the data analysis and Atlas software is a tool that assist with data management and is not a method for analyzing the data. As a reader I am confused with and how interpretive phenomenology was used to analyze the data when you discuss content analysis.

Please offer a clear statement and description of the qualitative method used to guide the study. Also clarify the concerns in the data analysis section.

Regarding the research question. Even though what you are reporting is a continuation of a previous study there should be some articulation of a research question beyond the purpose of the study even if extending a previous study. There is a disconnect from a research perspective about the research questions and therefore the intentions of your study. Stating the purpose is not enough and articulating the RQ promotes methodological congruence and rigor.

Sincerely,

Rick Zoucha, PhD, PMHCNS-BC, CTN-A, FAAN  
Associate Editor  
Journal of Transcultural Nursing

\*\*\*\*\*

EIC: After re-reading the manuscript, I think it still needs a lot of work. Please use the SQRS guidelines to refocus the paper depending on the methods which you have not described. You can not just say "interviews" as all qualitative research is interviews. Is it focus groups, is it private interviews? Using SQRS will not only increase the scientific integrity of the paper but will also increase the ability for it to be used as an evidence-based paper. If you cannot make the changes we have requested, we will not be able to accept the paper. Dr. Cuellar

\*END\*

--

**Beth Zalcman, MA, MPH**  
beth.zalcman@gmail.com

---

**An-Application-of-the-ACCESS-Model-for-Transcultural-Nursing-to-Understand-How-Religious-Disaffiliates-Approach-Healthcare-CC-0.docx**

41K

