			INDIVIDUALIZED ED	UCATION PROGRAM (IE	P)	Page 1 of 2
Los Angeles Unified Student Identification	/	200361X271	SSID		,	Eligible (SLD)
Number						
Student BITON Last		IORAI First	MI		Date of Birth:	22-OCT-2013
			Section A: Me	eeting Information		
	Pertino	ent Dates			Type of Me	eeting
Date of Initial IEP Team	Meeting	11-JAN-2024	1	Initial		Amendment of IEP dated
Date of Present Meeting		11-JAN-2024	4			
Annual Review to be co	nducted by	05-DEC-202	4	Annual Review		Early Start Transition
Next Three Year Review conducted by	will be	04-DEC-202	6	Three Year Review	_	Expulsion Analysis
Three Year Review or E was conducted on	valuation	11-JAN-2024	1	Other) Individual Transition Plan
Transition to Kindergart conducted by	en to be					
Location of Meeting	M	ONLUX EL		District Name	Los Angeles	Unified School Distri
			Section B: Stu	ident Information		
Date of Birth	22-OCT-201		Age	10	Grade	4
Gender	Male O	Female	Ethnic Code			
Location of the Psych Folder	SP ED SVC	CTR - NE	Student has no Psych Folder			
Location of the Cum Folder	PRIVATE SO	CHOOL OF	Student has no Cum Folder			
Home Language	English		Student Language	English	Alternate Mod Communicatio	(
Home Address of Student	6349 BABC	OCK AVE				
City	NORTH HO	LLYW(CA	ZIP Code	91331		
Home Telephone	818-292-442	26	Daytime Telephone		Emergency Te	lephone
School of Attendance	Private Scho	ool Office (1	Location Code	1536		
School of Residence	Monlux El		Location Code	5342		
Name of Parent/Guardian	Nataly Marg	i Biton	Telephone			
Address						
City		CA	ZIP Code			
Surogate Parent			Telephone			
Attends CURRENT SC of the following	CHOOL as a res	sult of one	Private School Enrollmen	nt 🔻		
Private School:	0.1					
Legacy Academy Sherm		<u></u>	O			
Is the student living in a Home (FFH)?			o O Yes	FFH#		
Is FFH Provider related	to student?		o O Yes	Relationship		
Licensed Children's Inst	itution	O N	o O Yes	LCI Name LCI#		
Out of the home placeme	-	\bigcirc s	egional Center uperior Court	Operatment of Mental Other	Health O	Department of Children's Services
Child's family living wit boundaries?	hin LAUSD's	Οn	o Yes			
If the student is 18 years	old or older or	is an emancip	ated minor, does he/she have	educational decision-making	g rights?	○ No ○ Yes

		INDIVI	DUALIZ	ZED EDUC	ATION PROGRAM (IEP)		Page 2 of 26
	Unified School District						
Student	BITON NEHORAI Last First	М			Date of Birth 22-OCT-	2013	
	Last First	MI	Section	n C: Langı	age Acquisition		
Language Clas	ssification:					Start Date:	
Withdrawal by	Parent Request:		O ye	es O No		Reclassification Date:	
ELPAC Perfor	mance Level and Performance Descripto	r:			~	Test Date:	
	AC Performance Level and Performance				v	Test Date:	
•		Sec	tion D:	Goal Achiev	rement from Current IEP		
			Achie	eved			
Goal for: (ex	ample - Reading)	Y	es	No	If No, explain the reason t	he goal/objective was not achiev	ed
1				0			
Category	·						
	Objective 1 met			0			
	Objective 2 met)	0			
2)	0			
Category	·						
8 1	Objective 1 met			0			
	Objective 2 met)	0			
3)	0			
Category	·)	0			
outegor,	Objective 1 met)	0			
	Objective 2 met)				
4	Objective 2 met)	0			
Category	<u> </u>)	0			
Category		_					
	Objective 1 met		2	0			
5	Objective 2 met)	0			
)	0			
Category	V Cold of the cold						
	Objective 1 met)	0			
	Objective 2 met)	0			
6)	0			
Category	~		_				
	Objective 1 met)	0			
	Objective 2 met)	0			
7			\supset	\circ			
Category	·						
	Objective 1 met)	0			
	Objective 2 met)	0			
8			\supset	\circ			
Category	~						
	Objective 1 met		\supset	\circ			
	Objective 2 met		\supset	\circ			
9				0			
Category	·						
	Objective 1 met	(\supset	0			
	Objective 2 met)	Ö			
10)	Ö			
Category	<u> </u>						
	Objective 1 met	_	\supset	0			
	Objective 2 met)	0			

INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP) Los Angeles Unified School District Student BITON **NEHORAI** Date of Birth 22-OCT-2013 Meeting Date 11-JAN-2024 First ΜI **Section E: Present Level of Performance** Performance Area: Reading Reading Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: Woodcock Johnson IV Tests of Achievement State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Letter-Word Identification SS 83 Passage Comprehension SS 78 Sentence Reading Fluency SS 89 Strengths: Nehorai was able to read all of the CV, CVC, CCVC, and CVCC words on the Letter-Word Identification subtest. He was also able to read most of the two syllable words and several three syllable words as well. On the Passage Comprehension subtest he was able to read short sentences with missing words and verbally state what words would best complete the sentences to demonstrate comprehension. He was able to demonstrate comprehension of grade level text on a few occasions. On the Sentence Reading Fluency subtest he was able to read 37 short sentences in 3 minutes and demonstrate basic comprehension, by answering yes or no correctly, 37 times with no errors. Areas of Need: Nehorai had a difficult time reading words that were greater than two syllables. He was also highly inconsistent on the Passage Comprehension subtest. On occasion he could demonstrate understanding of grade level text, but he would also demonstrate a lack of comprehension of much easier passages. It also took him quite a long time to read for understanding often needing to reread sentences several times. Impact: Nehorai's specific learning disability impairs his ability to comprehend what he is reading and read with grade level fluency, which impacts his participation and progress in the general education curriculum. Performance Area: Written Language Writing Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: Woodcock Johnson IV Tests of Achievement State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Spelling SS 86 Writing Samples SS 83 Sentence Writing Fluency SS 89 Strengths: Nehorai was able to spell all of the CV, CVC, CCVC, and CVCC words on the spelling subtest. He was able to spell a few two syllable words, but generally spelled phonetically with errors. On the Writing Samples subtest he was able to complete simple sentences with missing words, and a picture. He was also able to look at a picture prompt and write simple and some compound sentences independently with some writing convention errors. On the Sentence Writing Fluency Subtest he was able to look at pictures, accompanied with three words, and given five minutes he was able to write 14 sentences altogether. Areas of Need: Nehorai had a difficult time with writing overall. He had trouble spelling words that were two syllables or greater and he had a hard time forming his letters. He appeared frustrated with the physical aspect of writing, often erasing and rewriting his work multiple times. He became tired quickly

and his letters became larger and choppier. He also had difficulty keeping his letters on a line. Nehorai had trouble with writing conventions often making both spelling and grammatical errors. He did not use end punctuation on either writing subtest and he kept his writing fairly simple.

Impact: Nehorai's specific learning disability impairs his ability to write at grade level, which impacts his participation and progress in the general

Impact: Nehoral's specific learning disability impairs his ability to write at grade level, which impacts his participation and progress in the general education curriculum.

Page 4 of 26 INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP) Los Angeles Unified School District Student BITON NEHORAI Date of Birth 22-OCT-2013 Meeting Date 11-JAN-2024 First ΜI **Section E: Present Level of Performance** Performance Area: Mathematics Math Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: Woodcock Johnson IV Tests of Achievement State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Calculation SS 73 Applied Problems SS 95 Math Facts Fluency SS 96 Strengths: Nehorai was able to add and subtract single digit numbers on the calculation subtest. He was able to attempt adding digits to the thousands and subtracting digits to the hundreds. He was able to multiply single digit numbers and on one occasion solve a 2 digit by 1 digit multiplication problem without regrouping. He actually did better on the applied problems subtest than the calculation subtest. He was able to solve word problems, which were read to him, involving operations of addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division. He was able to solve most of the problems in his head. He could read analog time by at least the hour, and he demonstrated fairly good knowledge of coin value. On the Math Facts Fluency subtest he was able to solve 60 single digit addition, subtraction, or multiplication problems in 3 minutes with 6 errors. Areas of Need: Nehorai was unable to regroup on the calculation subtest. He could not add with regrouping, borrow when needed when subtracting, or regroup when multiplying. He did not attempt to do any division problems or any problems involving fractions or decimals. On the Applied Problems subtest he did well, but he was highly inconsistent, at times struggling with simple problems but accurately solving much more complex problems later on. He also tended to use the wrong operation during the fluency portion of the assessment. Impact: Nehorai's specific learning disability impairs his ability work at grade level in mathematics, which impacts his participation and progress in the general education curriculum. Performance Area: Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance):

Page 5 of 26 INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP) Los Angeles Unified School District Student BITON NEHORAI Date of Birth 22-OCT-2013 Meeting Date 11-JAN-2024 First ΜI **Section E: Present Level of Performance** Performance Area: Articulation Articulation/Phonological Processes Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: Formal and Informal assessment, Observation State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Nehorai is 10yrs 1 month old student studying in 4th grade at Legacy academy in a general education setting. This is an initial assessment to check for his eligibility for LAS services. Parents reported that he had articulation issues and had received speech therapy for the same. Strengths: Nehorai is friendly student uses verbal method as primary mode of communication. He uses language for all pragmatic behaviors like, requesting, protesting and narrating. His sentences consists of all parts of speech like nouns, verbs, adjectives... He uses simple and complex sentences in his regular conversation without any prompts. He produces most of age appropriate sounds and his speech is more than 90% intelligible for unfamiliar listener. Needs: Though speech intelligibility of Nehorai is more than 90% He showed irregular articulation error for /t/ and /d/ sounds during GFTA -3. Impact of Disability: Nehorai's speech and language skills doesn't interfering with his access to general education curriculum or peer or adult interaction. Madhu Karinaika M Sc-SLP Speech Language Pathologist - LAUSD/2023 Performance Area: V Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance):

Los Angeles Unified School Dis	trict	INDIVIDUALIZE	D EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)		Page 6
	IEHORAI		Date of Birth 22-OCT-201	Meetin	ng Date 11-JAN-2024
Last	First	MI Section F: P	resent Level of Performance		
Performance Area:	Health As		resent Eevel of Feriormance		
Category:	Health		•		
Assessment/Monitoring Process Use	ed: Parent Int	erview			
tate/District Assessment Results:					
Current Performance/Assessment St	ımmary (include s	tudent strengths, stud	ent needs and impact of disability on stude	ent performance):	
	nt with his activitie and wants effective	s of daily living such ely.	ision screening on 11/16/2023 without co as feeding, toileting, grooming and ambu		
•			tion, performance, and access to the educa	ational program.	
ACCOMMODATIONS/MODIFIC			71		
Helen Ueyama, RN, BSN Credentialed School Nurse 12/1/2023					
Performance Area:					
Category:			•		
Assessment/Monitoring Process Use	ed:				
State/District Assessment Results:					

Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance):

INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP) Los Angeles Unified School District Student BITON **NEHORAI** Date of Birth 22-OCT-2013 Meeting Date | 11-JAN-2024 First ΜI **Section E: Present Level of Performance** Performance Area: Cognitive/Processing General Ability V Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: Alternative Psycho-educational State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Current Level/Strengths: Based on alternative measures of assessment Nehorai's cognitive ability is estimated to be in the average range. Nehorai demonstrates average ability to relate separate pieces of information into a group or see how parts are related to a whole. This includes spatial skills including part to whole reasoning and determining nonverbal patterns. Nehorai exhibits high average range visual perceptual skills including memory, discrimination, and spatial skills. Within the area of short-term auditory memory, Nehorai scored within the high average range on tasks involving immediate memory for numbers, words, and sentences. Challenges: Nehorai exhibits inconsistent planning skills ranging from well below average to average. Planning includes determining, selecting, applying, and evaluating solutions to problems and includes determining how a task could be solved and to modify plans or strategies to be more efficient. He exhibits inconsistent successive processing in which incoming verbal information is organized in order so that the only connections are links of one part to the next allowing a child to see how parts are sequenced. Nehorai exhibits well below average range attention processing skills. This is an area of real and relative weakness for Nehorai. He demonstrates low average range working memory skills (tasks involving evaluating and working with information remembered for a short period of time). Attention challenges likely impact skills in this area. Nehorai demonstrates inconsistent auditory processing skills with skills ranging from below average to high average. Continued below Cognitive/Processing (continued) Performance Area: General Ability Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: Alternative Psycho-educational State/District Assessment Results: N/A Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): He demonstrates an average range ability to discriminate sounds in words, average phonological blending skills and well below average range skills on tasks involving phonological manipulation/deletion. He demonstrates low average range auditory comprehension skills including processing oral directions and responding verbally to listening comprehension questions. Impact: Nehorai's Specific Learning Disability impacts his ability to be involved and progress in the general curriculum without the support of special education services. Supports: Provide Nehorai with structure for academic activities whenever feasible (e.g.: specific directions, formal routine for tasks, time units). Interact with Nehorai regularly and positively, providing individual support to begin to teach him to regulate his attention skills and increase his planning and

organization. It may be helpful to provide seating near instruction/teacher to access visual supports and reduce distractions. Provide adequate opportunities

for repetition of information through different experiences to promote attention and more consistent comprehension to lesson/task as needed.

INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP) Los Angeles Unified School District Student BITON **NEHORAI** Date of Birth 22-OCT-2013 Meeting Date 11-JAN-2024 First MI **Section E: Present Level of Performance** Motor (school psychologist) Performance Area: Motor Abilities V Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: VMI, Observations, Questionnaires State/District Assessment Results: N/A Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Current Level/Strengths: Nehorai demonstrates age-appropriate skills gross motor skills. He is able to walk up, and downstairs using alternating feet and throw and catch a ball at an age-appropriate level. Nehorai is able to run quickly, walk appropriately and kick a stationary or rolling ball with accuracy. He enjoys interactive sports activities and games such as soccer. Teacher reports that Nehorai exhibits strong gross motor skills in all areas expected including PE skills, running, throwing, and catching. In the area of fine motor skills, Nehorai is right hand dominant. Challenges: Teacher reports that penmanship is an area of concern, but his coloring is described as appropriate. On standardized measures assessing visual motor integration, Nehorai scored in the below average range. He scored in the below average range on tasks involving combining visual input with motor output (sensory motor skills). It should be noted that students with attention challenges often exhibit relative weaknesses in this area. This is an area of weakness for Nehorai. A concurrent Occupational Therapy assessment is being conducted and this information including recommendations should be Impact: Nehorai's Specific Learning Disability including sensory motor challenges impacts his ability to be involved and progress in the general curriculum without the support of special education services. Supports: Tasks involving copying in writing from either near or far point range may be shortened or broken into manageable parts. Nehorai may benefit from additional time and or shortened written tasks. Refer to OT assessment regarding sensory-motor (visual-motor integration).

Performance Area: Language (School Psychologist) Language Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: Observations, Questionnaires, Woodcock Munoz III (English)

State/District Assessment Results: N/A

Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance):

Current Level/Strengths: Nehorai lives in a household in which Hebrew and English is spoken. English is noted to be the primary language. He speaks in complete sentences and answers questions on topic. Teacher reports Nehorai fluently understands and communicates language. Nehorai is observed to speak clearly and was easily understood during direct assessment and observation. On verbal analogy reasoning tasks such as a bird flies.... A fish ... Nehorai scored in the low average range. On picture vocabulary at the one-word level, Nehorai performed in the low average range. On tasks using listening comprehension and ability to complete sentences provided using one word, Nehorai scored in the low average range. On oral language expressive tasks requiring use of directed words and picture cues, Nehorai scored in the low average range. Listening skills and expressive skills were found to be in the low average range.

Challenges: Teacher and Parent responses on the BASC indicated At Risk scores in the area of Functional Communication. Across settings, Nehorai sometimes communicates clearly, is sometimes unclear when presenting ideas or when talking about personal experiences, has trouble getting information when needed and is sometimes able to describe feelings accurately. An assessment in the area of Language and Speech by Speech/Language Pathologist was conducted. Information including recommendations should be considered.

Impact: Nehorai's Specific Learning Disability impacts his ability to be involved and progress in the general curriculum without the support of special education services.

Supports: Nehorai appears to benefit from clear, consistent, and explicitly stated instructions with repetition as needed. He may benefit from additional opportunities to clarify instructions and at times it may be helpful to encourage him to clarify as needed. Refer to LAS assessment.

Page 9 of 26 INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP) Los Angeles Unified School District Student BITON **NEHORAI** Date of Birth | 22-OCT-2013 Meeting Date 11-JAN-2024 First ΜI **Section E: Present Level of Performance** Social-Emotional Performance Area: Social Emotional Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: Observations, Interviews, Rating Scales, Record Review State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Current Level/Strengths: In his home/community, Nehorai exhibits age-appropriate activity and typically follows expected rules. He adapts well to reasonable changes in routine, maintains appropriate eye contact and enjoys age-appropriate reciprocal interaction. Nehorai enjoys age-appropriate activities and is not observed to exhibit aggression. However, he may exhibit a tendency to be easily distracted, particularly on academic (homework) tasks. Across settings, Nehorai is not observed to exhibit higher than expected activity or unusual or atypical behavior. Nehorai is described by Parent as a good friend who is sensitive and cares about others. He enjoys hands on activities, drawing and playing soccer. Nehorai reports that he has many friends in

previously attended schools and enjoys age appropriate activities. Nehorai's self-reports on The Revised Children's Manifest Anxiety Scale (self-report) did not reveal symptoms associated with anxiety more than would be expected. Additionally, his DEF score, (assesses a tendency to respond in a manner perceived to be desired by the examiner) fell in the Adequate range indicating truthful responses. Nehorai does not report stomach, aches, headaches, or fatigue. However, he self-reported that it is hard for him to get to sleep at night but clarified that he is not scared or worried but feels he has too much energy to fall asleep. Nehorai's self-reports indicate he does not (more than expected) feel nervous, worry about something bad happening, worry others do not like him, worry about what parents will say, worry about what other people think or worry about making mistakes in front of people. Nehorai selfreported that he does not fear other students will laugh at him, tell him he does things the wrong way, and reports that he is not afraid to speak up in a group. Parent responses on the Conners indicate Nehorai exhibits good relations with peers, does not exhibit oppositional/defiant or aggressive behavior, does not exhibit restless or impulsive behavior, and does not break rules more than expected. Overall, Parent responses indicate distractibility but not hyperactivity/impulsivity.

Continued below

Performance Area:	Social-Emotional (continued)
Category:	Social Emotional
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:	Observations, Interviews, Rating Scales, Record Review
State/District Assessment Results:	N/A

Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance):

Challenges: Nehorai exhibits a tendency to be worried about real or imagined problems. Teacher responses indicate more problematic social emotional functioning as observed at school compared to his home setting. At school, Nehorai is reported to argue when denied his own way, defy teachers, and lose his temper easily. Teacher reports Nehorai cries easily, appears irritable, seems lonely and states that he does not have friends. Parent reports that Nehorai struggled when provided with constructive criticism in his academic setting. Self-reports he does not like school both academics and outdoor activities available. He also noted that at times it is difficult for him to concentrate in class. He lacks interest in material and may not attend. Nehorai does not perceive good peer relations in his current school setting. Teacher reports on the Conners indicate a tendency towards impulsivity and is reported to exhibit Very Elevated oppositional defiant behavior, including denying adult requests, exhibiting poor ability to cope with frustration and resisting or avoiding academic tasks. Teacher responses indicate he struggles with peer relations, which may be due in part to enrolling a new school this school year. Teacher reports indicate Nehorai appears to respond well to positive comments but appears to exhibit low self-confidence. Variance in Parent and Teacher responses may be due in part to differing environmental expectations/response style.

Impact: Nehorai's Specific Learning Disability impacts his ability to be involved and progress in the general curriculum without the support of special education services.

Supports: Remind Nehorai of effort and improvement. When possible, Nehorai should be seated with students who demonstrate positive behaviors and strong attention or with additional space (as appropriate) to prevent distractions. Unnecessary materials should be removed from his desk. Continue home school collaboration so Nehorai may be praised or reminded of expectations across environments. The IEP team should consider DIS counseling as part of FAPE to assist with academic motivation as well as to increase his awareness of positive self traits.

Page 10 of 26

INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP) Los Angeles Unified School District Student BITON **NEHORAI** Date of Birth | 22-OCT-2013 Meeting Date 11-JAN-2024 First MI Section E: Present Level of Performance Fine motor/ Visual Motor/ Bilateral Coordination Performance Area: Motor Abilities Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: BOT-2, SP-2, SOSI-M, interviews, work samples, observation, record review State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Areas of strength: Nehorai presents with foundational neuromuscular integrity skills to participate in his educational environment. He demonstrates postural control and stability to maintain an upright posture when seated during tabletop tasks and when engaging in dynamic movements during recess. He is able to navigate the school environment without bumping into obstacles or people, and he manages uneven terrain without loss of balance. He demonstrates protective extensor reflexes, adequate range of motion, strength and endurance to participate in recess activities. Nehorai demonstrates functional gross motor movement such as running, skipping, throwing a ball, and kicking a ball with accuracy without falling. He demonstrates visual perceptual skills such as visual closure, form constancy, figure ground/ foreground discrimination. Nehorai demonstrates the ability to visually track a moving object horizontally, vertically, diagonally, and demonstrates convergence and divergence when looking at an object up close and far away. He demonstrated the ability to safely negotiate his environment, such as avoiding bumping into students and objects when running, playing, and when waiting with a group of peers. He demonstrates functional grasping patterns such as using a pincer grasp, lateral grasp, and 3-jaw chuck grasp when picking up small items. He demonstrates in-hand manipulation skills such as shifting, simple rotation, finger to palm translation, and palm to finger translation. On the BOT-2, he demonstrates the ability to copy the basic shapes of a circle, square, overlapping circles, a wavy line, triangle, diamond, and overlapping pencils. He is able to write 10/10 numbers, 18/26 uppercase letters, and 14/26 lowercase letters legibly with functional letter size and letter formation. He is able to write a dictated sentence with 59% accuracy, near-point copy a sentence with 62% accuracy, and far-point copy a sentence with 73% accuracy for letter formation, spacing, baseline adherence, and letter size. (Continued below) Performance Area: Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Areas of strength (Continued): Nehorai is independent with self-care skills including washing his hands, opening food packages, and donning/doffing his backpack. He scored in the Average range on the BOT-2 Manual Dexterity subtest, and he was rated with No Concerns on the SOSI-M on the postural control, motor planning, proprioceptive processing and assuming position/copying body position subtests. He also presents with functional proprioceptive, tactile, auditory, visual processing skills, and praxis skills to engage in classroom activities. Areas of needs: Nehorai demonstrates challenges in fine motor, visual motor, and bilateral coordination skills that affects his participate in the classroom setting. In regards to fine motor skills, Nehorai presents with decreased precision when using regular classroom scissors when cutting a circle. Although Nehorai can cut within 1/4 inch of the line of a circle, he utilizes straight cuts rather than smooth cuts, which results in choppy, jagged edged shapes. Nehorai also presents with challenges with visual motor integration skills. He is able to write a dictated sentence with 59% accuracy and near-point copy a sentence with 62% accuracy for letter formation, spacing between letters, baseline adherence, and letter size, which limits other's understanding of his written work. Furthermore, Nehorai demonstrates challenges with bilateral coordination skills that affects his ability to time the coordination of his limbs when participating in rhythmic motor tasks such as jumping jacks and reciprocal stride jumps. Challenges with bilateral coordination skills can limit Nehorai's participation in recess activities and classroom tasks. Impact of disability on academic and overall performance: Nehorai has an eligibility of TBD and demonstrates needs in the area of fine motor, visual motor, and bilateral coordination skills that impacts his ability to access his general education curriculum.

Eden Balbas, MS, OTR/L LAUSD Occupational Therapist

Los Angeles Unified School District Student BITON NELIDRAM Date of Birth 22-OCT-2013 Meeting Date 11-JAN-2024 Last First MI Section F: Eligibility If applicable, areas discussed related to disability or suspected disability. Cognitive/Processing, Academics, Motor (to include OT), Language (to include LAS), Social-Emotional For Initial ILP, interventions attempted prior to determining eligibility. Private LAS therapy for approximately 7 months while in 3rd grade. Fighile as a student with the disability of: Code: St. D Specific Learning Disability Not Applicable, Blind or Partially Sighted Additional Low Incidence Eligibility only for VI, DBL, DEA, HOH, or severe OI): Code: St. D Specific Learning Disability Not Longer Eligible for Special Education Services (Initial IEP) or Not Longer Eligible for Special Education Services (Initial IEP) Not Longer Eligible for Special Education Services (Review IEP). Not Longer Eligible for Special Education Services (Review IEP). Not Longer Eligible for Special Education Services (Review IEP). Not Longer Eligible for Special Education Services (Review IEP). Social Madalgustment Lack of instruction in reading Lack of instruction in reading Lack of instruction in reading I mitted English Proficiency Immitted English Proficiency I mitted English English English Proficiency I mitted English English English English Proficiency I mitted English English English English English Proficiency I mitted English English English English English Proficiency I mitted English E							Page 11 o
Student BITON NEHORAI MI Section F: Eligibility If applicable, areas discussed related to disability or suspected disability: Cognitive/Processing, Academies, Motor (to include OT), Language (to include LAS), Social-Emotional For Initial IEP, interventions attempted prior to determining eligibility: Private LAS therapy for approximately 7 months while in 3rd grade. Eligible as a student with the disability of: Code: SLD Specific Learning Disability Not Applicable, Blind or Partially Sighted Additional Low Incidence Eligibility (only for VI, DBL, DEA, HOH, or severe OI): Code: Not Applicable, Blind or Partially Sighted O Does not meet eligibility criteria for Special Education Services (Initial IEP). or No Longer Eligible for Special Education Services (Review IEP). No Longer Eligible (Effective Date) This is a Final IEP, the student remains eligible for Special Education Services until the Effective Date below. Final IEP Reason: In the student are not primarily due to: I component Services (In the student are not primarily due to: I component Services (In the student are not primarily due to: I component Services (In the student are not primarily due to: I component Services (In the student are not primarily due to: I component Services (In the student are not primarily due to: I component Services (In the student are not primarily due to: I component Services (In the student are not primarily due to: I component Services (In the student are not primarily due to: I component Services (In the student are not primarily due to: I component Services (In the student are not primarily due to: I component Services (In the student are not primarily due to: I component Services (In the student are not primarily due to: I component Services (In the student are not primarily due to: I component Services (In the student Services) (In the student are not primarily due to:	Los Angele	es Unified School D	District	INDIVIDUAI	LIZED EDUCATION PROGR	AM (IEP)	
Section F: Eligibility If applicable, areas discussed related to disability or suspected disability: Cognitive/Processing, Academics, Motor (to include OT), Language (to include LAS), Social-Emotional For Initial IEP, interventions attempted prior to determining eligibility: Private LAS therapy for approximately 7 months while in 3rd grade. Eligible as a student with the disability of: Code: SLD Specific Learning Disability Not Applicable, OBlind or Partially Sighted Additional Low Incidence Eligibility (only for VI, DBL, DEA, HOH, or severe OI): Code: Not Applicable, OBlind or Partially Sighted O Does not meet eligibility criteria for Special Education Services (Initial IEP). or No Longer Eligible (Effective Date: This is a Final IEP, the student remains eligible for Special Education Services until the Effective Date below. Final IEP Reason: Final IEP Reason: Final IEP Reason: Final IEP Effective Date below. The IEP Team has considered and agrees that the educational needs of the student are not primarily due to: Social Maladjustment Lack of instruction in reading				RAI	Date of Birth	22-OCT-2013	Meeting Date 11-JAN-2024
If applicable, areas discussed related to disability or suspected disability: Cognitive/Processing, Academics, Motor (to include OT), Language (to include LAS), Social-Emotional For Initial IEP, interventions attempted prior to determining eligibility: Private LAS therapy for approximately 7 months while in 3rd grade. Eligible as a student with the disability of: Code: SLD Specific Learning Disability Not Applicable, Blind or Partially Sighted Additional Low Incidence Eligibility (only for VI, DBL, DEA, HOH, or severe OI): Code: Not Applicable, Blind or Partially Sighted O Does not meet eligibility criteria for Special Education Services (Initial IEP). or No Longer Eligible (Effective Date: This is a Final IEP, the student remains eligible for Special Education Services until the Effective Date: The IEP Team has considered and agrees that the educational needs of the student are not primarily due to: **Social Maladjustment** **Lack of instruction in reading**		Last	Fir	st MI	Coation E. Elizibilita		
Cognitive/Processing, Academics, Motor (to include OT), Language (to include LAS), Social-Emotional For Initial IEP, interventions attempted prior to determining eligibility: Private LAS therapy for approximately 7 months while in 3rd grade. Eligible as a student with the disability of: Code: SLD Specific Learning Disability Not Applicable, Blind or Partially Sighted Additional Low Incidence Eligibility (only for VI, DBL, DEA, HOH, or severe OI): Code: Not Applicable, Blind or Partially Sighted Does not meet eligibility criteria for Special Education Services (Initial IEP). or No Longer Eligible (Effective Date): This is a Final IEP, the student remains eligible for Special Education Services until the Effective Date below. Final IEP Reason: Final IEP Reason: The IEP Team has considered and agrees that the educational needs of the student are not primarily due to: Lack of instruction in reading	If applicable	areas discussed relat	ted to dis	ability or suspected disabilit			
For Initial IEP, interventions attempted prior to determining eligibility: Private LAS therapy for approximately 7 months while in 3rd grade. Eligible as a student with the disability of: Code: SLD Specific Learning Disability Not Applicable, OBlind or Opartially Sighted Additional Low Incidence Eligibility (only for VI, DBL, DEA, HOH, or severe OI): Code: Not Applicable, OBlind or Opartially Sighted O Does not meet eligibility criteria for Special Education Services (Initial IEP). or No Longer Eligible for Special Education Services (Review IEP). No Longer Eligible (Effective Date): This is a Final IEP, the student remains eligible for Special Education Services until the Effective Date below. Final IEP Reason: Final IEP effective Date: The IEP Team has considered and agrees that the educational needs of the student are not primarily due to: V Temporary Physical Disability Lack of instruction in reading						ıal	
Private LAS therapy for approximately 7 months while in 3rd grade. Eligible as a student with the disability of: Code: SLD Specific Learning Disability Not Applicable, Blind or Partially Sighted Additional Low Incidence Eligibility (only for VI, DBL, DEA, HOH, or severe OI): Code: Not Applicable, Blind or Partially Sighted Does not meet eligibility criteria for Special Education Services (Initial IEP). or No Longer Eligible for Special Education Services (Review IEP). No Longer Eligible (Effective Date): This is a Final IEP, the student remains eligible for Special Education Services until the Effective Date below. Final IEP Reason: Final IEP Refective Date: The IEP Team has considered and agrees that the educational needs of the student are not primarily due to: ✓ Lack of instruction in reading							
Eligible as a student with the disability of: Code: SLD Specific Learning Disability Not Applicable, Blind or Partially Sighted Additional Low Incidence Eligibility (only for VI, DBL, DEA, HOH, or severe OI): Code: Not Applicable, Blind or Partially Sighted Does not meet eligibility criteria for Special Education Services (Initial IEP). or No Longer Eligible for Special Education Services (Review IEP). No Longer Eligible (Effective Date): This is a Final IEP, the student remains eligible for Special Education Services until the Effective Date below. Final IEP Reason: Final IEP Effective Date: The IEP Team has considered and agrees that the educational needs of the student are not primarily due to: Temporary Physical Disability Lack of instruction in reading	For Initial II	EP, interventions atten	npted pric	or to determining eligibility:			
Code: SLD Specific Learning Disability Not Applicable, Blind or Partially Sighted Additional Low Incidence Eligibility (only for VI, DBL, DEA, HOH, or severe OI): Code: Not Applicable, Blind or Partially Sighted Does not meet eligibility criteria for Special Education Services (Initial IEP). or No Longer Eligible for Special Education Services (Review IEP). No Longer Eligible (Effective Date): This is a Final IEP, the student remains eligible for Special Education Services until the Effective Date below. Final IEP Reason: Final IEP Effective Date: The IEP Team has considered and agrees that the educational needs of the student are not primarily due to: Social Maladjustment Lack of instruction in reading	Private LAS	S therapy for approxing	nately 7 i	months while in 3rd grade.			
Not Applicable,	Eligible as a	student with the disal	bility of:				
Additional Low Incidence Eligibility (only for VI, DBL, DEA, HOH, or severe OI): Code: Not Applicable, Blind or Partially Sighted Does not meet eligibility criteria for Special Education Services (Initial IEP). or No Longer Eligible for Special Education Services (Review IEP). No Longer Eligible (Effective Date): This is a Final IEP, the student remains eligible for Special Education Services until the Effective Date below. Final IEP Reason: Final IEP Effective Date: The IEP Team has considered and agrees that the educational needs of the student are not primarily due to: Social Maladjustment Temporary Physical Disability Lack of instruction in reading	Code:	SLD		Specific Learning Disabili	ty		
Additional Low Incidence Eligibility (only for VI, DBL, DEA, HOH, or severe OI): Code: Not Applicable, Blind or Partially Sighted Does not meet eligibility criteria for Special Education Services (Initial IEP). or No Longer Eligible for Special Education Services (Review IEP). No Longer Eligible (Effective Date): This is a Final IEP, the student remains eligible for Special Education Services until the Effective Date below. Final IEP Reason: Final IEP Effective Date: The IEP Team has considered and agrees that the educational needs of the student are not primarily due to: Social Maladjustment Temporary Physical Disability Lack of instruction in reading		ONot Applicable.		OBlind or	OPartially Sighted		
Code: Not Applicable, Blind or Partially Sighted Does not meet eligibility criteria for Special Education Services (Initial IEP). Or No Longer Eligible for Special Education Services (Review IEP). No Longer Eligible (Effective Date): This is a Final IEP, the student remains eligible for Special Education Services until the Effective Date below. Final IEP Reason: Final IEP Effective Date: The IEP Team has considered and agrees that the educational needs of the student are not primarily due to: Social Maladjustment Lack of instruction in reading	Additional I				· -		
O Does not meet eligibility criteria for Special Education Services (Initial IEP). or O No Longer Eligible for Special Education Services (Review IEP). No Longer Eligible (Effective Date): This is a Final IEP, the student remains eligible for Special Education Services until the Effective Date below. Final IEP Reason: Final IEP Effective Date: The IEP Team has considered and agrees that the educational needs of the student are not primarily due to: ✓ Social Maladjustment ✓ Temporary Physical Disability ✓ Lack of instruction in reading							
O Does not meet eligibility criteria for Special Education Services (Initial IEP). or O No Longer Eligible for Special Education Services (Review IEP). No Longer Eligible (Effective Date): This is a Final IEP, the student remains eligible for Special Education Services until the Effective Date below. Final IEP Reason: Final IEP Effective Date: The IEP Team has considered and agrees that the educational needs of the student are not primarily due to: ✓ Social Maladjustment ✓ Temporary Physical Disability ✓ Lack of instruction in reading		Not Applicable.		OBlind or	Partially Sighted		
or No Longer Eligible for Special Education Services (Review IEP). No Longer Eligible (Effective Date): This is a Final IEP, the student remains eligible for Special Education Services until the Effective Date below. Final IEP Reason: Final IEP Team has considered and agrees that the educational needs of the student are not primarily due to: Social Maladjustment Lack of instruction in reading	O				, 0		
Final IEP Reason: The IEP Team has considered and agrees that the educational needs of the student are not primarily due to: Social Maladjustment Temporary Physical Disability Lack of instruction in reading	No Long			on Services (Review IEF).			
✓ Social Maladjustment ✓ Temporary Physical Disability ✓ Lack of instruction in reading			remains	eligible for Special Education		_	
	The IEP Tea	am has considered a	nd agree	s that the educational need	ls of the student are not primar	ily due to:	
✓ Lack of instruction in math ✓ Limited English Proficiency ✓ Environmental, Cultural or Economic Factors	Social	al Maladjustment		✓ Temp	orary Physical Disability	✓ La	ack of instruction in reading
	✓ Lack	of instruction in math	ı	✓ Limit	ed English Proficiency	✓ Eı	nvironmental, Cultural or Economic Factors

Los Angeles Unified Sc		INDIVIDUALIZED EDUC	ATION PROGRAM	M (IEP)			
Student BITON	NEHORAI		Date of Birth 2	22-OCT-2013	M	leeting Date	11-JAN-2024
Last	First	MI Section Ct Annual C	agls and Objectiv	vac			
formance Area:	Mathematics (Section G: Annual G Category: Ma			Goal #:	3	
	two-digit numbers using strate	8 ,					
using equations, rectangula	ar arrays or area models in a sn	nall group as measured by wo	k samples in 2 out of	3 trials with 70% ac	ecuracy.		
	be reported to parents by con Report or Report Card period			ement from Current	IEP" forn	n(s) which will	be
State Assessments	Norm	Referenced	Criterion Re	eferenced		Curriculum	n Based
✓ Observation	Portfo		Work Sampl			Informal	
Other							
and the properties of oper	two-digit numbers using stra ations and illustrate and expla ays or area models in a small a	in the calculation by using	Nehorai will mul and the propertie equations, rectan	ective #2 related to tiply two two-digit it is of operations and it gular arrays or area of 2 trials with 65%	numbers u Illustrate a models in	sing strategies and explain the a small group	calculation by using
Date to be achieved:	April V 2024 IEP REPO	MO/YR RT OF PROGRESS AND A	Date to be achiev			2024	▼ MO/YR
Date to be achieved:		RT OF PROGRESS AND A				2024	▼ MO/YR
4 GOAL MET OR	IEP REPO	RT OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATI	CHIEVEMENT FI		IEP		₩ MO/YR
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED	3 SUBSTANTIAL PROC	RT OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATI GRESS (50-99% of goal	CHIEVEMENT FI ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PRO	ROM CURRENT	IEP) 1	NO PROGRESS
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period	3 SUBSTANTIAL PROC met) 2nd Reporting Period	RT OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATI GRESS (50-99% of goal 3rd Reporting Period	CHIEVEMENT FI	ROM CURRENT	IEP		NO PROGRESS
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED	3 SUBSTANTIAL PROC	RT OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATI GRESS (50-99% of goal	CHIEVEMENT FION OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PRO 4th Reporting Pe	ROM CURRENT	IEP) 1	NO PROGRESS
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date:	3 SUBSTANTIAL PROC met) 2nd Reporting Period Date:	RT OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATI GRESS (50-99% of goal 3rd Reporting Period Date:	CHIEVEMENT FION OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PRO 4th Reporting Peonly) Date:	ROM CURRENT	goal met) I	NO PROGRESS
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date:	3 SUBSTANTIAL PROC met) 2nd Reporting Period	RT OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATI GRESS (50-99% of goal 3rd Reporting Period	CHIEVEMENT FION OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PRO 4th Reporting Peonly)	ROM CURRENT	goal met) I al Achievemen	NO PROGRESS tt
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date:	3 SUBSTANTIAL PROC met) 2nd Reporting Period Date:	RT OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATI GRESS (50-99% of goal 3rd Reporting Period Date:	CHIEVEMENT FION OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PRO 4th Reporting Peonly) Date:	ROM CURRENT	Good Good Good Good Good Good Good Good	al Achievemen Objective 1 Me	NO PROGRESS it t:
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to	3 SUBSTANTIAL PROC met) 2nd Reporting Period Date:	RT OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATI GRESS (50-99% of goal 3rd Reporting Period Date:	CHIEVEMENT FI ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PRO 4th Reporting Pe Only) Date: Progress Mark:	ROM CURRENT	Go:) I al Achievemen	NO PROGRESS tt:
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal?	3 SUBSTANTIAL PROCESSION OF THE PROPERTY OF TH	RT OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATI GRESS (50-99% of goal 3rd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to	CHIEVEMENT FION OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PRO 4th Reporting Peonly) Date: Progress Mark: Is progress suffici	ROM CURRENT 1 OGRESS (1-49% of eriod (Secondary	Good Good Good Good Good Good Good Good	al Achievemen Objective 1 Mer Yes O N Objective 2 Mer Yes O N	NO PROGRESS It It: It: Ito
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No	3 SUBSTANTIAL PROCEMENT OF METERS AND STANTIAL PROCEMENT OF METERS	RT OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATI GRESS (50-99% of goal 3rd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal?	CHIEVEMENT FION OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PRO 4th Reporting Peonly) Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficited and progress of the progress of th	ROM CURRENT	Good Good Good Good Good Good Good Good	al Achievemer Objective 1 Mer Yes O N Objective 2 Mer	NO PROGRESS It It: It: Ito
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment:	IEP REPO 3 SUBSTANTIAL PROCE met) 2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment:	RT OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATI GRESS (50-99% of goal 3rd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment:	CHIEVEMENT FION OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PRO 4th Reporting Peonly) Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficited and properties of the properti	ROM CURRENT DOGRESS (1-49% of criod (Secondary ent to meet annual ent to meet annual	Good Good Good Good Good Good Good Good	al Achievemen Objective 1 Mer Yes O N Objective 2 Mer Yes O N	NO PROGRESS It It: It: Ito
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No	JEPREPO 3 SUBSTANTIAL PROCEMENT 2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No	RT OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATI GRESS (50-99% of goal 3rd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No	CHIEVEMENT FION OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PRO 4th Reporting Peonly) Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficited and properties of the properti	ROM CURRENT DOGRESS (1-49% of criod (Secondary ent to meet annual enterts)	Good Good Good Good Good Good Good Good	al Achievemen Objective 1 Mer Yes O N Objective 2 Mer Yes O N	NO PROGRESS It It: It: Ito
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy	IEP REPO 3 SUBSTANTIAL PROCEMENT 2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy	RT OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATI GRESS (50-99% of goal 3rd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy	CHIEVEMENT FION OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PRO 4th Reporting Pro Only) Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficite goal? Yes No If "No" please con Reeds More? Excess Abser	ROM CURRENT DOGRESS (1-49% of criod (Secondary ent to meet annual enterts)	Good Good Good Good Good Good Good Good	al Achievemen Objective 1 Mer Yes O N Objective 2 Mer Yes O N	NO PROGRESS It It: It: Ito
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not	IEP REPO 3 SUBSTANTIAL PROCEMENT 2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not	RT OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATI GRESS (50-99% of goal 3rd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not	CHIEVEMENT FION OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PRO 4th Reporting Pro Only) Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficite goal? Yes No If "No" please core Excess Abser Assignments Need to revie	ent to meet annual	Good Good Good Good Good Good Good Good	al Achievemen Objective 1 Mer Yes O N Objective 2 Mer Yes O N	NO PROGRESS It It: It: Ito
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy	IEP REPO 3 SUBSTANTIAL PROCEMENT 2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy	RT OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATI GRESS (50-99% of goal 3rd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy	CHIEVEMENT FION OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PRO 4th Reporting Pro Only) Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficite goal? Yes No If "No" please cor Excess Abser Assignments	ent to meet annual mment: Time nce/Tardy Not Completed	Good Good Good Good Good Good Good Good	al Achievemen Objective 1 Mer Yes O N Objective 2 Mer Yes O N	NO PROGRESS It It: It: Ito
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not Completed	IEP REPO 3 SUBSTANTIAL PROCEMENT 2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not Completed	RT OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATI GRESS (50-99% of goal 3rd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not Completed	CHIEVEMENT FION OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PRO 4th Reporting Pro Only) Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficite goal? Yes No If "No" please core Excess Abser Assignments Need to revie	ent to meet annual mment: Time nce/Tardy Not Completed	Good Good Good Good Good Good Good Good	al Achievemen Objective 1 Mer Yes O N Objective 2 Mer Yes O N	NO PROGRESS It It: It: Ito

Los Angeles Unified Scl		INDIVIDUALIZED EDUC	TATION PROGRAM (IEP)	Page 16
Student BITON	NEHORAI		Date of Birth 22-OCT-2013	Meeting Date 11-JAN-2024
Last	First	MI Section Co Annual C	Sools and Objectives	
ormance Area:	Visual Motor Integra C	Section G: Annual G	ual Motor Annual	Goal #: 5
		8 7	ility to independently near-point copy or v	
	=		ze with accommodations present (e.g. han	
	be reported to parents by cor Report or Report Card period	s.	Progress and Achievement from Current I	EP" form(s) which will be
¬		Methods of		
✓ State Assessments ✓ Observation	Norm . Portfol	Referenced	✓ Criterion Referenced✓ Work Samples	☐ Curriculum Based ✓ Informal
Other		ort and/ or OT data collection	- work bumpies	intollinei
Incremental objective #1			Incremental objective #2 related to	the goal:
	improved visual motor integra	ation skills, evidenced by		isual motor integration skills, evidenced by
	y near-point copy or write one			py or write one sentence with 70% accuracy
•	on, spacing between letters, b			ers, baseline adherence, and letters size with
	dations present (e.g. handwrit	ing checklist), in 4/5	accommodations present (e.g. handwr	iting checklist), in 4/5 opportunities.
opportunities.				
Date to be achieved:	May ∨ 2024	✓ MO/YR	Date to be achieved: Septem	ber ✔ MO/YR
Date to be achieved:			Date to be achieved: Septem	isti V
Date to be achieved:		RT OF PROGRESS AND A	1	isti V
Date to be achieved: 4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED		RT OF PROGRESS AND A	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I	EP
4 GOAL MET OR	IEP REPO	RT OF PROGRESS AND A	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I	EP
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED	3 SUBSTANTIAL PROG	RT OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATION ERESS (50-99% of goal	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of	EP goal met) I NO PROGRESS
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period	3 SUBSTANTIAL PROGmet) 2nd Reporting Period	RT OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATION OF	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of games) 4th Reporting Period (Secondary	EP goal met) I NO PROGRESS
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date:	3 SUBSTANTIAL PROG met) 2nd Reporting Period Date:	RT OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATION ERESS (50-99% of goal 3rd Reporting Period Date:	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of games) 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date:	EP goal met) I NO PROGRESS
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period	3 SUBSTANTIAL PROGmet) 2nd Reporting Period	RT OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATION OF	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of games) 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only)	EP goal met) 1 NO PROGRESS Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met:
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date:	3 SUBSTANTIAL PROG met) 2nd Reporting Period Date:	RT OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATION ERESS (50-99% of goal 3rd Reporting Period Date:	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of games) 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date:	goal met) I NO PROGRESS Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: Yes No
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date:	3 SUBSTANTIAL PROG met) 2nd Reporting Period Date:	RT OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATION ERESS (50-99% of goal 3rd Reporting Period Date:	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of games) 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date:	EP goal met) 1 NO PROGRESS Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met:
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark:	3 SUBSTANTIAL PROGramet) 2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark:	EXPLANATION OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATION OF GOAL OF GOAL OF THE PROGRESS (50-99% of goal Date: Progress Mark:	ACHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of grant	EP goal met) I NO PROGRESS Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: Yes No
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark:	3 SUBSTANTIAL PROGramet) 2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to	RT OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATION FRESS (50-99% of goal 3rd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to	ACHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of grant	Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: Yes No Objective 2 Met:
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal?	3 SUBSTANTIAL PROGramet) 2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal?	RT OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATION OF GOAL 3rd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal?	ACHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of grant	Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: Yes No Objective 2 Met: Yes No
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment:	JEP REPORT 3 SUBSTANTIAL PROGRAM met) 2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment:	RT OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATION EXPLANATI	ACHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of grant	Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: Yes No Objective 2 Met: Yes No
### AGOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time	JEP REPORT 3 SUBSTANTIAL PROGRAM met) 2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time	RT OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATION EXPLANATI	ACHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of grant	Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: Yes No Objective 2 Met: Yes No
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment:	JEP REPORT 3 SUBSTANTIAL PROGRAM met) 2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment:	RT OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATION EXPLANATI	ACHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of grant	Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: Yes No Objective 2 Met: Yes No
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not	JEP REPORT 3 SUBSTANTIAL PROGRAM met) 2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not	RT OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATION EXPLANATION EXPLANATION EXPLANATION EXPLANATION EXPLANATION EXPLANATION EXPLANATION FRESS (50-99% of goal 3rd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not	ACHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of grant	Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: Yes No Objective 2 Met: Yes No
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not Completed	JEP REPORT SUBSTANTIAL PROGRAMET STATES AND STANTIAL PROGRAMET STATES ASSIGNMENTS NOT Completed	RT OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATION EXPLANATION EXPLANATION EXPLANATION EXPLANATION EXPLANATION EXPLANATION EXPLANATION FRESS (50-99% of goal 3rd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not Completed	ACHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of grant	Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: Yes No Objective 2 Met: Yes No
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not Completed Need to	JEP REPORT The Proof met) 3 SUBSTANTIAL PROOF met) 2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not Completed Need to	RT OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATION EXPLANATION EXPLANATION EXPLANATION EXPLANATION EXESS (50-99% of goal 3rd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Progress Mark: Ves No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not Completed Need to	ACHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of grade	Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: Yes No Objective 2 Met: Yes No
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not Completed	JEP REPORT SUBSTANTIAL PROGRAMET STATES AND STANTIAL PROGRAMET STATES ASSIGNMENTS NOT Completed	RT OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATION EXPLANATION EXPLANATION EXPLANATION EXPLANATION EXPLANATION EXPLANATION EXPLANATION FRESS (50-99% of goal 3rd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not Completed	ACHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of grade	Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: Yes No Objective 2 Met: Yes No

INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)

LocAno	alac II	nifiad	School	District
LOS AUS	eies U	ninea	SCHOOL	District

s Angeles	o mineu school i	Jisti ict					
Student	BITON	NEHORAI		Date of Birth	22-OCT-2013	Meeting Date	11-JAN-2024
	Last	First	MI				

Section K: Participation in State and District-wide Assessments

Assessments administered will conform to those assessments determined for each grade by the California Department of Education and/or the Los Angeles Unified School District.

Student will participate in Regular State and District Assessments.

CAASPP Subject

(Designated Supports and/or Accommodations identified below are applicable)

ELA and Math

Designated Supports:

- Simplified or paraphrased test directions (non-embedded designated support)
- Test in a separate/smaller setting
- Text-to-speech software enabled (for math items and ELA items except for reading passages)

Accommodations:

- Multiplication table for single digits 1-9 (paper-based; not allowed for Grade 3; non-embedded accommodation)
- Text-to-Speech software enabled for ELA reading passages (embedded accommodation).
- Speech-to-Text voice recognition software enabled for ELA performance writing tasks only (non-embedded accommodation)...Can be used for note-taking-preparation for the assessment task and/or for the writing assessment task.

			INDIVIDUALIZED EDI	JCATION PROGRAM (IEP)		Page 19 of 26
Los Angele	s Unified Scho	ol District	TOTAL DEPT.	, ,		
Student	BITON	NEHORAI		Date of Birth 22-OCT-2013	Meeting	11-JAN-2024
	Last	First	MI		Date	
		S	ection N: Procedural Safe	eguards and Follow-up Actions		
🗹 A Paren	it's Guide to Sp	ecial Education Ser	vices including Procedura	l Rights & Safeguards was provided to t	he parent in his	her primary language.
The IEP	Team Meeting	Introductory Statemen	nts were read aloud at the bo	eginning of the IEP Team meeting.		
The pare	ent/guardian wa	s informed of his/her	right to a written translation	of the IEP.		
Is the paren	t/guardian requ	esting informal transla	ntion? Yes No	Select Preferred Language:	•	
Is the paren	t/guardian requ	esting official translat	ion? O Yes O No	Select Preferred Language: Hebrew		~
Specify	the Individual P	ages to be translated:				
Special l	Requests:					
				have been informed that the educational of	lecision-making	rights will transfer to the
student	at 18 years of a		s determined otherwise.	1		
C	Ed	·	<u>iing Loss Consideration o</u>	f Compensatory and/or Recoupment So		
_	-	Consideration: wed and discussed when	other compensatory	Recoupment Services Consideration The IEP team has reviewed and	 '	nt's progress/achievement
			andemic. The IEP team has			
determi				the school facility closures as a r IEP team has determined:	result of the CO	VID-19 pandemic. The
		of their special education of their IEP. Compensate	on and related aids and	Student has made expected pro	ogress toward II	EP goals and/or
requir		nen 121. Compensate	ry education is not	progress is in alignment with e	expectations of p	progress/goal
			education and related aids	achievement. No recoupment s		
		by their IEP. Compered in FAPE 2- Summa	satory education offer	 Student experienced learning l closures caused by the COVID 		
			ucation and related aids	services are necessary. The IE	P team discussed	d recoupment services
		by their IEP. However		to address past learning loss. Find included in FAPE Part 2, Part		
	tion was warrar in FAPE Part 2	nted for the reasons do Part 4.	cumented by the IEP	service grid, as necessary).	4 of the IEF (Inc	ridding completion of a
○ Comp	ensatory educat	tion consideration was	s documented on IEP dated	Recoupment services consider	ation was docur	mented on IEP dated
		•			~	
_		•				
_	-	eration (Transition IE	P)			
-		ion (Out-of-District)				
Student	attends private	school within district	boundaries and resides outs	side of district boundaries (Eligibility Det	ermination Only	<i>(</i>)
		7	THIS SPACE DELIBE	ERATELY LEFT BLANK.		

Date of Biuth		
Date of Birth 22-OCT-	2013 Meetii	ng Date 11-JAN-2024
(22.22		
ticipation and Consent		
	Parent Notification	
request. (Parent meeting be rescheduled.) to Components of the Proposed IEP. The District will	Whom SARA LOPEZ-SILVA	A 09-OCT-2023 A 18-OCT-2023 A 08-NOV-2023 A 14-NOV-2023 Cheduled to this date at my the PARENT requested that the IEP
the proposed IEP. on as to components of the the components of the prop	proposed IEP to which	n find information on
	Date	
O a	O 5	O F P
○ Surrogate Parent	Emancipated Minor	r O Foster Parent
t os	Method Email	Parent Notification Method Whom Email SARA LOPEZ-SILV/ Email SARA



Parent IEP Experience Survey Encuesta sobre la experiencia de los padres en el IEP.

Please take a moment to complete the survey below by scanning the QR code with your smart phone camera. You can also take the survey at this link:

Por favor tómese un momento para completar la siguiente encuesta escaneando el código QR con la cámara de su teléfono inteligente. También puede realizar la encuesta en este enlace:

http://lausd.PARENT-IEP-EXPERIENCE.alchemer.com/s3/

Please ask your school staff if in need of assistance. *En caso que necesite asistencia, por favor solicitesela al personal de la escuela.*



INDIV os Angeles Unified School District	VIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)	Page 2
2.5good 0		Reconvened Meeting
Student BITON NEHORAI	Date of Birth 22-OCT-	Date 2013 Meeting Date 11-JAN-2024
Last First M	I	
Secti	ion R: Names and Signatures (Signatures on File	2)
Team Member	Print Name	Signature
Parent/Guardian	Nataly Margi Biton (zoom)	
arent/Guardian		
Student Age 18 - 21 years		
Student Under Age 18 years		
Surrogate Parent		
oster Parent		
Family Foster Home Provider		
Administrator	Arpineh Kourounian	Arpineh Kourounian
Administrative Designee		
Special Education Teacher	David Visner	David Visner
General Education Teacher	Susan Diamond	ø
School Psychologist	Jennifer Rose	Jennifer Rose
School Nurse	Helen Ueyama	Helen Ueyama
Related Service Staff OT	Eden Balbas	Son Bank H
Related Service Staff LAS	Madhu Karinaika	Madhu Karinaika
Related Service Staff		
nterpreter		
Sign Language Interpreter		
Agency Representative		
Agency Representative		

Michelle Biggs (Zoom)

M

Agency Representative

Other

Other

Other

Other

Family Advocate

				INDIVIDU	ALIZED EDUCA	ATION PROGRAM	(IEP)		Page 22 of 2
Los Angeles									
Student	BITON		IORAI First	MI		Date of Birth 22	-OCT-2013	Meeting Date	11-JAN-2024
	Last		riist	IVII				Date	
			LEAS	ST RESTR	ICTIVE EN	VIRONMENT	ANALYSIS		
			,	_	-	eam at the IEP Tear	n Meeting		
				<u>S</u> :	tudent's Current	Placement Type:			
O General	Education	Class/Gener	al Education	ı Site		O Special Day P	ogram/General Ed	lucation Site	
Ospecial	Day Progra	m/Special E	ducation Ce	nter		O Nonpublic Sch	ool		
O Home/H	Iospital or I	Residential C	are Facility						
in a more re use of suppl accommoda	strictive set ementary a tions and m	tting should aids and servi modifications	only occur in ces cannot be is not the so	f the nature or be achieved sample justification	severity of the st tisfactorily. The land for placement i		such that placeme ability of a student setting, unless the	nt in a less restri s's required supp re is a compellin	ig reason why they
Step A.	classroo	supports, sem/setting?				ns in the student's I		_	
	O Yes	O No	the question below.						_
	O Yes	○ No	in a genera	al education cl	assroom/setting?	If YES, all require	d supports, service	s, accommodation	tions be made available ons and/or modifications box below. Then go to
Step B.		day program	?			ns in the student's I			
	O Yes	O No	is NO, go	to the question	n below.				placement. If the answer
	O Yes	○ No	in a specia modificati	al day program	on a general edu rovided within a	acation site? If YES	, all required suppo	orts, services, ac	tions be made available commodations and/or late why in the box

INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP) Los Angeles Unified School District Student BITON NEHORAI Date of Birth 22-OCT-2013 11-JAN-2024 Meeting First ΜI Last Date ANNUAL LEAST RESTRICTIVE ENVIRONMENT ANALYSIS (Continued) To Be Completed By the IEP Team at the IEP Team Meeting Can the supports, services, accommodations and/or modifications in the student's IEP be made available in a special school setting? Step C. If the answer is YES, then a special school setting is the appropriate placement. If the answer is NO, go to the question O Yes O No If not currently available, can the required supports, services, accommodations and/or modifications be made available O Yes O No in a special school setting? If YES, all required supports, services, accommodations and/or modifications must be provided within a reasonable timeline. If the answer is NO, please articulate why in the box below. Then go to Step D. Can the supports, services, accommodations and/or modifications in the student's IEP be made available in a home/hospital setting? Step D. If the answer is YES, then a home/hospital setting is the appropriate placement. O Yes O No If the answer is NO, go to the question below. If not currently available, can the required supports, services, accommodations and/or modifications be made available O Yes O No in a home/hospital setting? If YES, all required supports, services, accommodations and/or modifications must be provided within a reasonable timeline. If the answer is NO, please articulate why in the box below. Then go to Step E. Can the supports, services, accommodations and/or modifications in the student's IEP be made available in a residential care facility? Step E. If not currently available, articulate in the IEP what supports, accommodations and/or modifications are required for the O No student in this setting.

	Unified School							
Student		NEHORAI		Date of Birth 22-OCT-2013	Meeting 11-JAN	-2024		
	Last	First	MI		Date			
	\mathbf{A}^{\cdot}	NNUAL LEAS	T RESTRICTIV	E ENVIRONMENT ANALYSIS	(Continued)			
				he IEP Team at the IEP Team Meeting	,			
			_F					
Step F.	The student	's needs as reflected i	in the contents of this	IEP, and the placement being considered by t	he IEP team, outweigh any p	otential		
step r.	harmful effects at this time, including (check all that apply):							
	Diminished access to the full range of the curriculum							
		Diminished acce	ess to the full range of	the curriculum				
			_					
	✓		_	aught by highly qualified staff				
	✓	Missed general e	_	aught by highly qualified staff				
	V V V	Missed general e	education instruction t	aught by highly qualified staff s for graduation				
	✓ ✓ ✓	Missed general of Rate at which struck of opportunity	education instruction t udent may earn credits	aught by highly qualified staff s for graduation ion				
	V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	Missed general of Rate at which stu Lack of opportun Lack of opportun	education instruction t udent may earn credits nity for social interact nities for age-appropri	aught by highly qualified staff s for graduation ion ate peer role models				
	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Missed general of Rate at which str Lack of opportun Lack of opportun Amount of social	education instruction t udent may earn credits nity for social interact nities for age-appropri alization opportunities	aught by highly qualified staff s for graduation ion ate peer role models with typical peers				
	_	Missed general of Rate at which str Lack of opportun Lack of opportun Amount of socia Limited access t	education instruction t udent may earn credits nity for social interact nities for age-appropri alization opportunities to peers in student's ho	aught by highly qualified staff s for graduation ion ate peer role models with typical peers me community				
	_	Missed general of Rate at which str Lack of opportun Lack of opportun Amount of socia Limited access t	education instruction t udent may earn credits nity for social interact nities for age-appropri alization opportunities to peers in student's ho	aught by highly qualified staff s for graduation ion ate peer role models with typical peers				

Los Angeles Unified S Student BITON	NEHORAI	Date of Birth 22-OC	PE Part 1 - Eligibility, Placements and Suppor T-2013 Meeting Date 11-JAN-2024
Last	First	MI	
	A	Effective With this IEP	Future Changes Related to this IEP
1. 11 11.	As of Date:		
ligibility: rom Page 4)		Eligible (SLD)	
0 /	Final IEP Reason Final IEP Effective Date:		
Curriculum		General Education	
lacement	Type of School	District Resident School	
	Name of School	MONLUX EL	
		(
structional Setting	Setting	General Education	
	Program	GE	
	Special Day Minutes/Wk		
	Addresses Goals	205 4 4 2000	
	Addresses Goals	3(Mathematics),2(Written Language),1(Reading),5(Visual Motor Integra),4(Fine Motor),6(Bilateral Coordinati)	
dditional Factors	Low Incident Support	None	
	Assistive Technology Support	No	
	Transportation	None	
	Extended School Year/Intersession	Yes No	
	Parent Counseling and Training (PCT)	○ Yes ○ No	
	ESY Transportation		
Accommodation, Modifications, Supports	Instructional Accommodations	keyboarding (as needed when fatiguing during long writing tasks), handwriting checklist, 'heavy work' movement activities (e.g. chair push-ups, jumping jacks, etc.), vestibular movement activities (e.g. passing out papers, running errands to the office, etc.)	
	Instructional Modifications		
	Other Supports, including Non-Academic and Extra-curricular Activities		
Preparation for Three Vear Review IEP (At the econd Annual Review EP Meeting, the team nust discuss and locument the decision to	Do the Parent and the District (local educational agency) agree that a reassessment is unnecessary?	● Yes ○ No	
conduct or not conduct a hree-year comprehensive reassessment.)	If the Parent does not agree, specify the area(s) to be reassessed.		
	,	Comments, as appropriate	
Low Incidence Equipment			
Assistive Technology Equipment			

Particip:	ation in
General	Education

Majority of the time in general education setting.

INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)

Los Angeles Unified School District
Student BITON NEHORAI

IEP FAPE Part 2 - Summary of Services

	ORAI First MI	Date of Birth 22-OCT-2013	Meeting Date 11-JAN-2024
Last	IIST MII	Effective With This IEP	Future Changes Related To T IEP
Service 1	Start Date:	Effective on Signature Date	
RSP	End Date:		
RSP	Service applies to:	Regular	
	Frequency:	1-5	
This service addresses the following goals:	Interval:	Weekly	
2(Written Language)	Minutes/Interval:	150	
1(Reading)	Minutes/Interval (Pullout from Gen Ed):	150	
	Service Delivery Model:	RSP: Direct Instruction Services*	
	RSP Area:	Literacy/	ELA/ELD
	Responsible Personnel:	Resource Specialist Teacher	
Service 2	Start Date:	Effective on Signature Date	
16	End Date:		
Occupational Therapy	Service applies to:	Regular	
	Frequency:	1-5	
This service addresses the following goals:	Interval:	Weekly	

5(Visual Motor Integra)	Minutes/Interval:	30	
4(Fine Motor)	Minutes/Interval (Pullout from Gen Ed):	30	
6(Bilateral Coordinati)	Service Delivery Model:	Direct Service (Collaborative)*	
	Responsible Personnel:	Licensed/Credentialed Provider	
	*		
Service 3	Start Date:	Effective on Signature Date	
RSP	End Date:		
RSP	Service applies to:	Regular	
	Frequency:	1-5	
This service addresses the following goals:	Interval:	Weekly	
3(Mathematics)	Minutes/Interval:	150	
	Minutes/Interval (Pullout from Gen Ed):	150	
	Service Delivery Model:	RSP: Direct Instruction Services*	
	RSP Area:	M	ath
	Responsible Personnel:	Resource Specialist Teacher	

Parents of students who are Medi-Cal eligible authorize LAUSD to submit claims for reimbursement by Medi-Cal funded services unless parent(s) signs a Parent Medi-Cal Non-Authorization to Bill form. Please see Parent's Guide to Special Education Services (including Procedural Rights and Safeguards).

Part 3 - Percentage of Time Outside o	f General Education	
	Effective With this IEP	Future Changes Related to this IEP
% of Time per Week outside of General Education	21	•
Part 4 - Compensatory Education/Rec	oupment Services Discu	ssion

Private school student.

Part 4 - Additional Discussion (This section is optional)

1/11/2024: Nehorai is to receive OT services for 30 minutes weekly pull out session during the RSY.

This is an initial IEP meeting for Nehorai. IEP statement was read, and booklets were offered. Introductions were made. This IEP was held to via zoom with the mother and advocate of the family Michelle Biggs. Psychologist discussed Nehorai's strengths and areas of need. The advocate did agree with the psychologist report. Occupational Therapist presented Nehorai's assessment results. His strengths and areas of need were discussed. Nurse went over his health report. The team did excuse the nurse from the meeting. Language and Speech pathologist presented his assessment report and went over Nehorai's strengths and areas of need for speech. LAS is not an areas of need at this time. The advocate stated they did review the LAS report and they still feel he has articulation concerns. Resource specialist went over his strengths and areas of need for reading, writing, and mathematics. Psychologist discussed Nehorai's eligibility of specific learning disability (SLD). The advocate and mom did agree with his eligibility of SLD. OT and RSP went over his goals. Parent was asked if she had any questions for the general education teacher and she responded not at this time.

The offer of FAPE is RSP services, with OT and Las services. The IEP team administrator informed the parent that the district where the private school is located, LAUSD, is responsible for the re-evaluation of a child with a suspected disability, determining eligibility for special education services, and the development and implementation of Services Plan for eligible private school students. Parents agree that a Free Appropriate Public Education (FAPE) has been offered and will be implemented upon enrollment in a public school but decline public school services and choose to continue having Nehorai parentally placed at his current private school, which is Legacy Academy.

Page 25 of 26 INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP) Los Angeles Unified School District (SLD, pg. 1 of 1) Student BITON NEHORAI Date of Birth 22-OCT-2013 Meeting Date 11-JAN-2024 First MI SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABILITIES CERTIFICATION Use this form at Initial, Three Year Reviews and comprehensive assessments for students eligible as having a Specific Learning Disability, including but not limited to students with characteristics of dyslexia. This form is not required at Annual Review meetings. It is the consensus of the IEP Team that the student meets the eligibility criteria for Specific Learning Disability based upon the information which follows. This form serves as the written report of the IEP Team consensus. 1. Are there educationally relevant medical conditions which should be considered by the IEP Team? Ves No If Yes, describe 2. During the observation of the student in the general education setting, was behavior noted that relates to the student's general academic functioning? Yes No If Yes, describe Difficulty attending to academic tasks as well as keeping up with instruction. 3. A severe discrepancy exists in one or more of the following academic areas: (Check all that apply) Listening Comprehension Basic Reading Skills Oral Expression Reading Comprehension

☐ Math Reasoning

☐ Auditory Processing

✓ Phonological Processing

Reading Fluency

Sensory Motor Skills

Environmental, economic or cultural

Visual, hearing or motor impairment

disadvantage

Math Calculation

☐ Visual Processing

Cognitive abilities including association, conceptualization and

5. The Team agrees that the discrepancy is not primarily the result of:

4. The discrepancy is the result of a disorder in one or more of the following psychological processes: (Check all that apply)

✓ Poor school attendance

✓ Intellectually Disabled

Written Expression

✓ Limited school experience

Unfamiliarity with the English language

Social maladjustment

✓ Attention

INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)

Los Angeles Unified School District IEP FAPE Part 2 - Summary of Services Student BITON NEHORAI Date of Birth 22-OCT-2013 Meeting Date 11-JAN-2024 First ΜI Last **FAPE Summary Grid** Program: GE **Setting:** General Education General Education Eligible (SLD) **Curriculum: Eligibility: Transportation:** None **Low Incident Support:** None **Date District Received Parent Signature:** Service Service Start Service Interval Frequency Area Total Addresses Code Desc Date Minutes Goal(s) **Applies** To Effective on 1-5 16 Occupational Regular Weekly 30 Visual Motor Integra, Fine Therapy Signature Date Motor, Bilateral Coordinati **RSP RSP** Effective on Regular Weekly 1-5 RSP-150 Written Language, Reading Signature Date Literacy/ELA/ELD **RSP RSP** Effective on Regular Weekly 1-5 RSP-Math 150 Mathematics Signature Date

Alternative Remote/Distance Learning Services During Emergency Conditions

If instruction or services, or both, cannot be provided to the pupil either at the school or in person for more than 10 school days due to emergency conditions caused by fire, flood, impassable roads, epidemic, earthquake, imminent major safety hazard as determined by local law enforcement, a transportation services strike by nonschool entity, or other official order issued to meet a state of emergency or war, the IEP will be provided by one or more of the means stated below, to the greatest extent possible in light of the emergency circumstances and District policy.

	Teacher-posted lessons, asynchronous (online or other media)	Virtual class meetings, synchronous	Personalized learning tools (virtual or paper packets, as available)	Scheduled teacher appointments (virtual or in-person, as available)	Scheduled email check- ins (parent or student)	Virtual office hours (drop- in; parent or student)
Specialized Academic Instruction and Related Services	~		~	~		
Supplementary Aids and Services (provided in general education classes and other general ed environments)	✓					

As soon as practicable following the determination that instruction or services, or both, cannot be provided either at the school or in person for more than 10 days due to a qualifying state of emergency, the parent will be notified as to the specific means by which the student's IEP will be provided, in light of the emergency circumstances present at that time. The IEP will be provided by alternative means as necessitated during the period of emergency conditions, only.

Comments above do not constitute a change to the District's offer of FAPE or IEP. Because the nature of any future emergency cannot be known in advance, the specific means by which the IEP shall be provided in a future emergency will be determined at the time, in light of the circumstances.