Dear Ms. Ganany-Dagan,

We have completed our review of your manuscript: "A good (inclusive) enough community: A case study of Ahada".
Your study is interesting and addresses a critical issue in the literature.  In spite of these considerable strengths, however, there are some major concerns that have led to our decision against publication.  Below, please find the comments for your review.  There are several excellent suggestions that are worthy of your careful consideration.

Although I am unable to accept your manuscript for publication, I appreciate your using the Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders for your interesting and potentially useful ideas.  I understand that editorial decisions of this kind can be disappointing; I hope that our reviews will be helpful as you continue to pursue these important issues. I wish you the very best in your research and hope to see your papers in the future. You are kindly requested to also check the website for possible reviewer attachments.

With kind regards,

Benjamin E. Yerys
Associate Editor
Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders

COMMENTS TO THE AUTHOR:

Reviewer #1: A good (inclusive) enough community: A case study of Ahada
Manuscript #: JADD-D-23-00380\_R2

Style of writing and APA format: Be sure you introduce all acronyms in the manuscript (e.g., IDD), even if they are "common" to your expected audience.  Some spelling and grammar errors are present and a very good edit is needed (e.g., p.3 line 33;p. 4 line 24). APA is off in MANY places throughout including heading, spacing, indentations, in text citations, use of first person, and references. To be candid, I had a hard time getting through the article with all of the distractions.

Introduction: The introduction is organized and provides some helpful background into the kibbutzim community. Interestingly, the introduction is quite lengthy, and yet doesn't always provide citations for components where citations would be helpful (e.g., capabilities of individuals with mild IDD on p. 4; research on kubbutzim or communities referenced? Any research on Ahada or members previously?). Please move the research questions to the end of the intro. Also a clear rational has not been outlined even though it is hinted at in the introduction.

Method:
Where did the semi-structured interview questions come from? Participants need more descriptions; methodology is limited;

Results:
 "Case study" analysis is unclear
The themes aren't themes, rather the answers to the two research questions. An actually thematic analysis could have been helpful.

Discussion: Some analysis of findings was starting to look like a thematic analysis in the discussion. Some of the discussion was still a review of the interviews.

Overall Impressions:  Appreciate that this is an important and unique topic; however it is not ready in its current stage.

\_\_
There is additional documentation related to this decision letter. To access the file(s), please click the link below. You may also login to the system and click the 'View Attachments' link in the Action column.
<https://www.editorialmanager.com/jadd/l.asp?i=734293&l=FM43GUA6>

The Editorial Manager is at: <https://www.editorialmanager.com/jadd/>
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Message from Springer Nature, publisher of Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders:

While we are sorry we cannot publish your work in Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders,
our colleagues at the Springer Nature Transfer Desk would be delighted to help you find the right journal for your submission. This is a free service that provides authors with a range of journal suggestions tailored for each article. Please watch out for an email from the Transfer Desk with more information within the next 48 hours.
---
---
This letter contains confidential information, is for your own use, and should not be forwarded to third parties.

Recipients of this email are registered users within the Editorial Manager database for this journal. We will keep your information on file to use in the process of submitting, evaluating and publishing a manuscript. For more information on how we use your personal details please see our privacy policy at <https://www.springernature.com/production-privacy-policy>. If you no longer wish to receive messages from this journal or you have questions regarding database management, please contact the Publication Office at the link below.
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|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | ~~תשובההעברה~~~~אי אפשר להגיב להודעות עם כתובת לתשובה~~ |

~~Based on the reviewers' feedback, here are the areas for improvement in your manuscript, "A good (inclusive) enough community: A case study of Ahada":~~

~~1. \*\*Writing Style and APA Format:\*\*~~

 ~~- Introduce all acronyms clearly, even those that may seem common.~~

 ~~- Conduct a thorough edit for spelling and grammar errors (e.g., p.3 line 33; p.4 line 24).~~

 ~~- Adhere to APA guidelines more rigorously, including headings, spacing, indentations, in-text citations, use of first person, and references.~~

~~2.~~ ~~\*\*Introduction:\*\*~~

 ~~- Ensure citations are provided where necessary, such as for claims about capabilities of individuals with mild IDD and research on kibbutzim or communities.~~

 ~~- Reposition research questions to the end of the introduction.~~

 ~~- Develop a clear rationale for the study, expanding on hints provided in the introduction.~~

~~3.~~ ~~\*\*Methodology:\*\*~~

 ~~- Clarify the origin of the semi-structured interview questions.~~

 ~~- Provide more detailed descriptions of the participants.~~

 ~~- Enhance the methodology section to offer a more comprehensive view of the research approach.~~

~~4.~~ ~~\*\*Results:\*\*~~

 ~~- Clarify the "case study" analysis approach.~~

 ~~- Redefine the themes presented in the results section; they currently appear to be direct answers to research questions rather than thematic analyses.~~

~~5~~~~. \*\*Discussion:\*\*~~

 ~~- Implement a proper thematic analysis of the findings in the discussion.~~

 ~~- Differentiate between review of the interviews and analysis of findings.~~

~~6.~~ ~~\*\*Overall Structure and Content:\*\*~~

 ~~- Appreciate the unique and important topic of the paper but improve its readiness for publication.~~

 ~~- Refine the paper's structure and content to ensure it effectively communicates the research findings and their implications.~~

~~7. \*\*General Suggestions:\*\*~~

 ~~- Consider seeking professional editing services to address issues with APA formatting and writing style.~~

 ~~- Utilize the feedback to make substantive changes to the manuscript before resubmitting it to another journal.~~

~~By addressing these areas, you can enhance the clarity, coherence, and academic rigor of your manuscript, making it more suitable for publication in a scholarly journal.~~

~~בהתבסס על המשוב של הסוקרים, הנה התחומים לשיפור בכתב היד שלך, "קהילה טובה (כוללת) מספיק: מחקר מקרה של אהדה":~~

~~1. \*\*סגנון כתיבה ופורמט APA:\*\*~~

 ~~- הצג את כל ראשי התיבות בבירור, גם אלה שנראים נפוצים.~~

 ~~- ערוך עריכה יסודית לשגיאות כתיב ודקדוק (למשל, עמ' 3 שורה 33; עמ' 4 שורה 24).~~

 ~~- הקפידו על הנחיות APA בקפדנות רבה יותר, כולל כותרות, מרווחים, הזחות, ציטוטים בטקסט, שימוש בגוף ראשון והפניות.~~

~~2. \*\*מבוא:\*\*~~

 ~~- וודאו כי יימסרו ציטוטים במידת הצורך, כגון טענות לגבי יכולות של אנשים עם IDD קל ומחקר על קיבוצים או קהילות.~~

 ~~- מיקום מחדש את שאלות המחקר לסוף המבוא.~~

 ~~- פתח רציונל ברור למחקר, תוך הרחבת הרמזים שניתנו במבוא.~~

~~3. מתודולוגיה:\*\*~~

 ~~- להבהיר את מקורן של שאלות הראיון המובנות למחצה.~~

 ~~- ספק תיאורים מפורטים יותר של המשתתפים.~~

 ~~- שפר את פרק המתודולוגיה כדי להציע מבט מקיף יותר על גישת המחקר.~~

~~4. \*\*תוצאות:\*\*~~

 ~~- להבהיר את גישת הניתוח של "מקרה מחקר".~~

 ~~- להגדיר מחדש את הנושאים המוצגים בסעיף התוצאות; כרגע נראה שהם תשובות ישירות לשאלות מחקר ולא ניתוחים נושאיים.~~

~~5. \*\*דיון:\*\*~~

 ~~- ליישם ניתוח נושאי נכון של הממצאים בדיון.~~

 ~~- להבדיל בין סקירת הראיונות לניתוח ממצאים.~~

~~6. \*\*מבנה ותוכן כוללים:\*\*~~

 ~~- להעריך את הנושא הייחודי והחשוב של העיתון אך לשפר את מוכנותו לפרסום.~~

 ~~- חידוד המבנה והתוכן של המאמר כדי להבטיח שהוא מעביר בצורה יעילה את ממצאי המחקר והשלכותיהם.~~

~~7. \*\*הצעות כלליות:\*\*~~

 ~~- שקול לחפש שירותי עריכה מקצועיים כדי לטפל בבעיות בעיצוב וסגנון הכתיבה של APA.~~

 ~~- השתמש במשוב כדי לבצע שינויים מהותיים בכתב היד לפני שליחתו מחדש לכתב עת אחר.~~

~~על ידי התייחסות לתחומים אלה, אתה יכול לשפר את הבהירות, הקוהרנטיות והקפדנות האקדמית של כתב היד שלך, מה שהופך אותו למתאים יותר לפרסום בכתב עת אקדמי.~~