			INDIVIDU	JALIZED E	DUCATION PROGRAM (I	EP)		Page 1 of 2
Los Angeles Unified Student Identificat Number		200025X3	70	SSID	4531747544		Eligi	ble (SLD)
Student CHEN	EL	I	DAVID			Date of Birth:	1	3-JUN-2012
Last		First	MI	Section A.	Meeting Information			
	Perti	nent Dates	<u>م</u>	section A:	wreeting information	Type of N	Aeeting	
						Type of t	feeting	
Date of Initial IEP Tear	U	14-SEP-2			 Initial 		Amend	ment of IEP dated
Date of Present Meetin	0	14-SEP-2						
Annual Review to be c by		14-SEP-20)	○ Annual Review ○ Three Year Review	<i>i</i>	· ·	tart Transition ion Analysis
Next Three Year Revie conducted by	w will be	13-SEP-2	025	J	Other		-	ual Transition Plan
Three Year Review or I was conducted on	Evaluation	14-SEP-20	022)				
Transition to Kindergan conducted by	rten to be)				
Location of Meeting		WOODLAK	E ECC		District Name	Los Ange	les Unified	School Dis
			5	Section B:	Student Information			
Date of Birth	13-JUN-2	012	Age		10	Grade		2
Gender	🔘 Male 🤇	Female	Ethnic	Code	White			
Location of the Psych Folder	SUPPORT	UNIT NOF	Studen Psych	t has no Folder				
Location of the Cum Folder			Studen Folder	t has no Cur	n 🔽			
Home Language	Hebrew		Studen	t Language	Hebrew	Alternate M Communic		
Home Address of Student	22960 CA	LVERT				Commune	ution	
City	WOODLA	ND HII CA	ZIP Co	ode	91367			
Home Telephone	818-477-7	621	Daytin	ne Telephone	•	Emergency Telephone		
School of Attendance	Private Sc	hool Office (Locatio	on Code	1536	1		
School of Residence	WOODLA	KE ECC	Locatio	on Code	7877			
Name of Parent/Guardian	Yahel Ran		Teleph	one				
Address	Same as al	bove						
City		CA	ZIP Co	ode				
Surogate Parent			Teleph	one				
Attends CURRENT So one of the following	CHOOL as	a result of	Private S	School Enrol	llment V			
Private School:								
Kadima Day School								
Is the student living in Home (FFH)?	a Family Fos		No O Yes		FFH#			
Is FFH Provider related	d to student?		No 🔿 Yes		Relationship			
Licensed Children's Ins	stitution	\bigcirc	No \bigcirc Yes		LCI Name			
					LCI#			
Out of the home placer	nent made by	y O	Regional Cen	nter	O Department of Ment	al Health () Departn	nent of Children's Services
		0	Superior Cou	rt	Other			
Child's family living w boundaries?	ithin LAUSI	D's O	No 🔘 Yes					
If the student is 18 year	rs old or olde	er or is an em	ancipated min	or, does he/s	she have educational decision-	-making rights?		\bigcirc No \bigcirc Yes

	Unified School District					2012	
Student	CHEN ELI Last First	DAVII	•		Date of Birth 13-JUN-	2012	
	Last First	IVI	Section		age Acquisition		
anguage Cla	ssification:		Limit	ed English	Proficient	Start Date:	30-OCT-2020
ithdrawal b	y Parent Request:		O Ye	s O No		Reclassification Date:	
LPAC Perfo	rmance Level and Performance	Descriptor:			►	Test Date:	
	PAC Performance Level and Perl	-			►	Test Date:	
escriptor:							
		See	ction D: G	oal Achiev	vement from Current IEP		
			Achie				
Goal for: (ex 1	cample - Reading)		Yes	No		the goal/objective was not a	chieved
			0	\bigcirc	Initial IEP		
Category		~	\sim	\sim			
	Objective 1 met		0	0			
-	Objective 2 met		0	0			
2			\bigcirc	\bigcirc			
Category		~	0	~			
	Objective 1 met		0	0			
-	Objective 2 met		0	0			
3			0	\bigcirc			
Category		~					
	Objective 1 met		\bigcirc	\bigcirc			
	Objective 2 met		\bigcirc	\bigcirc			
4			\bigcirc	\bigcirc			
Category		♥					
	Objective 1 met		\bigcirc	\bigcirc			
	Objective 2 met		\bigcirc	\bigcirc			
5			\bigcirc	\bigcirc			
Category		♥					
	Objective 1 met		\bigcirc	\bigcirc			
	Objective 2 met		0	0			
6			0	0			
Category		♥	-				
	Objective 1 met		\bigcirc	\bigcirc			
	Objective 2 met		0	0			
7			$\overline{\bigcirc}$	Õ			
Category		~	0	0			
	Objective 1 met		0	\bigcirc			
	Objective 2 met		0	Õ			
8			0	0			
Category		~	\bigcirc	\bigcirc			
	Objective 1 met		0	0			
	Objective 2 met		0	0			
9			0	0			
Category		✓	\cup	\cup			
Junegoiy	Objective 1 met	•	0	0			
	Objective 2 met						
10			0	0			
Category			0	0			
Category	Objective 1 met	∨]	\bigcirc	\frown			
	-		0	0			
	Objective 2 met		0	\bigcirc			

Los Angeles Unified Scho	ol Distric	t	INDIVIDU	JALIZED EI	DUCATION PRO	GRAM (IEP)		Pa	age 3 o
Student CHEN	ELI		DAVID		Date of Bi	rth 13-JUN-2012	N	feeting Date 14-SEP-2022	2
Last	Firs	st	MI Sectio	on E: Presei	nt Level of Perfo	rmance			
Performance Area:		Genera	ll Ability			- manee			
Category:		Gener	al Ability		~				
Assessment/Monitoring Proc Used:	ess	Standa	rdized Assessi	ment, Observa	ations				
State/District Assessment Rea	sults:								
Current Performance/Assessr	nent Summ	ary (incl	lude student st	rengths, stude	ent needs and impa	ect of disability on stud	lent perfor	rmance):	
Strengths: Results of the cur assessment procedures. Eli demonstrated Superior a order. He also demonstrated well as nonwords. Eli earne conditions. He demonstrated patterns. His Visual Percept discrimination, visual closur	abilities on l High Aver d Average s d Average S ual skills w	Successi age abili scores or Simultan ere in th	ive Processing ities on Phono n Planning task eous Processir e Average ran	tasks, which logical Memo ks which requ ng skills wher	required him to pr ory tasks when ask ired him to create, a required to relate	occess information pres ed to remember and re use, and modify a plar pieces of information	sented ora peat seque n as neede to a whole	lly and in a specific ences of numbers, as ed under timed e and recognize	
Performance Area:		Genera	l Ability (cont	t.)					
Category:		Gener	al Ability		~				
Assessment/Monitoring Proc Used:	ess	Standa	rdized Assessi	ment, Observa	ations				
State/District Assessment Re	sults:								
Current Performance/Assessr	nent Summ	ary (incl	lude student st	rengths, stude	ent needs and impa	ect of disability on stud	lent perfor	rmance):	
resisting distractions (Numb conditions (Receptive Atten when asked to copy visual f Impact of Disability: Eli's c Integration, impacts his writ	tion). Furth igures at ne lisability of	er, he de ar point. Specific	emonstrated ch c Learning Dis	hallenges with sability, speci	1 Visual Motor Inte	egration tasks and earn ssing areas of Attention	ed a Belo n and Vis	w Average score ual Motor	

Los Angeles Unified School Student CHEN	ELI	DAVID Date of Birth 13-JUN-2012	Meeting Date 14-SEP-2022
Last	First	MI Section E: Present Level of Performance	
Performance Area:	La	guage Function	
Category:	La	guage Function	
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:	s Sta	dardized Assessment, Observations, Parent/Teacher Input	
State/District Assessment Result	lts:		
Current Performance/Assessme	ent Summary (nclude student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student pe	erformance):
		esults of the current assessment indicate Eli exhibits High Average Listening er rating scales do not indicate any concerns in the area of Functional Comm	
Needs: There are no needs ide	entified in this	area at this time.	
Impact of Disability: There is	no impact of	isability identified at this time.	
Performance Area:	Mo	or Abilities	
Category:	M	tor Abilities	
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:	s Sta	dardized Assessment, Observations, Interviews	
State/District Assessment Resul	lts:		
Current Performance/Assessme	nt Summary (nclude student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student pe	erformance):
able to navigate the education. Needs: At this time, evaluation classroom observation, Eli stru interview, this situation is typi	al environmer on of writing s uggled to read cal. Teacher o	walk based on informal observations, teacher reports and school nurse's info without difficulty. Records reflect that Eli has received passing grades in Ph mples indicate that Eli's writing is difficult to read both for others and for El- what he had written when sharing a graphic organizer with his teacher, and a mments indicate that Eli has historically had significant challenges with han ts of the standardized visual-motor integration tasks indicate Below Average	ysical Education. i himself. During ccording to teacher dwriting in the
able to navigate the education. Needs: At this time, evaluation classroom observation, Eli strr interview, this situation is typi classroom, often leading to fru Impact of Disability: Eli's dis	al environmen on of writing s uggled to read cal. Teacher of istration. Resu ability of Spe	without difficulty. Records reflect that Eli has received passing grades in Ph mples indicate that Eli's writing is difficult to read both for others and for El- what he had written when sharing a graphic organizer with his teacher, and a mments indicate that Eli has historically had significant challenges with han	ysical Education. i himself. During ccording to teacher dwriting in the sensory motor skills. Visual Motor
able to navigate the education. Needs: At this time, evaluation classroom observation, Eli strr interview, this situation is typi classroom, often leading to fru Impact of Disability: Eli's dis	al environmen on of writing s uggled to read cal. Teacher of istration. Resu ability of Spe	without difficulty. Records reflect that Eli has received passing grades in Ph mples indicate that Eli's writing is difficult to read both for others and for Eli what he had written when sharing a graphic organizer with his teacher, and a mments indicate that Eli has historically had significant challenges with han ts of the standardized visual-motor integration tasks indicate Below Average fic Learning Disability, specifically in the processing areas of Attention and	ysical Education. i himself. During ccording to teacher dwriting in the sensory motor skills. Visual Motor
able to navigate the education. Needs: At this time, evaluation classroom observation, Eli strr interview, this situation is typi classroom, often leading to fru Impact of Disability: Eli's dis	al environmen on of writing s uggled to read cal. Teacher of istration. Resu ability of Spe	without difficulty. Records reflect that Eli has received passing grades in Ph mples indicate that Eli's writing is difficult to read both for others and for Eli what he had written when sharing a graphic organizer with his teacher, and a mments indicate that Eli has historically had significant challenges with han ts of the standardized visual-motor integration tasks indicate Below Average fic Learning Disability, specifically in the processing areas of Attention and	ysical Education. i himself. During ccording to teacher dwriting in the sensory motor skills. Visual Motor
able to navigate the education. Needs: At this time, evaluation classroom observation, Eli strr interview, this situation is typi classroom, often leading to fru Impact of Disability: Eli's dis	al environmen on of writing s uggled to read cal. Teacher of istration. Resu ability of Spe	without difficulty. Records reflect that Eli has received passing grades in Ph mples indicate that Eli's writing is difficult to read both for others and for Eli what he had written when sharing a graphic organizer with his teacher, and a mments indicate that Eli has historically had significant challenges with han ts of the standardized visual-motor integration tasks indicate Below Average fic Learning Disability, specifically in the processing areas of Attention and	ysical Education. i himself. During ccording to teacher dwriting in the sensory motor skills. Visual Motor
able to navigate the education. Needs: At this time, evaluation classroom observation, Eli strr interview, this situation is typi classroom, often leading to fru Impact of Disability: Eli's dis	al environmen on of writing s uggled to read cal. Teacher of istration. Resu ability of Spe	without difficulty. Records reflect that Eli has received passing grades in Ph mples indicate that Eli's writing is difficult to read both for others and for Eli what he had written when sharing a graphic organizer with his teacher, and a mments indicate that Eli has historically had significant challenges with han ts of the standardized visual-motor integration tasks indicate Below Average fic Learning Disability, specifically in the processing areas of Attention and	ysical Education. i himself. During ccording to teacher dwriting in the sensory motor skills. Visual Motor
able to navigate the education. Needs: At this time, evaluation classroom observation, Eli stri interview, this situation is typi classroom, often leading to fru Impact of Disability: Eli's dis	al environmen on of writing s uggled to read cal. Teacher of istration. Resu ability of Spe	without difficulty. Records reflect that Eli has received passing grades in Ph mples indicate that Eli's writing is difficult to read both for others and for Eli what he had written when sharing a graphic organizer with his teacher, and a mments indicate that Eli has historically had significant challenges with han ts of the standardized visual-motor integration tasks indicate Below Average fic Learning Disability, specifically in the processing areas of Attention and	ysical Education. i himself. During ccording to teacher dwriting in the sensory motor skills. Visual Motor
able to navigate the education. Needs: At this time, evaluation classroom observation, Eli stri interview, this situation is typi classroom, often leading to fru Impact of Disability: Eli's dis	al environmen on of writing s uggled to read cal. Teacher of istration. Resu ability of Spe	without difficulty. Records reflect that Eli has received passing grades in Ph mples indicate that Eli's writing is difficult to read both for others and for Eli what he had written when sharing a graphic organizer with his teacher, and a mments indicate that Eli has historically had significant challenges with han ts of the standardized visual-motor integration tasks indicate Below Average fic Learning Disability, specifically in the processing areas of Attention and	ysical Education. i himself. During ccording to teacher dwriting in the sensory motor skills. Visual Motor
able to navigate the education. Needs: At this time, evaluation classroom observation, Eli stri interview, this situation is typi classroom, often leading to fru Impact of Disability: Eli's dis	al environmen on of writing s uggled to read cal. Teacher of istration. Resu ability of Spe	without difficulty. Records reflect that Eli has received passing grades in Ph mples indicate that Eli's writing is difficult to read both for others and for Eli what he had written when sharing a graphic organizer with his teacher, and a mments indicate that Eli has historically had significant challenges with han ts of the standardized visual-motor integration tasks indicate Below Average fic Learning Disability, specifically in the processing areas of Attention and	ysical Education. i himself. During ccording to teacher dwriting in the sensory motor skills. Visual Motor
able to navigate the education. Needs: At this time, evaluation classroom observation, Eli stri interview, this situation is typi classroom, often leading to fru Impact of Disability: Eli's dis	al environmen on of writing s uggled to read cal. Teacher of istration. Resu ability of Spe	without difficulty. Records reflect that Eli has received passing grades in Ph mples indicate that Eli's writing is difficult to read both for others and for Eli what he had written when sharing a graphic organizer with his teacher, and a mments indicate that Eli has historically had significant challenges with han ts of the standardized visual-motor integration tasks indicate Below Average fic Learning Disability, specifically in the processing areas of Attention and	ysical Education. i himself. During ccording to teacher dwriting in the sensory motor skills. Visual Motor
able to navigate the education. Needs: At this time, evaluation classroom observation, Eli stri interview, this situation is typi classroom, often leading to fru Impact of Disability: Eli's dis	al environmen on of writing s uggled to read cal. Teacher of istration. Resu ability of Spe	without difficulty. Records reflect that Eli has received passing grades in Ph mples indicate that Eli's writing is difficult to read both for others and for Eli what he had written when sharing a graphic organizer with his teacher, and a mments indicate that Eli has historically had significant challenges with han ts of the standardized visual-motor integration tasks indicate Below Average fic Learning Disability, specifically in the processing areas of Attention and	ysical Education. i himself. During ccording to teacher dwriting in the sensory motor skills. Visual Motor
able to navigate the education. Needs: At this time, evaluation classroom observation, Eli strr interview, this situation is typi classroom, often leading to fru Impact of Disability: Eli's dis	al environmen on of writing s uggled to read cal. Teacher of istration. Resu ability of Spe	without difficulty. Records reflect that Eli has received passing grades in Ph mples indicate that Eli's writing is difficult to read both for others and for Eli what he had written when sharing a graphic organizer with his teacher, and a mments indicate that Eli has historically had significant challenges with han ts of the standardized visual-motor integration tasks indicate Below Average fic Learning Disability, specifically in the processing areas of Attention and	ysical Education. i himself. During ccording to teacher dwriting in the sensory motor skills. Visual Motor
able to navigate the education. Needs: At this time, evaluation classroom observation, Eli strr interview, this situation is typi classroom, often leading to fru Impact of Disability: Eli's dis	al environmen on of writing s uggled to read cal. Teacher of istration. Resu ability of Spe	without difficulty. Records reflect that Eli has received passing grades in Ph mples indicate that Eli's writing is difficult to read both for others and for Eli what he had written when sharing a graphic organizer with his teacher, and a mments indicate that Eli has historically had significant challenges with han ts of the standardized visual-motor integration tasks indicate Below Average fic Learning Disability, specifically in the processing areas of Attention and	ysical Education. i himself. During ccording to teacher dwriting in the sensory motor skills. Visual Motor
able to navigate the education. Needs: At this time, evaluation classroom observation, Eli strr interview, this situation is typi classroom, often leading to fru Impact of Disability: Eli's dis	al environmen on of writing s uggled to read cal. Teacher of istration. Resu ability of Spe	without difficulty. Records reflect that Eli has received passing grades in Ph mples indicate that Eli's writing is difficult to read both for others and for Eli what he had written when sharing a graphic organizer with his teacher, and a mments indicate that Eli has historically had significant challenges with han ts of the standardized visual-motor integration tasks indicate Below Average fic Learning Disability, specifically in the processing areas of Attention and	ysical Education. i himself. During ccording to teacher dwriting in the sensory motor skills. Visual Motor
able to navigate the education. Needs: At this time, evaluation classroom observation, Eli strr interview, this situation is typi classroom, often leading to fru Impact of Disability: Eli's dis	al environmen on of writing s uggled to read cal. Teacher of istration. Resu ability of Spe	without difficulty. Records reflect that Eli has received passing grades in Ph mples indicate that Eli's writing is difficult to read both for others and for Eli what he had written when sharing a graphic organizer with his teacher, and a mments indicate that Eli has historically had significant challenges with han ts of the standardized visual-motor integration tasks indicate Below Average fic Learning Disability, specifically in the processing areas of Attention and	ysical Education. i himself. During ccording to teacher dwriting in the sensory motor skills. Visual Motor
able to navigate the education. Needs: At this time, evaluation classroom observation, Eli strr interview, this situation is typi classroom, often leading to fru Impact of Disability: Eli's dis	al environmen on of writing s uggled to read cal. Teacher of istration. Resu ability of Spe	without difficulty. Records reflect that Eli has received passing grades in Ph mples indicate that Eli's writing is difficult to read both for others and for Eli what he had written when sharing a graphic organizer with his teacher, and a mments indicate that Eli has historically had significant challenges with han ts of the standardized visual-motor integration tasks indicate Below Average fic Learning Disability, specifically in the processing areas of Attention and	ysical Education. i himself. During ccording to teacher dwriting in the sensory motor skills. Visual Motor
able to navigate the education. Needs: At this time, evaluation classroom observation, Eli strr interview, this situation is typi classroom, often leading to fru Impact of Disability: Eli's dis	al environmen on of writing s uggled to read cal. Teacher of istration. Resu ability of Spe	without difficulty. Records reflect that Eli has received passing grades in Ph mples indicate that Eli's writing is difficult to read both for others and for Eli what he had written when sharing a graphic organizer with his teacher, and a mments indicate that Eli has historically had significant challenges with han ts of the standardized visual-motor integration tasks indicate Below Average fic Learning Disability, specifically in the processing areas of Attention and	ysical Education. i himself. During ccording to teacher dwriting in the sensory motor skills. Visual Motor
able to navigate the education. Needs: At this time, evaluation classroom observation, Eli strr interview, this situation is typi classroom, often leading to fru Impact of Disability: Eli's dis	al environmen on of writing s uggled to read cal. Teacher of istration. Resu ability of Spe	without difficulty. Records reflect that Eli has received passing grades in Ph mples indicate that Eli's writing is difficult to read both for others and for Eli what he had written when sharing a graphic organizer with his teacher, and a mments indicate that Eli has historically had significant challenges with han ts of the standardized visual-motor integration tasks indicate Below Average fic Learning Disability, specifically in the processing areas of Attention and	ysical Education. i himself. During ccording to teacher dwriting in the sensory motor skills. Visual Motor

	INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)	Page 5 c
Los Angeles Unified School Distric Student CHEN ELI	DAVID Date of Birth 13-JUN-2012 Meeting Date 14-3	SEP-2022
Last Fir		
Performance Area:	Social Emotional	
Category:	Social Emotional	
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:	Records, Interviews, Observations, Rating Scales	
State/District Assessment Results:		
Current Performance/Assessment Summ	mary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance):	
Strengths: Some of Eli's strengths are t classmates and knowledgeable about h	that he is social, responsible, and has generally good relationships with peers and family. He is well-liked history and geography.	l by
relationship with his father, following She noted that he seems to have impro	P meeting on 9/14/22, Eli is now accessing private therapy to address challenges with anxiety and his this parents' divorce. He has attended therapy for approximately 6 months, and he attends sessions weeks oved significantly and has a better understanding of his feelings and their source. Eli is now seeing his fat longer goes to his house per Eli's decision.	
articulate way. Eli's teacher also noted feedback from others. When completin reflecting that Eli exhibits more signifi mother and teacher both indicated Clin reported Clinically Significant challeng	uggles with oversensitivity, and Eli's teacher shared that Eli cries frequently at school but struggles to d concerns with organizational habits, motivation to complete assignments, and challenges in receiving ing a broad social emotional rating scale (BASC-3), Eli's mother and teacher had inconsistent ratings, ficant and/or frequent social emotional challenges at school when compared to the home environment. Eli inically Significant concerns with Anxiety, and Eli reported At-Risk concerns in this area. Eli's teacher als ages with Depression, Adaptability, and Study Skills, as well as At-Risk challenges with Hyperactivity, ms, Aggression, Somatization, Social Skills, and Leadership at school. Eli also reported At-Risk challenge tions, and Self-Reliance.	0
Performance Area:	Social Emotional (cont.)	
Category:	Social Emotional	
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:	Records, Interviews, Observations, Rating Scales	
State/District Assessment Results:		
Current Performance/Assessment Sumn	mary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance):	
characteristics of ADHD within the sel Elevated challenges with Inattention, a reported that he is similar to same-age inconsistent in their responses, reflectin withdrawal, etc.) that are observable to that he experiences an elevated numbe and interpersonal problems. On an anx display behaviors consistent with anxie	rating scale (Conners-3), raters were inconsistent in their responses reflecting that Eli demonstrates chool environment; however these challenges are not pervasive across settings. Eli's teacher reported Very as well as Elevated Learning Problems and Executive Functioning challenges. In contrast, Elis' mother e peers in all areas of functioning. On a depression-specific rating scale (CDI-2), raters were also ing that Eli does not exhibit behaviors consistent with depression (e.g. sadness, loneliness, irritability, to adults in the home and school environments. In contrast, when completing the self-report, Eli indicated er of depressive symptoms, specifically in the areas of negative mood, physical symptoms, ineffectivenes xiety-specific rating scale (MASC-2), raters were inconsistent in their responses, reflecting that Eli does reiety (e.g. worry, nervousness, etc.) that are observable within the home setting. While Eli reported Very ety and Slightly Elevated challenges with anxiety overall, Eli's mother reported that he is similar to same-	s, iot
	of Specific Learning Disability and challenges in coping with frustration and anxiety adversely impacts hi ational curriculum.	s

	INDIVI	DUALIZEI	D EDUCATION PROGR	AM (IEP)	Page 6 of 2
Los Angeles Unified School Distri				()	
Student CHEN ELI	DAVID		Date of Birth	13-JUN-2012	Meeting Date 14-SEP-2022
Last Fi					
		tion E: Pr	esent Level of Perform	ance	
Performance Area:	Health				
Category:	Health		►		
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:	Interview with pa	ent			
State/District Assessment Results:					
Current Performance/Assessment Sum	mary (include studer	strengths,	student needs and impact o	f disability on studer	nt performance):
HEALTH SUMMARY: Eli a 10 year developmental milestone were within recovery.					
STRENGHTS: He is generally in goo is history of chronic/serious illness, al			screening on 06/03/2022 at	nd vision screening c	on 05/26/2022. No reported
AREAS OF NEED: Health is not an	area of need.				
IMPACT OF DISABILITY: Student	s health does not imp	act his parti	cipation, performance and	access in the educati	onal program.
ACCOMMODATION/MODIFICAT	ON: None in health				
Victoria U. Lien, RN, BSN, CSN 09/13/2022					
Performance Area:					
Category:			~		
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:					
State/District Assessment Results:					
Current Performance/Assessment Summ	mary (include studer	strengths,	student needs and impact o	f disability on studer	nt performance):

Student CHEN	ool Distric		DAVID		Date	of Birth 13-JU	N-2012	Meeti	ng Date 14-SEP-20
Last	Firs	st	MI						a
erformance Area:		Einam	Secti otor skills	ion E: Prese	ent Level of	Performance			
		Fine M			~				
Category:		_		·		nt, report review			
Assessment/Monitoring Proc Jsed:	ess	Observa	ation, intervi	lew, standardi	ized assessine	ni, report review			
tate/District Assessment Re	sults:								
Current Performance/Assessi	nent Summ	ary (inclu	ude student s	strengths, stu	dent needs an	d impact of disa	oility on studen	t performar	ce):
Eli is a friendly and talkati geography, playing video ga presents with appropriate pe surfaces and to transition th differentiate between a varia and pursuits. he reports and tasks. He does not present v Eli's areas of needs: Eli presents with fine moto Manual Control composite to read with him having poor position to form sentences v therapy treatment it is sugge treatment by an occupationa written assignments. For sh need extra time. (Impact statement on next j	ames, and c ostural stab roughout a ety of shape demonstrat with any ser or deficits th of the BOT or spacing i with approp ested that it al therapist.	ollecting ility and r standard es, letters, tes the sen sory proc hat impac -2 with a n between riate spac would be he is able	things. His s range of mot school camp , numbers. H nsory motor cessing defic et the accurad standard sco n words. He eing and pun e best at this e to use standard	strengths incl tion in order t pus. He presente is also able skills to parti- cits that impact cy and legibil pre of 36 (8th was able how netuation when time to pursu- dard available	ude his overa to access the g nts with funct e to track item icipate in PE cts his social lity of his writ percentile). d wever to use a n copying. Ba ue accommod e computer ty	Il willingness to general educatior ional visual proc s in his environn or schoolyard ga skills, motor skil ting. He scored in uring the assess keyboard with a sed on the analy ations for his wr ping software to	attempt tasks an a classroom incl essing skills all nent and demor mes and is able ls or selfcare sk n the well below nent his writing ge-appropriate sis of student's ting rather thar complete the fit	nd wanting luding shelv lowing him istrates app to follow r tills. v average ra g was viewe dexterity an previous of pursue Re ne motor p	to do well. He ring and tabletop to recognize and ropriate saccades nultiple step ange on the Fine d to be difficult ad typing ccupational mediation or ortion of longer
Performance Area:		Fine mo	otor skills						
Category:		Fine M	lotor		~				
Assessment/Monitoring Proc Jsed:	ess	Observa	ation, intervi	iew, standardi	ized assessme	nt, report review	,		
State/District Assessment Re	sults:								
Current Performance/Assessi	nent Sumr	ary (inclu	ude student s	strengths, stu	dent needs an	d impact of disa	oility on studen	t performar	ce):
Impact of disability on acad IEP team to determine if st student presents deficits in t with standard accommodati Richard Moore MA OTR/I	udent meets the area of f ons.	the eligi	bility of a st rs skills but	udent with th can be accom	e disability a modated wit	id requires schoo in the standard	bl services to m general education	eet his need on classroo	ls. Per this report n environment

		LIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)	Page 8 of
Los Angeles Unified School Distr Student CHEN ELI	rict DAVID	Date of Birth 13-JUN-2012	Meeting Date 14-SEP-2022
	'irst MI		
		E: Present Level of Performance	
Performance Area:	Reading)	
Category:	Reading	✓	
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:	Progress report, Work Sa	amples, Teacher Questionnaire, Observation, Inforr	nal
State/District Assessment Results:	WJ IV		
Current Performance/Assessment Sun	nmary (include student stren	gths, student needs and impact of disability on stud	ent performance):
shown below. Based on a compariso higher than about 68 percent of nine- Broad Reading is a comprehensive of fluency. Overall, Eli's Broad Reading Strengths: Eli can read multisyllabid 'aggrandizement.' Eli had a good atti (SS) was 120, which is in the high av When asked to read short sentences Test. He read 54 sentences correctly	High Average -124) High Average 11) Average -112) Average rom the Woodcock-Johnson n of others of his age, Eli's E -year-old children nationally measure of Eli's reading achi g is in the high average range words such as 'heuristic', 'n tude and was very pleasant of verage range. quickly and decide if the sta with 2 mistakes in 3 minutes alytical abilities. He reads w	ievement, including letter identification, passage co	of 68 means that he scored omprehension, and reading procious', and urately. His standard score Sentence Reading Fluency
Performance Area:	Math		
Category:	Math	♥	
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:	Progress report, Work Sa	amples, Teacher Questionnaire, Observation, Inform	nal
State/District Assessment Results:	WJ IV		
Current Performance/Assessment Sun	nmary (include student stren	gths, student needs and impact of disability on stud	lent performance):

WJ IV Math Scores Cluster/Test Standard Score (SS) Standard Score Classification BROAD MATH 114 (111-117) High Average Applied Problems 113 (108-118) High Average Calculation 115 (110-120) High Average Math Facts Fluency 107 (103-112) Average

Broad Mathematics is a comprehensive measure of Eli's math achievement, including math calculation skills, problem solving, and the ability to solve simple addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division problems quickly. Overall, Eli's Broad Math is in the high average range with a SS of 114.

Strengths: He solved problems quickly and confidently. Eli was able to solve multi digit-addition and subtraction with regrouping, two digits by one digit multiplication and division, add, subtract, and reduce fraction problems. Eli solved 3x+4=16 by an inverted algorithm and was able to find the correct answer. Eli answered 23 out of 29 attempted problems correctly and his SS was 115, which is in the high average range on the Calculation subtest.

On the Math Fluency subtest, Eli also solved problems with accuracy and quickly. Eli solved 85 problems and got 0 incorrect. His SS was 107, which is in the average range.

Eli can solve problems involving multiplication, division, and two step word problems. He was able to scan through extraneous information. Eli understood key vocabulary. Eli can name coins, state their value, and figure out change. His SS was 113, which is in the high average range on the Applied Problems subtests.

Need: None at this time

IMPACT OF DISABILITY: None at this time.

Last First MI Section E: Present Level of Performance Performance Area: Written Language Category: Writing Assessment/Monitoring Process Progress report, Work Samples, Teacher Questionnaire, Observation, Informal Used: State/District Assessment Results: WJ IV Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Written Language ScoresCluster/Test Standard Score (SS) Standard Score (Cluster/Test Standard Score (SS) Standard Score (Cluster/Test Standard Score (SS) Standard Score (SS) Standard Score (SS) Low Average Speling 107 (103-111) Average Written Language is a broad-based measure of Eli's written language achievement, including spelling, the quality of written sentences, and speed of writing. Eli can print fluently, His permanship is undecodable. Eli can verbally or in typing produce both simple and detailed sentences that are sensical. Overall, Eli's Broad Written Language was 89, which is in the low average range. Strengths: He can spell multisyllabic words easily and accurately. He spelled words such as 'calorie', 'league', 'skiing', 'coccot, and 'clothes'. When he is unfamiliar with a word, he uses phonetic skills to spell out words, (allready/already, emportant, subscripsion/subscription, entousastic/enthusiastic, calander/calendar). His standard score (SS) was 107, which is in the low average range. Eli can formulate and write simple sentences quickly. Newever only 8 were written legibly to be scored. Eli wrote sentences with remark	Last First MI Section E: Present Level of Performance Performance Area: Written Language Category: Writing Assessment/Monitoring Process Progress report, Work Samples, Teacher Questionnaire, Observation, Informal Used: State/District Assessment Results: WJ IV Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Written Language Scores/Cluster/Test Standard Score (SS) Standard Score (Classification BROAD WRITEN LANGUAGE 89 (87-92) Low Average Spaling 107 (103-111) Average Written Language is a broad-based measure of Eli's written language achievement, including spelling, the quality of written sentences, and speed of writing. Fluency 83 (77-90) Low Average Strengths: He can spell multisyllabic words easily and accurately. He spelled words such as 'calorie', 'league', 'skiing', 'cocoa', and 'clothes.' When he is unfamiliar with a word, he uses phonetic skills to spell outwords, glalicadylaredy, emportant', subscription, enticesstic/enthusiastic, ealander/calendar). His standard score (SS) was 107, which is in the average range. Eli can formulate and write is simple subtest were inadequate. It was difficult to decode by the reader and himself. His SS was 83, which is in the low average range on the Sentence Writing Fluency Study. Needs: He was able to write 16 sentences quickly, however only 8 were written legibly to be scored. Eli wrote sentences with simple subtest. The sentences Eli produced on The Writing Sa	Last First MI Section E: Present Level of Performance Performance Area: Written Language Category: Writing Assessment/Monitoring Process Progress report, Work Samples, Teacher Questionnaire, Observation, Informal Used: State/District Assessment Results: WJ IV Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Written Language ScoresCluster/Test Standard Score (SS) Low Average Specific (107) (103) 111) Average Written Language is a broad-based measure of Eli's written language achievement, including spelling, the quality of written sentences, and speed of writing. Eli can prim thently: His pennanship is undecodable. Eli can verbally or in typing produce both simple and detailed sentences that are sensical. Overall, Eli's Broad Written Language was 89, which is in the low average range. Strengths: He can spell multisyllabic words easily and accurately. He spelled words such as 'calorie', league', 'skiing', 'cocoa', and 'clothes'. When he is unfamiliar with a word, he uses phonetic skills to spell out words, (allready/already, emportant/important, subscripeion/subscription, entonsatic/enthusiastic, ealander/calendar). His standard score (SS) was 107, which is in the low average range. Eli can formulate and write simple sentences quickly. Needs: He was able	Student CHEN	ool District		DAVID	Date of Birth 13-JUN-2012	Meeting Date 14-SEP-2022
Performance Area: Writen Language Category: Writing Assessment/Monitoring Process Progress report, Work Samples, Teacher Questionnaire, Observation, Informal Used: State/District Assessment Results: WJ IV Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Written Language ScoresCluster/Test Standard Score (SS) Studard Score (SS) Studerd Score	Performance Area: Writing Category: Writing Assessment/Monitoring Process Progress report, Work Samples, Teacher Questionnaire, Observation, Informal Used: State/District Assessment Results: WJ IV Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Written Language ScoresCluster/Test Standard Score (188) Stand	Performance Area: Writing Category: Writing Assessment/Monitoring Process Progress report, Work Samples, Teacher Questionnaire, Observation, Informal Used: State/District Assessment Results: WJ IV Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Writen Language Scores/Cluster/Test Stude/District Assessment Score Clussification RADAD WRITTEN LANGUAGE 89 (87-92) Low Average Spelling 107 (103-111) Average Writing Samples 80 (76-85) Low Average Speling 107 (103-111) Average Writing Samples 80 (76-85) Low Average Second measure of Elf's written language achievement, including spelling, the quality of written sentences, and speed of writing. Eli can print fluently. His permanship is undecodable. Eli can verbally or in typing produce both simple and detailed sentences that are sensical. Overall, Eli's Broad Written Languages was 89, which is in the low average range. Strengths: He can spell multisyllabic words assily and accurately. He spelled words such as 'calorie', 'league', 'skiing', 'occoa', and 'clothes' When he is unfamiliar with a word, he uses phonetic skills to spell out words, (allready/already, emportant/important, tabscritepion/subscription, entences quickly, however only 8 were written legibly to be scored. Eli words esaiting entences (Writing Eli can verbally provide the answers for each writing prompt however unable to provide the answers on print. He attained a SS of 80, which is in the low average range. Needs: He was able			t	MI		
Category: Writing Progress report, Work Samples, Teacher Questionnaire, Observation, Informal Used: WJ IV Corrent Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Writher Language Scores Cluster/Test Standard Score (SS) Low Average Spelling 107 (103-111) Average Writing Samples 80 (76-SS) Low Average Sentence Writing Fluency 83 (77-90) Low Average Sentence Writing Sentence Writing Sender Stute Language was 89, which is in the low average range. Strength: He can spell multisyllabic words easily and accurately. He spelled words such as 'calorie', 'league', 'sking', 'cocoa', and 'clothes.' When he is infamiliar with a word, he uses phonetic skills to spell out words, (allready/already, emportant, 'subscription'subscription, entoussatie/ denthissatie, calander/alendary). His standard score (SS) was 107, w	Category: Writing Process Witing Progress report, Work Samples, Teacher Questionnaire, Observation, Informal Used: WJ IV Corrent Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Written Language Score-SCluster/Test Standard Score (SS) was 107, which is in the low average range. Strengths: He can spell multisyllabic words easily and accurately. He spelled words such as 'calorie', 'league', 'skiing', 'cocoa', and 'clothes.' When he is unfamiliar with a word, he uses phonetic skills to spell out words, (allready/already, emportant, subscription/subscription, entousastic/enthusisatic, calander/calendar). His standard score (SS) was 107, which is in the low average range. Eli can formulate and write simple subtest were indiacquate. It was difficult to decode Eli's permanship. Eli can verbally provide the answers for each writing prompt however unable to provide the answers on print. He attained a SS of 80, which is in the low average range. IMPACT OF DISABILITY: Eli's eligibility of Specific Learning Disability (SLD) may impact him to write multi paragraph which impacts his ability to be involved and progress in the general education written curriculum.	Category: Writing Process Progress report, Work Samples, Teacher Questionnaire, Observation, Informal Used: WI W Carrent Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Written Language ScoresCluster/Test Standard Score (SS) was 107, which is in the low average range. Strengths: He can spell multisyllabic words easily and accurately. He spelled words such as 'calorie', 'league', 'skiing', 'cocoa', and 'clothes.' When he is unfamiliar with a word, he uses phonetic skills to spell out words, (allicady/alreidy, emportam/inportant, subscription, the issues infamiliar with a word, he uses phonetic skills to spell out words, (allicady/alreidy, emportam/inportant, subscription/subscription, heivewer, they were difficult to decode by the reader and himself. His SS was 83, which is in the low average range on the Sentences Writing Fluency Subtest. The sentences Eli produced on The Writing Samples subtest were inadequate. It was difficult to decode Eli's permanship. Eli can verbally provide the answers for each writing prompt however unable to provide the answers on print. He attained a SS of 80, which is in the low average range. It can verbally provide the answers for each writing prompt however unable to provide the answers on print. He attained a SS of 80, which is in the low average range. MPACT OF DISABILITY: Eli'	Performance Area:		Written		on E: Present Level of Performance	
Progress report, Work Samples, Teacher Questionnaire, Observation, Informal Used: W1V Current Performance/Assessment Results: W1 IV Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Written Language Scores/Luster/Test Stude/District Assessment/Molitoring Process Sentence Writing Funency 83 (77-90) Low Average Sentence Writing Fluency 83 (77-90) Low Average Broad Written Language is a broad-based measure of Ell's written language achievement, including spelling, the quality of written sentences, and speed of writing. Eli can print fluently. His pennanship is undecodable. Eli can verbally or in typing produce both simple and detailed sentences that are sensicial. Overall, Eli's Broad Written Language was 80, which is in the low average range. Strengths: He can spell multisyllabic words easily and accurately. He spelled words such as 'calorie', 'league', 'skiing', 'cocoa', and 'clotthes.' When he is unfamiliar with a word, he uses phonetic skills to spell out words, (allready/already, emportant/important, subscripsion/subscription, entousastic/enthusiastie, calander/calendar). His standard score (SS) was 107, which is in the average range. Eli can formulate and write simple sentences quickly, however only 8 were written legibly to be scored Eli wrote sentences with remarkable ease; however, they were difficult to decode by the reader and himself. His SS was 83, which is in the low average range. Needse: He was able to write 16 sentences quickly, however only 8 were written legibly to be scored Eli wrote sentence Writing Fluency Subtest.	Assessment/Monitoring Process Progress report, Work Samples, Teacher Questionnaire, Observation, Informal Used: Will IV Current Performance/Assessment Results: WJ IV Written Language Scores/Cluster/Test Standard Score (SS) Standard Score Classification BROAD WRITTEN LANGUAGE 89 (87-92) Low Average Spelling 107 (103-111) Average Writting Samples 80 (76-85) Low Average Sentence Writing Fluency 83 (77-90) Low Average Sentence Writing Fluency 83 (77-90) Low Average Sentence Writing Fluency 83 (77-90) Low Average Stender/Subscription Sentence Writing Fluency 83 (77-90) Low Average Strengths: He can spell multisyllabic words easily and accurately. He spelled words such as 'calorie', 'league', 'skiing', 'cocca', and 'clothes', 'When he is unfamiliar with a word, kells to spell out words, (allready/already, cmaptrati/important, subscripesion/subscription, entousatic/enthusiastic, ealander/calendar). His standard score (SS) was 107, which is in the low average range on the Sentence Writing Fluency Subtest. Needs: He was able to write 16 sentences quickly, however only 8 were written legibly to be scored. Eli	Progress report, Work Samples, Teacher Questionnaire, Observation, Informal Used: W1V Current Performance/Assessment Results: W1V Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and inpact of disability on student performance): Written Language ScoresCluster/Test Stude/District Assessment/Monitoring Process Sentence Writing Samples 80 (76-85) Low Average Sentence Writing Fluency 83 (77-90) Low Average Broad Written Language is a broad-based measure of Ell's written language achievement, including spelling, the quality of written sentences, and speed of writing. Eli can print fluently. His pennanship is undecodable. Eli can verbally or in typing produce both simple and detailed sentences that are sensicial. Overall, Ell's Broad Written Language was 89, which is in the low average range. Strengths: He can spell multisyllabic words easily and accurately. He spelled words such as 'calorie', 'league', 'skiing', 'cocoa', and 'clothes.' When he is unfamiliar with a word, he uses phonetic skills to spell out words, (allready/already, emportant/important, subscripsion/subscription, entousastic/enthusiastie, calander/calendar). His standard score (SS) was 107, which is in the average range. Eli can formulate and write simple sentences quickly, however only 8 were written legibly to be scored. Eli words sentences Writing Fluency Subtest. Needs: He was able to write 16 sentences quickly, however only 8 were written legibly to be soreed. Eli words entence Writing Fluency Subtest. The sentences Eli produced on The Writing Samples subtest were inadequate. It was diff			\geq		~	
Used: WIIV WIIV: WIIV: WIIV WIIV:	Used: WIT V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	Used: WIV Current Performance/Assessment Results: WIV Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Writen Language ScoresCluster/Test Stundard Score (SS) Standard Score Classification BROAD WRITTEN LANGUAGE 89 (87-92) Low Average Spelling 107 (103-111) Average Writing Samples 80 (76-85) Low Average Sentence Writing Fluency 83 (77-90) Low Average Sentence Writing Fluency 83 (77-90) Low Average Stentence Writing Fluency 83 (77-90) Low Average Strengths: He can spell multisyllable words easily and accurately. He spelled words such as 'calorie', 'league', 'skiing', 'oocoa', and 'clothes.' When he is unfamiliar with a word, he uses phonetic skills to spell out words, (allready/already, emportant/important, subscription, entousastic/enthusiastic, calander/calendar). His standard score (SS) was 107, which is in the average range. Eli can formulate and write simple sentences quickly. Needs: He was able to write 16 sentences quickly, however only 8 were written legibly to be scored. Eli wrote sentences with remarkable ease; however, they were difficult to decode by the reader and himself. His SS was 83, which is in the low average range. On the Sentence Writing Fluency Subtest. The sentences Eli produced on The Writing Samples subtest were inadequate. It was difficult to decode Eli's penmanship, Eli can verbally provide the answers for each writing prompt however unable to provide the answers on print. He attained a SS of 80, which is in the low average range. IMPACT OF DISABILITY: Eli's eligibility of Specific Learning Disability (SLD) may impact him to write multi paragraph which impacts his ability to be involved and progress in the general edu		cess		•		
urrent Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Written Language Scores/Cluster/Test Standard Score (SS) Standard Score Classification BROAD WRITTEN LANGUAGE 89 (87-29) Low Average Sentence Writing Fluency 83 (77-90) Low Average Broad Written Language is a broad-based measure of Eli's written language achievement, including spelling, the quality of written sentences, and speed of writing. Eli can print fluently, His penmanship is undecodable. Eli can verbally or in typing produce both simple and detailed sentences that are sensical. Overall, Eli's Broad Written Language was 89, which is in the low average range. Strengths: He can spell multisyllabic words easily and accurately. He spelled words such as 'calorie', 'league', 'skiing', 'cocoa', and 'clothes.' When he is unfamiliar with a word, he uses phonetic skills to spell out words, (allready/already, emportant/important, subscriepsion/subscription, entousastic/entusiastic, calander/calendar). His standard score (SS) was 107, which is in the low average range. Eli can formulate and write simple sentences quickly. Needs: He was able to write 16 sentences quickly, however only 8 were written legibly to be scored. Eli wrote sentences with remarkable ease; however, they were difficult to decode by the reader and himself. His SS was 83, which is in the low average range on the Sentence Writing Fluency Subtest. The sentences Eli produced on The Writing Samples subtest were inadequate. It was difficult to decode El's permanship. El can verbally provide the answers for each writing prompt however unable to provide the answers on print. He attained a SS of 80, which is in the low average ran	urrent Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Written Language ScoresCluster/Test Standard Score (SS) Standard Score Classification BROAD WRITTEN LANGUAGE 89 (67-29) Low Average Sentence Writing Fluency 83 (77-90) Low Average Broad Written Language is a broad-based measure of Eli's written language achievement, including spelling, the quality of written sentences, and speed of writing. Eli can print fluently, His penmanship is undecodable. Eli can verbally or in typing produce both simple and detailed sentences that are sensical. Overall, Elf's Broad Written Language was 89, which is in the low average range. Strengths: He can spell multisyllabic words easily and accurately. He spelled words such as 'calorie', 'league', 'skiing', 'cocoa', and 'clothes.' When he is unfamiliar with a word, he uses phonetic skills to spell out words, (allready/already, emportant/important, subscriepsion/subscription, entousastic/enthusiastic, calander/calendar). His standard score (SS) was 107, which is in the low average range. Eli can formulate and write simple sentences quickly. Needs: He was able to write 16 sentences quickly, however only 8 were written legibly to be scored. Eli wrote sentences with remarkable ease; however, they were difficult to decode by the reader and himself. His SS was 83, which is in the low average range on the Sentence Writing Fluency Subtest. The sentences Eli produced on The Writing Samples subtest were inadequate. It was difficult to decode Eli's penmanship. Eli can verbally provide the answers for each writing prompt however unable to provide the answers on print. He attained a SS of 80, which is in the low average r	Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Written Language Scores/Cluster/Test Standard Score (SS) Standard Score Classification BROAD WRITTEN LANGUAGE 89 (87-92) Low Average Sentence Writing Fluency 83 (77-90) Low Average Sentence Writing Fluency 83 (77-90) Low Average Broad Written Language is a broad-based measure of Eli's written language achievement, including spelling, the quality of written sentences, and speed of writing. Eli can print fluently. His penmanship is undecodable. Eli can verbally or in typing produce both simple and detailed sentences that are sensical. Overall, Eli's Broad Written Language was 89, which is in the low average range. Strengths: He can spell multisyllabic words easily and accurately. He spelled words such as 'calorie', 'league', 'skiing', 'cocoa', and 'clothes.' When he is unfamiliar with a word, he uses phonetic skills to spell out words, (allready/already, emportant/important, subscrippion/subscription, entousastic/enthusiastic, calander/calendar). His standard score (SS) was 107, which is in the average range. Eli can formulate and write simple sentences quickly. Needs: He was able to write 16 sentences quickly, however only 8 were written legibly to be scored. Eli wrote sentences with remarkable ease; however, they were difficult to decode by the reader and himself. His SS was 83, which is in the low average range on the Sentence Writing Fluency Subtest. The sentences Eli produced on The Writing Samples subtest were inadequate. It was difficult to decode Eli's penmanship. Eli can verbally provide the answers for each writing prompt however unable to prov	Jsed:			1 ,	1 / 2 / /	
Written Language ScoresCluster/Test Standard Score (SS) Standard Score Classification BROAD WRITTEN LANGUAGE 89 (87-92) Low Average Spelling 107 (103-111) Average Written Language is a broad-based measure of Eli's written language achievement, including spelling, the quality of written sentences, and speed of writing. Eli can print fluently. His penmanship is undecodable. Eli can verbally or in typing produce both simple and detailed sentences that are sensical. Overall, Eli's Broad Written Language was 89, which is in the low average range. Strengths: He can spell multisyllabic words easily and accurately. He spelled words such a'calorie', 'league', 'skiing', 'cocoa', and 'clothes.' When he is unfamiliar with a word, he uses phonetic skills to spell out words. (allread/ylaredy, emportant/important, subscription, entousastic/enthusiastic, calander/calendar). His standard score (SS) was 107, which is in the average range. Eli can formulate and write simple sentences quickly. Needs: He was able to write 16 sentences quickly, however only 8 were written legibly to be scored. Eli wrote sentences with remarkable ease; however, they were difficult to decode by the reader and himself. His SS was 83, which is in the low average range on the Sentence Writing Fluency Subtest. The sentences Eli produced on The Writing Samples subtest were inadequate. It was difficult to decode Eli's penmanship. Eli can verbally provide the answers for each writing prompt however unable to provide the answers on print. He attained a SS of 80, which is in the low average range. IMPACT OF DISABILITY: Eli's eligibility of Specific Learning Disability (SLD) may impact him to write multi paragraph which impacts his ability to be involved and progr	Written Language ScoresCluster/Test Standard Score (SB) Standard Score Classification BROAD WRITTEN LANGUAGE B9 (87-92) Low Average Spelling 107 (103-111) Average Writing Samples 80 (76-85) Low Average Broad Written Language is a broad-based measure of Eli's written language achievement, including spelling, the quality of written sentences, and speed of writing Fluency 83 (77-90) Low Average Broad Written Language is a broad-based measure of Eli's written language achievement, including spelling, the quality of written sentences, and speed of writing. Eli can print fluently. His penmanship is undecodable. Eli can verbally or in typing produce both simple and detailed sentences that are sensical. Overall, Eli's Broad Written Language was 89, which is in the low average range. Strengths: He can spell multisyllabic words easily and accurately. He spelled words such as 'calorie', 'league', 'skiing', 'cocoa', and 'clothes.' When he is unfamiliar with a word, he uses phonetic skills to spell out words, (allready/already, emportant/important, subscripsion/subscription, entoussatic/enthusiastic, calander/calendar). His standard score (SS) was 107, which is in the average range. Eli can formulate and write simple sentences quickly. Needs: He was able to write 16 sentences quickly, however only 8 were written legibly to be scored. Eli wrote sentences with remarkable ease; however, they were difficult to decode by the reader and himself. His SS was 83, which is in the low average range on the Sentence Writing Fluency Subtest. The sentences Fli produced on The Writing Samples subtest were inadequate. It was difficult to decode Eli's penmanship. Eli can verbally provide the answers for each writing prompt however unable to provide the answers on print. He attained a SS of 80, which is in the low average range. IMPACT OF DISABILITY: Eli's eligibility of Specific Learning Disability (SLD) may impact him to write multi paragraph which impacts his ability to be involved and progress in the general education	Written Language ScoresCluster/Test Standard Score (SS) Standard Score Classification BROAD WRITTEN LANCU/AGE 89 (87-92) Low Average Spelling 107 (103-111) Average Written Language is a broad-based measure of Eli's written language achievement, including spelling, the quality of written sentences, and speed of writing. Flic an print fluently. His penmanship is undecodable. Eli can verbally or in typing produce both simple and detailed sentences that are sensical. Overall, Eli's Broad Written Language was 89, which is in the low average range. Strengths: He can spell multisyllabic words easily and accurately. He spelled words such as 'calorie', 'league', 'skiing', 'cocoa', and 'clothes.' When he is unfamiliar with a word, he uses phonetic skills to spello lut words, (allready/already, emportant/important, subscription, entousastic/enthusiastic, calander/calendar). His standard score (SS) was 107, which is in the average range. Eli can formulate and write simple sentences quickly. Needs: He was able to write 16 sentences quickly, however only 8 were written legibly to be scored. Eli wrote sentences with remarkable ease; however, they were difficult to decode by the reader and himself. His SS was 83, which is in the low average range on the Sentence Writing Fluency Subtest. The sentences Eli produced on The Writing Samples subtest were inadequate. It was difficult to decode Eli's penmanship. Eli can verbally provide the answers for each writing prompt however unable to provide the answers on print. He attained a SS of 80, which is in the low average range. IMPACT OF DISABILITY: Eli's eligibility of Specific Learning Disability (SLD) may impact him to write mulit paragraph which impacts his ability to be involved and						
Standard Score (SS) Standard Score Classification BROAD WRITTEN LANGUAGE 89 (87-92) Low Average Spelling 107 (103-111) Average Writing Samples 80 (76-85) Low Average Broad Written Language is a broad-based measure of Eli's written language achievement, including spelling, the quality of written sentences, and speed of written. Language is a broad-based measure of Eli's written language achievement, including spelling, the quality of written sentences, and speed of written. Eli can print fluently. His penmanship is undecodable. Eli can verbally or in typing produce both simple and detailed sentences that are sensical. Overall, Eli's Broad Written Language was 89, which is in the low average range. Strengths: He can spell multisyllabic words easily and accurately. He spelled words such as 'calorie', 'league', 'skiing', 'cocoa', and 'clothes.' When he is unfamiliar with a word, he uses phonetic skills to spell out words. (allread/ylaredy, emportant/important, subscription, entousastic/enthusiastic, calander/calendar). His standard score (SS) was 107, which is in the average range. Eli can formulate and write simple sentences quickly. Needs: He was able to write 16 sentences quickly, however only 8 were written legibly to be scored. Eli wrote sentences writing Fluency Subtest. The sentences Eli produced on The Writing Samples subtest were inadequate. It was difficult to decode Eli's penmanship. Eli can verbally provide the answers for each writing prompt however unable to provide the answers on print. He attained a SS of 80, which is in the low average range. IMPACT OF DISABILITY: Eli's eligibility of Specific Learning Disability (SLD) may impact him to write mulit paragraph which impacts his ability to be involved an	Standard Score (SS) Standard Score Classification BROAD WRITTEN LANGUAGE 89 (87-92) Low Average Spelling 107 (103-111) Average Writing Samples 80 (76-85) Low Average Broad Written Language is a broad-based measure of Eli's written language achievement, including spelling, the quality of written sentences, and speed of written. Language is a broad-based measure of Eli's written language achievement, including spelling, the quality of written sentences, and speed of written Language is a broad-based measure of Eli's written language achievement, including spelling, the quality of written sentences, and speed of written. Eli can print fluently. His penmanship is undecodable. Eli can verbally or in typing produce both simple and detailed sentences that are sensical. Overall, Eli's Broad Written Language was 89, which is in the low average range. Strengths: He can spell multisyllabie words easily and accurately. He spelled words such as 'calorie', 'league', 'skiing', 'cocoa', and 'clothes.' When he is unfamiliar with a word, he uses phonetic skills to spell out words, (allready/atready, emportant, subscripsion/subscription, entousastic/enthusiastic, calander/calendar). His standard score (SS) was 107, which is in the average range. Eli can formulate and write simple sentences quickly. Needs: He was able to write 16 sentences quickly, however only 8 were written legibly to be scored. Eli wrote sentences with remarkable ease; however, they were difficult to decode by the reader and himself. His SS was 83, which is in the low average range on the Sentence Writing Fluency Subtest. The sentences Eli produced on The Writing Samples subtest were inadequate. It was difficult to decode Eli's penmanship. Eli can verbally provide the answers for each writing prompt however unable to provide the answers on print. He attained a SS of 80, which is in the low average range. MPACT OF DISABILITY: Eli's eligibility of Specific Learning Disability (SLD) may impact him to write mulit paragraph which impacts his ability to be involved a	Standard Score (SS) Standard Score Classification BROAD WRITTEN LANGUAGE 89 (87-92) Low Average Spelling 107 (103-111) Average Writing Samples 80 (76-85) Low Average Broad Written Language is a broad-based measure of Eli's written language achievement, including spelling, the quality of written sentences, and speed of writing. Eli can print fluently. His pennanship is undecodable. Eli can verbally or in typing produce both simple and detailed sentences that are sensical. Overall, Eli's Broad Written Language was 89, which is in the low average range. Strengths: He can spell multisyllabic words easily and accurately. He spelled words such as 'calorie', 'league', 'skiing', 'cocoa', and 'clothes.' When he is unfamiliar with a word, he uses phonetic skills to spell out words, (altready/already, emportant/important, subscripeion, entousastic/enthusiastic, calander/calendar). His standard score (SS) was 107, which is in the average range. Eli can formulate and write simple sentences quickly. Needs: He was able to write 16 sentences quickly, however only 8 were written legibly to be scored. Eli's penmanship. Eli can verbally provide the answers for each writing prompt however unable to provide the answers on print. He attained a SS of 80, which is in the low average IMPACT OF DISABILITY: Eli's eligibility of Specific Learning Disability (SLD) may impact him to write mulit paragraph which impacts his ability to be involved and progress in the general education written curriculum.			ary (incl	ude student str	rengths, student needs and impact of disability on student	performance):
Needs: He was able to write 16 sentences quickly, however only 8 were written legibly to be scored. Eli wrote sentences with remarkable ease; however, they were difficult to decode by the reader and himself. His SS was 83, which is in the low average range on the Sentence Writing Fluency Subtest. The sentences Eli produced on The Writing Samples subtest were inadequate. It was difficult to decode Eli's penmanship. Eli can verbally provide the answers for each writing prompt however unable to provide the answers on print. He attained a SS of 80, which is in the low average range. IMPACT OF DISABILITY: Eli's eligibility of Specific Learning Disability (SLD) may impact him to write mulit paragraph which impacts his ability to be involved and progress in the general education written curriculum. Performance Area: Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results:	Needs: He was able to write 16 sentences quickly, however only 8 were written legibly to be scored. Eli wrote sentences with remarkable ease; however, they were difficult to decode by the reader and himself. His SS was 83, which is in the low average range on the Sentence Writing Fluency Subtest. The sentences Eli produced on The Writing Samples subtest were inadequate. It was difficult to decode Eli's penmanship. Eli can verbally provide the answers for each writing prompt however unable to provide the answers on print. He attained a SS of 80, which is in the low average range. IMPACT OF DISABILITY: Eli's eligibility of Specific Learning Disability (SLD) may impact him to write mulit paragraph which impacts his ability to be involved and progress in the general education written curriculum. Performance Area: Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results:	Needs: He was able to write 16 sentences quickly, however only 8 were written legibly to be scored. Eli wrote sentences with remarkable ease; however, they were difficult to decode by the reader and himself. His SS was 83, which is in the low average range on the Sentence Writing Fluency Subtest. The sentences Eli produced on The Writing Samples subtest were inadequate. It was difficult to decode Eli's penmanship. Eli can verbally provide the answers for each writing prompt however unable to provide the answers on print. He attained a SS of 80, which is in the low average range. IMPACT OF DISABILITY: Eli's eligibility of Specific Learning Disability (SLD) may impact him to write mulit paragraph which impacts his ability to be involved and progress in the general education written curriculum. Performance Area: Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results:	BROAD WRITTEN LAN Spelling 107 (103-111) Av Writing Samples 80 (76-8: Sentence Writing Fluency Broad Written Language is speed of writing. Eli can pr that are sensical. Overall, E Strengths: He can spell mu he is unfamiliar with a wor entousastic/enthusiastic, ca	GUAGE 89 /erage 5) Low Avera 83 (77-90) L s a broad-bas rint fluently. J Eli's Broad W ultisyllabic w d, he uses ph	(87-92)] age Low Aver sed meas His penn Vritten La vords eas	Low Average rage ure of Eli's wr nanship is und anguage was 8 ily and accura kills to spell oi	ritten language achievement, including spelling, the qualit decodable. Eli can verbally or in typing produce both simp 39, which is in the low average range. ately. He spelled words such as 'calorie', 'league', 'skiing', ' ut words, (allready/already, emportant/important, subscric	le and detailed sentences cocoa', and 'clothes.' When epsion/subscription,
Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results:	Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results:	Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results:	however, they were difficul Fluency Subtest. The sentences Eli produce provide the answers for eac range. IMPACT OF DISABILITY	lt to decode l d on The Wr ch writing pr Y: Eli's eligit	by the re- riting Sar ompt hor bility of S	ader and hims nples subtest v wever unable t Specific Learn	self. His SS was 83, which is in the low average range on t were inadequate. It was difficult to decode Eli's penmansh to provide the answers on print. He attained a SS of 80, w ning Disability (SLD) may impact him to write mulit parag	the Sentence Writing ip. Eli can verbally hich is in the low average
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results:	Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results:	Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results:	Performance Area:					
Used: State/District Assessment Results:	Used: State/District Assessment Results:	Used: State/District Assessment Results:	Category:				►	
State/District Assessment Results:	State/District Assessment Results:	State/District Assessment Results:		cess				
				14				
Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance):	Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance):	Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance):				1 . 1		
								· · ·

Los Angeles Unified Scho Student CHEN Last If applicable, areas discussed Specific Learning Disability elevated concerns with Anx For Initial IEP, interventions private OT works with a lea with a tutor afterschool to a given movement breaks offe writing. Eligible as a student with the Code: SLD Not Applica Additional Low Incidence El Code: Not Applica O Does not meet eligibility or No Longer Eligible for Sp No Longer Eligible (Effec Date): This is a Final IEP, the stu Final IEP Reason: The IEP Team has consider Social Maladjustment Lack of instruction in	ELI First d related to disability y (SLD), Other Heat tiety and Depression attempted prior to urning specialist inco ddress challenges w en, as he frequently e disability of: Special able, OBlin ligibility (only for Simple, OBlin able, OBlin
Last If applicable, areas discussed Specific Learning Disability elevated concerns with Anx For Initial IEP, interventions private OT works with a lea with a tutor afterschool to aa given movement breaks ofte writing. Eligible as a student with the Code: SLD ONot Applica Additional Low Incidence El Code: ONot Applica Additional Low Incidence El Code: ONot Applica O Does not meet eligibility or O No Longer Eligible for Sp No Longer Eligible (Effec Date): This is a Final IEP, the st Final IEP Reason: The IEP Team has consider Social Maladjustment	First d related to disability (SLD), Other Hea tiety and Depressio attempted prior to arning specialist inc ddress challenges v en, as he frequently e disability of: Speci able, OBlin ligibility (only for able, OBlin
Specific Learning Disability elevated concerns with Anx For Initial IEP, interventions private OT works with a lead with a tutor afterschool to ad given movement breaks offer writing. Eligible as a student with the Code: SLD Not Applica Additional Low Incidence El Code: Not Applica O Does not meet eligibility or No Longer Eligible for Sp No Longer Eligible (Effective) Date): This is a Final IEP, the str Final IEP Reason: The IEP Team has consider Social Maladjustment	y (SLD), Other Hea itety and Depression attempted prior to arning specialist inc ddress challenges v en, as he frequently e disability of: Speci able, OBlin ligibility (only for ' able, OBlin
private OT works with a lead with a tutor afterschool to ad given movement breaks offer writing. Eligible as a student with the Code: SLD Not Applica Additional Low Incidence El Code: Not Applica O Does not meet eligibility or No Longer Eligible for SP No Longer Eligible (Effect Date): This is a Final IEP, the str Final IEP Reason: The IEP Team has consider Social Maladjustment	arning specialist ind ddress challenges v en, as he frequently e disability of: Speci able, OBlin ligibility (only for able, OBlin
private OT works with a lead with a tutor afterschool to ad given movement breaks offer writing. Eligible as a student with the Code: SLD Not Applica Additional Low Incidence El Code: Not Applica O Does not meet eligibility or No Longer Eligible for Sp No Longer Eligible (Effect Date): This is a Final IEP, the str Final IEP Reason: The IEP Team has consider Social Maladjustment	arning specialist ind ddress challenges v en, as he frequently e disability of: Speci able, OBlin ligibility (only for able, OBlin
Code: SLD Not Applica Additional Low Incidence El Code: Not Applica O Does not meet eligibility or No Longer Eligible for Sp No Longer Eligible (Effec Date): This is a Final IEP, the sto Final IEP Reason: The IEP Team has consider Social Maladjustment	speci ble, OBlin ligibility (only for ble, OBlin
 Not Applica Additional Low Incidence El Code: Not Applica Does not meet eligibility or No Longer Eligible for Sp No Longer Eligible (Effective Date): This is a Final IEP, the structure Final IEP Reason: The IEP Team has consider Social Maladjustment 	able, OBlin
Additional Low Incidence El Code: Not Applica Does not meet eligibility or No Longer Eligible for Sp No Longer Eligible (Effec Date): This is a Final IEP, the stu Final IEP Reason: The IEP Team has consider Social Maladjustment	ligibility (only for)
Code: Not Applica Does not meet eligibility or No Longer Eligible for Sp No Longer Eligible (Effec- Date): This is a Final IEP, the str Final IEP Reason: The IEP Team has consider Social Maladjustment	able, OBlin
 Does not meet eligibility or No Longer Eligible for Sp No Longer Eligible (Effective Date): This is a Final IEP, the str Final IEP Reason: The IEP Team has consider Social Maladjustment 	
 Does not meet eligibility or No Longer Eligible for Sp No Longer Eligible (Effect Date): This is a Final IEP, the str Final IEP Reason: The IEP Team has consider Social Maladjustment 	
or No Longer Eligible for Sp No Longer Eligible (Effect Date): This is a Final IEP, the str Final IEP Reason: The IEP Team has consider Social Maladjustment	criteria for Special
Social Maladjustment	udent remains elig
Social Maladjustment	red and agrees the
	-

Student CHEN	ELI	DAVID	Date of Birth 13-JUN-2012	Meeting Date 14-SEP-2022
Last	First	MI		
mance Area:	Written Language O	Section G: Annual G	ting Annual Ge	oal #: 1
	inion Grouping Related Idea	÷,	Annual O	
li will type a multi-parag	graph composition stating an	opinion about a topic or tex	t, logically grouping related reasons, facts by student work samples and teacher obse	
	to be reported to parents by Progress Report or Report (Card periods.	t of Progress and Achievement from Cur	rent IEP" form(s) which
		Methods of	\square	
State Assessments		Referenced	 Criterion Referenced Work Samples 	Curriculum Based
Observation Other	Portfo	olio	Work Samples	Informal
nd/or details in an organ	or text, logically grouping r nizational structure to suppo s measured by student work ials with 60% accuracy.	rt the writer's purpose c samples and teacher	opinion about a topic or text, logically details in an organizational structure to minimal prompts as measured by stud- observations in 3 out 5 trials with 70%	o support the writer's purpose with ent work samples and teacher 6 accuracy.
te to be achieved:	January V 2023		Date to be achieved: May	▶ 2023 ► MO/YF
	IEP REPOR		CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I	EP
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED	3 SUBSTANTIAL PRC met)	OGRESS (50-99% of goal	2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of g	goal met) <i>I NO PROGRESS</i>
st Reporting Period	2nd Reporting Period	3rd Reporting Period	4th Reporting Period (Secondary	Goal Achievement
Date:	Date:	Date:	Only) Date:	
Progress Mark:	Progress Mark:	Progress Mark:	Progress Mark:	Objective 1 Met:
				\bigcirc Yes \bigcirc No
s progress sufficient to neet annual goal?	Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal?	Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal?	Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal?	Objective 2 Met:
⊖ Yes ⊖ No	○ Yes ○ No	○ Yes ○ No	○ Yes ○ No	○ Yes ○ No If "No" please explain:
f "No" please comment:	If "No" please comment:	If "No" please comment:	If "No" please comment:	
Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy	 Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not Completed 	 Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not Completed 	 Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not Completed Need to review/revise Goal Other 	

l os Angeles Unified School Di	strict	INDIVIDUALIZED	EDUCATION PROGE	RAM (IEP)	Page 12
Los Angeles Unified School Di Student CHEN EI Last		DAVID MI	Date of Birth	13-JUN-2012	Meeting Date 14-SEP-2022
sessments administered will confo		ssessments determined f	n State and District-v or each grade by the Cal School District.		Education and/or the Los Angeles Uni
Student will participate in Re	-				CAASPP Subject
Designated Supports and/or Ad	ccommodati	ons identified below ar	e applicable)		ELA and Math
Designated Supports: - Text-to-speech software en - Simplified or paraphrased t - Noise Buffers				passages)	
Accommodations: - Speech-to-Text voice recog for note-taking-preparation					lded accommodation)Can be used
Student will participate in Re Designated Supports and/or Ad					ELPAC Subject Speaking
Accommodations: - Speech-to-text voice recog embedded accommodation		s students to use their v	voice as an input devic	e to dictate response	s or give commands (non-
Student will participate in Re Designated Supports and/or Ad					ELPAC Subject Writing
Accommodations: - Speech-to-text voice recog embedded accommodation		s students to use their v	voice as an input devic	e to dictate response	s or give commands (non-
Student will participate in Re Designated Supports and/or Ad					ELPAC Subject Reading
Accommodations: - Speech-to-text voice recog embedded accommodation		s students to use their v	voice as an input devic	e to dictate response	s or give commands (non-
Student will participate in Re Designated Supports and/or Ad	0				ELPAC Subject Listening
 Accommodations: Speech-to-text voice recog embedded accommodation 		s students to use their v	voice as an input devic	e to dictate response	s or give commands (non-

		INDIVIDUALIZED EDU	JCATION PROC	GRAM (IEP)		Page 13 of 20
Los Angeles Unified Schoo Student CHEN Last	ol District ELI First	DAVID MI	Date of Birth	13-JUN-2012	Meeting Date	14-SEP-2022
	5	Section N: Procedural Safe	guards and Fo	llow-up Actions		
language.		ervices including Procedur	-		-	n his/her primary
_		tements were read aloud at			g.	
		s/her right to a written transl				
Is the parent/guardian reque	-			eferred Language:	~	
Is the parent/guardian reque	esting official tra	anslation? 🔘 Yes 🔿 No	D Select Pre	ferred Language: Hel	orew	~
Specify the Individual P entire IEP	ages to be transl	ated:				
Special Requests:						
		tudent and parent(s)/guardia e, unless the court has detern			ational decision-	making rights will
]	Pandemic Lear	<u>ning Loss Consideration o</u>	f Compensator	<u>y and/or Recoupmen</u>	<u>t Services</u>	
Compensatory Education				ent Services Consider		
 has determined: Student received all o and services required not required. Student did not receiv aids and services requ offer details are docur Student did not receiv aids and services requ compensatory educati documented by the IE 	te to the COVIE of their special ed by their IEP. Co ve all of their spec- nired by their IE mented in FAPE ve all of the spec- nired by their IE ion was warrant CP team in FAPE	2-19 pandemic. The IEP tear ducation and related aids ompensatory education is ecial education and related P. Compensatory education 2- Summary of Services. cial education and related P. However, no ed for the reasons	n progres student COVII Stude progr achie Stude facili recou servi IEP ('s learning during the D-19 pandemic. The II ent has made expected ress is in alignment with evement. No recoupted ent experienced learning ty closures caused by upment services are ne upment services to add ces offer details are in including completion upment services consist	nsidered factors school facility el EP team has dete progress toward th expectations of ent services are r ng loss as a resul the COVID-19 p cessary. The IEF lress past learnin cluded in FAPE of a service grid	that may have impacted osures as a result of the rmined: I IEP goals and/or of progress/goal ecommended. It of the school bandemic and P team discussed g loss. Recoupment Part 2, Part 4 of the , as necessary).
O Preschool Only Conside	eration (Transiti	on IEP)				
 30-Day IEP Consideration Student attends private 		rict) strict boundaries and resides	s outside of distr	ict boundaries (Eligib	ility Determinati	on Only)
	7	THIS SPACE DELIBE	RATELY LE	EFT BLANK.		

		INDIVIDUALIZED ED	UCATION PROGRAM (IEP)		Page 14 of 20
Los Angeles Unified Scho Student CHEN			Date of Birth 13-JUN-20	10 Moot	ing Date 14-SEP-2022
Last	ELI First	DAVID	Date of Birth 13-JUN-20		Ing Date 14-SEP-2022
Lasi	FIFSt				
		Section Q: Parent Pa	rticipation and Consent		
Pa	rent Participation			Parent Notificatio	n
• Parent/Student (18-21) has	participated in the	IFD meeting	Method	Whom	When
O Parent/Student (18-21) indiable to attend.	cated before the me	eeting that they would not be		Julie Ljubicic	23-AUG-2022
Parent/Student (18-21) did not a the meeting was held without the	respond to any of the Parent/Student (1	8-21) present			
O Parent/Student (18-21) did without them if they did not atte		e permission to proceed	request (Parent	t initials here ONLY	s rescheduled to this date at my if the PARENT requested that
	Doront/Stu	lant (18 21) Agrooman	the IEP meeting be reschedule t to Components of the Pro		
		() 8	I	1	
implement those portions of	the IEP to which	the parent/student (18-21)	f a proposed IEP. The District agrees so as to not delay prov		nd services.
Parent/Student (18-21) AG	-				
	GREES to all comp	onents of the proposed IEP	WITH THE SPECIFIC EXCE	PTION(S) stated be	low:
Assessment	Specify)	
Eligibility	Specify				
Instructional S	SettingSpecify				
Services	Specify				
O The Parent/Student (18-21)	DOES NOT AGE	REE with any of the compo	ients of the proposed IEP.		
			resolution as to components of	the proposed IEP	to which the parent does
			oute resolution as to the compo		
	ution processes in	the District's publication	A Parent's Guide to Special E	Education Services	(Including Procedural
Rights and Safeguards).					
		Parent Concer	ns and Comments		
Signature(s)				D (14-SEP-2022
2.1 3 .1.1.1.1 ((3)				Date	14-511-2022
O Guardian	O Stud 21 years	dent age 18-21 years age 18		Emancipated	O Foster Parent
Did the school district facilitate			ervices and results for your child?	Yes O No C	No Response
			rding the IEP process. I unders		
voluntary and can be done a				- 1	
Signature(s)				Date	14-SEP-2022
- · · 1]			Date	

PARENT INPUT SURVEY

Would you please take a moment to complete the survey below. The information you provide will help us improve the Individualized Education Program (IEP) process. Thank you in advance for your time and interest. ALL INFORMATION IS CONFIDENTIAL

DIRECTIONS: After each statement, please place an X on the line that describes your experience with your child's IEP.

А.	Regarding your child's current IEP:	Yes	No	Does Not Apply
1.	I am satisfied with the IEP meeting.			
2.	I feel that the IEP accurately reflects the decisions made at the IEP meeting.			
3.	I received notice of the IEP meeting.			
4.	I received "The IEP and You" handbook with the notice of the IEP meeting.			
5.	During the IEP process I received "A Parent's Guide to Special Education Services (Including Procedural Rights and Safeguards)" explaining my rights as a parent.			
6.	The IEP meeting was held in an appropriate setting.			
7.	I feel I was treated as an equal and important part of the IEP team.			
8.	The participants at the IEP meeting were prepared and informed.			
9.	Placements for my child, including the general education setting, were discussed and decided upon.			
10.	Related services were discussed and decided upon, if relevant.			
11.	If my child is 14 years old or older, an Individualized Transition Plan (ITP) was developed with my child's and my concerns in mind.			
12.	At the end of the IEP meeting the decisions were summarized.			
13.	If I needed an oral interpretation of the IEP team meeting an interpreter was provided.			
14.	The interpretation of the IEP team meeting enabled me to participate and make an informed decision regarding my child's IEP during the IEP meeting.			
15.	The interpreter stayed for the duration of the IEP team meeting.			
16.	If the interpreter left the IEP meeting before it was over, another staff member served as the interpreter and the interpretation was adequate.			
17.	I am aware that, if I am dissatisfied with the adequacy of the oral interpretation at the IEP team meeting, I can file a complaint with the Educational Equity Compliance Office at (213) 241-7682.			
18.	If I needed a written translation of the IEP, translation services were offered.			
19.	I am aware that, if I do not receive a copy of the translated IEP, I can contact the site administrator or call the Division of Special Education at (213) 241-6701.			
	any of the answers to items 13–16 or 18–19 was No, please discuss your concern(s) with the te administrator or call the Division of Special Education at (213) 241-6701.			
Sec.	Regarding your child's previous IEP (if relevant):			
20.	I am satisfied that my child received the services described on the previous IEP. (If your answer to this question is "No", please write concerns below.)			
		2	Addition	al Comments

Please fold alor	ng dotted lines with	the address showing Again, Thank you!	. Seal and mail. Postage i	s pre-paid.
	BUSINES	S REPLY		NO POSTAGE NECESSARY IF MAILED IN THE UNITED STATE
	T-CLASS MAIL PERM	T NO. 33798 LOS ANG BE PAID BY ADDRES	ELES CA 90051	
	LOS ANGELE PO BOX 5133	T RESOURCE NE S UNIFIED SCHO 307 S CA 90099-409	OL DISTRICT	
		II.dadh	ռԱահետեսՍահետվեն	ull
2				
PARENT INPUT SURVEY English				
UTS				
dNI				
PARENT English				
Jo D				

				convened eting Date	
Student CHEN La		/ID Date of MI	of Birth 13-JUN-2012	Meeting Date 14-SEP-2	2022
	Se	ction R: Names and Signatures ((Signatures on File)		
	Team Member	Print N	lame	Signature	
Parent/Guardian		Yahel Ran (Mother)	M	
Parent/Guardian					
Student Age 18 - 2	1 years				
Student Under Age	e 18 years				
Surrogate Parent					
Foster Parent					
Family Foster Hom	ne Provider				
Administrator		Julie Ljubicic, APE	IIS [gringer	
Administrative Des	signee				
Special Education 7	Teacher	Kelle Husk, Resour	rce Specialist	dh)~	
General Education	Teacher	Dani O'Campo		DanitConto	
School Psychologis	st	Rachel Boyd		Rachel Boyd	
School Nurse					
Related Service Sta	aff				
Related Service Sta	aff	Richard Moore		Richard Moore	
Related Service Sta					
Interpreter					
Sign Language Inte	erpreter				
Agency Representa	ative				
Agency Representa	ative				
Agency Representa	ative				
Other	Private School Representative	Cherie Friedman		and the second s	
Other					
Other					
Other					

T		INDIVIDUALIZED EDU	CATION PROC	GRAM (IEP)		Page 16 of 2			
Los Angele Student			Date of Birth	13-JUN-2012	Meeting Date	14-SEP-2022			
		LEAST RESTRICTIVE EN	WIRONM	ENT ANALYSIS	5				
		To Be Completed By the IEP <u>Student's Curren</u>							
O Genera	l Education Class/Ger	neral Education Site	O Special	Day Program/General	Education Site				
○ Special	Day Program/Special	Education Center	○ Nonpub	lic School					
O Home/I	Hospital or Residentia	l Care Facility							
		nformation below as part of the IEP tea that indicates YES. After reaching the							
restrictive s required su there is a co	etting with the use of pports, services, accor ompelling reason why the quality of services	etting should only occur if the nature of supplementary aids and services canno mmodations and modifications is not th they cannot be provided. In selecting t s that he or she needs.	t be achieved s e sole justifica he LRE, consid	satisfactorily. The lack tion for placement in a deration is given to any	of current availa more restrictive potential harm	ability of a student's e setting, unless ful effect on the			
Step A.	classroom/setting?				6				
	● Yes ○ No	If the answer is YES, then a general education classroom/setting is the appropriate placement. If the answer is NO, go to the question below.							
	🔿 Yes 🔿 No	Yes O No If not currently available, can the required supports, services, accommodations and/or modifications be made available in a general education classroom/setting? If YES, all required supports, services, accommodations and/or modifications must be provided within a reasonable timeline. If the answer is NO, please articulate why the box below. Then go to Step B.							
Step B.	Can the supports, s in a special day pro	services, accommodations and/or modif ogram?	ications in the	student's IEP be made	available on a g	eneral education site			
	○ Yes ○ No	If the answer is YES, then a special c answer is NO, go to the question belo	l day program on a general education site is the appropriate placement. If the						
	○ Yes ○ No	If not currently available, can the req available in a special day program or	equired supports, services, accommodations and/or modifications be made on a general education site? If YES, all required supports, services, ions must be provided within a reasonable timeline. If the answer is NO, pla						

	Last	1	First	MI	Birth		Meeting Date				
	Last	-	in st	MI	birtii		Date				
	Al	NNUAL	LEAST	RESTRICTI	VE ENVIRONM	ENT ANALYSIS	(Continued))			
			То	o Be Completed B	y the IEP Team at the II	EP Team Meeting					
Step C.	Can the	supports, s	ervices, ac	commodations and	d/or modifications in the	e student's IEP be made	e available in a sp	pecial school setting			
	○ Yes	🔿 No	If the answer is YES, then a special school setting is the appropriate placement. If the answer is NO, go to the question below.								
	O Yes	○ No	available modifica	in a special schoo	can the required support of setting? If YES, all re vided within a reasonabl o D.	quired supports, service	es, accommodati	ons and/or			
Step D.	Can the	supports, s	ervices, ac	commodations and	d/or modifications in the	e student's IEP be made	available in a ho	ome/hospital settin			
	() Yes	() No			a home/hospital setting the question below.	is the appropriate place	ement.				
	○ Yes	() No	available modifica	e in a home/hospita	can the required support al setting? If YES, all re vided within a reasonabl o E.	quired supports, service	es, accommodati	ons and/or			
Step E.	Can the facility?		ervices, ac	commodations and	d/or modifications in the	e student's IEP be made	available in a re	sidential care			
	○ Yes	() No		rrently available, a rudent in this settin	nrticulate in the IEP wha	t supports, accommoda	ations and/or mod	lifications are requ			
					~						

s Angeles	Unified Scho	ool District	INDIVIDUALIZEI	DEDUCATION PROC	GRAM (IEP)		
Student		ELI	DAVID	Date of	13-JUN-2012	Meeting	14-SEP-2022
	Last	First	MI	Birth		Date	
	ANN		T RESTRICTIV			G (Continued))
Step F.			cted in the contents of t this time, including (ch		ment being considered	d by the IEP team	ı, outweigh any
		Missed gene Rate at whic Lack of opp Lack of opp Amount of s Limited acco Lack of exp	access to the full range aral education instructio h student may earn creat ortunity for social inter- ortunities for age-appro- ocialization opportunities to peers in student's posure to appropriate bel- No harmful effects at this	n taught by highly qu dits for graduation action priate peer role mode ies with typical peers home community navioral models from	ls		

Los Angeles Unified Student CHEN		ELI	DA	VID	Date of Birth 13-JUN	-2012	Meeting Date 14-SEP-2022
	Last	First		MI			
				Effective With	this IEP	Fu	ture Changes Related to this IEP
		As of	Date:	14-SEP-2022			
Eligibility:					ble (SLD)		
from Page	e 4)	Final IEP	Reason		()		
		Final IEP Ej					
Curricului	n		Duic.	General Educ	ation		
lacement		Type of	School	Affiliated Cha			
		Name of		WOODLAKE			
			School	WOODLAKE	Lee		
netrustion	nal Setting		~				
iisti uctioi	iai Setting		Setting	General Educa	tion		
			ogram	GE			
		Speci	al Day tes/Wk				
		Addresses		1(Written Lan	guage)		
Additional	dditional Factors	Low Incident S	upport	None			
		Assistive Tech S	nology upport	No			
		Transpo	rtation	None			
		Extended Year/Inter		🔾 Yes (No		
		Parent Counseli Training		O Yes) No		
		ESY Transpo	rtation)	
Accommoo Modificati Supports		Instru Accommod		technology an assignments, a (scribe or oral	of standard available typing d software to complete written illow extra time or alternative answer) when completing writing bing may not be an option i.e.		
				worksheets, d different form understanding	s of modality to check instead of printing, allow oral testing, speech-to-text		
		Instru Modifie	ctional cations				
		Other Suj includin Academic and curricular Ac	g Non- Extra-				
Year Revie he second Review IE he team m and docum	P Meeting, 1ust discuss	Do the Parent a Distric educational a agree reassessi unnece	t (local gency) that a nent is	🔿 Yes 🔘	No		
ot conduction to year comparents reassessme	ct a three- rehensive	If the Parent de agree, specify the to be reas	area(s)	psychoeducati	onal, academic, OT		

Low Incidence Equipment	
Assistive Technology Equipment	
Participation in General Education	

Student CHEN	ELI	DAVID)	Date of Birth 13-JUN-2	2012	Meeting	14-SEP-2022
Last	First	st MI				Date	
				Effective With This	s IEP		nges Related To nis IEP
Servic	e 1		Start Date:	Effective on Signature 14-SEP-2022	e Date		
RSF			End Date:				
RSF)	Servic	ce applies to:	Regular			
			Frequency:	1-5			
This service ad following			Interval:	Weekly			
1(Written La	anguage)	Minı	utes/Interval:	60			
		Minutes/Interval (I	(Pullout from Gen Ed):	0			
		Service Delivery Model:		RSP: Collaborative Te and Planning*			
	_		RSP Area:		Literacy/E	LA/ELD	
	_	Responsib!	le Personnel:	Special Education Te	eacher		
	_			General Education Te	eacher		
	-						
	1		· ·				
				ims for reimbursement by M Special Education Services (
rt 3 - Perc	entage of	Time Outside	e of Gen	eral Education			
			Effective	With this IEP	Future C	Changes Related	l to this IEP
of Time per Week	< outside of Gener	ral Education	0				
art 4 - Con	pensatory	/ Education/F	Recoupm	nent Services Di	iscussi	on	
	1						

The purpose of this initial IEP was to discuss the results of Eli's assessments and determine if he is eligible for special education services. The parent agrees that FAPE has been offered and will be implemented upon enrollment in a public school, but decline the offer of FAPE and choose to place their child in a private school.

LAUSD Private School Policy was reviewed with the parent and an Individual Services Plan (ISP) will be developed upon completion of the IEP meeting. A student with the eligibility of SLD is eligible to receive 8 sessions of no more than 60 minutes of Consultative Services within a 12-month period, excluding holidays and vacations during work hours of the District provider. Consultative services may include: learning or teaching strategies, accommodations, student observations, teacher and/or parent conferences, review of the IEP with private school staff, professional development, planning/research, and IEP/ISP Meetings.

All students with special education eligibility, who attend private schools within LAUSD boundaries, will receive an annual Individual Services Plan (ISP). Additionally, the private school will be offer professional development opportunities to address student's needs. The District will provide notice of opportunities but will not be responsible for any costs incurred for the attendance of the private school staff.

		INDIVIDITAL IZEL	DEDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP	2)	Page 19 o
Los An	geles Unified Sch		DEDUCATION I ROGRAM (IEI)	(SLD, pg. 1 of 1)
Student CHEN	ELI	DAVID	Date of Birth 13-JUN-20	12 N	Meeting Date 14-SEP-2022
Last	First	MI			
ited to students with ch s the consensus of the II is form serves as the wr	ree Year Reviews a aracteristics of dysl EP Team that the st itten report of the I	nd comprehensive asses lexia. This form is not re udent meets the eligibili EP Team consensus.	NG DISABILITIES CERTIFICA sements for students eligible as having a equired at Annual Review meetings. ty criteria for Specific Learning Disabi we considered by the IEP Team? O Yee	a Specific Learn lity based upon	
les, describe		shallons which should c			
t this time, the team is	unaware of any r	nedical conditions tha	t would impact Eli's educational per	formance.	
A severe discrepancy ex	ists in one or more	of the following acaden	nic areas: (Check all that apply)		
Listening Comprel	nension	Basic Reading Skills Math Calculation	Oral Expression Math Reasoning		Reading Comprehension Reading Fluency
The discrepancy is the r	esult of a disorder i	n one or more of the fol Visual Processing on, conceptualization an	lowing psychological processes: (Check		Sensory Motor Skills
_		t primarily the result of:			
Limited school exp	perience	Poor scho	ool attendance	Environmer disadvantage	tal, economic or cultural
Social maladjustm			ally Disabled		ing or motor impairment

			Г	NDIVIDUALIZE	D EDUC	ATION PROG	RAM (IEP	')		Page	
Los Angel	les Unifie	d Scho	ol District			IEP FAPE P		·	rvices		
Student CHEN H		ELI	DAVID	Date of Birth 13-JUN-2012			12 Meeting Date 14-SEP-2022				
	Last		First	MI							
				FA	APE Sum	mary Grid					
Program:			GE			Setting:		General Education			
Eligibility:			Eligible (SLD))	Curriculum:		General Education				
Transportation:			None		Low Incident Support:		None				
	trict Rece			14-Sep-2022							
Service Code	Service Desc		Start Date	Service Applies To	Interva	ll Frequency	Area		Total Minutes	Addresses Goal(s)	
RSP	RSP	Effective on Signature Date		ure Regular	Weekly	y 1-5	RSP- Literacy/ELA/ELD		60	Written Language	

Alternative Remote/Distance Learning Services During Emergency Conditions

If instruction or services, or both, cannot be provided to the pupil either at the school or in person for more than 10 school days due to emergency conditions caused by fire, flood, impassable roads, epidemic, earthquake, imminent major safety hazard as determined by local law enforcement, a transportation services strike by nonschool entity, or other official order issued to meet a state of emergency or war, the IEP will be provided by one or more of the means stated below, to the greatest extent possible in light of the emergency circumstances and District policy.

Means of Delivery, to greatest extent possible ("x" all that could apply for student, depending on emergency circumstances):

	Teacher-posted lessons, asynchronous (online or other media)	Virtual class meetings, synchronous	Personalized learning tools (virtual or paper packets, as available)	Scheduled teacher appointments (virtual or in- person, as available)	Scheduled email check-ins (parent or student)	Virtual office hours (drop-in; parent or student)
Specialized Academic Instruction and Related Services						
Supplementary Aids and Services (provided in general education classes and other general ed environments)						

As soon as practicable following the determination that instruction or services, or both, cannot be provided either at the school or in person for more than 10 days due to a qualifying state of emergency, the parent will be notified as to the specific means by which the student's IEP will be provided, in light of the emergency circumstances present at that time. The IEP will be provided by alternative means as necessitated during the period of emergency conditions, only.

Comments above do not constitute a change to the District's offer of FAPE or IEP. Because the nature of any future emergency cannot be known in advance, the specific means by which the IEP shall be provided in a future emergency will be determined at the time, in light of the circumstances.

Sy clicking this box the IEP team has reviewed the FAPE Summary Page to ensure that it reflects the IEP Team decisions.