IN	DIVIDUALIZED EDUC	CATION PROGRAM (IEP	Page 1 of 18
Los Angeles Unified School District			
Student Identification Number 031814M011			Eligible (SLI)
Student BASHAN SHIR			Date of Birth 18-MAR-2014
Last	First	MI	Date of Birth 10-MAR-2017
	Section A: Meet	ing Information	
Pertinent Dates			Type of Meeting
Date of Initial IEP Team Meeting	06-JUN-2017	@ - · · ·	Amendment of IEP dated
Date of Present Meeting	06-JUN-2017	Initial	
Annual Review to be conducted by	06-JUN-2018	Annual Review	Early Start Transition
Next Three Year Review will be conducted by	01-JUN-2019	O Three Year Review	Expulsion Analysis
Three Year Review or Evaluation was conducted on	06-JUN-2017	Other	Individual Transition Plan
Transition to Kindergarten to be conducted by	01-JUN-2019		- marvadan ransalor ran
Location of Meeting: Shirley Preschool Asmt.,		District Name: Los	s Angeles Unified School District
	Section B: Stude	ent Information	
Date of Birth 18-MAR-2014 Age 3 Gra	de -1 Gender •	Male Female Limited	English Proficient Student Yes No
Ethnic Code White			
Location of the Psych Folder: SUPPORT UNIT NORT.	Н	Student has no Psych Fo	older:
Location of the Cum Folder:		Student has no Cum Fold	
	ent Language	Student has he sum I stu	
Alternate Mode of Communication			
Home Address of Student 6355 DE SOTO AV #406			
City WOODLAND HILLS			CA ZIP Code 91367
Home Telephone (323) 377-0078 Daytime Tele	ephone	Emergency Telephone	
School of Attendance Sp Ed Inf/Pre (1017)		Location Code 10	17
School of Residence Hart St El		Location Code 444	
Name of Parent/Guardian Liron/Gil Bashan	Telephone		
Address SAME			
City SAME CA ZIP Code			
Surrogate Parent	Telephone		
Attends CURRENT SCHOOL as a result of one of the Preschool Program	e following:		
Is the student living in a Family Foster Home (FFH)?	No Vec FFH#	Ic FEH Dro	wider related to student? No Yes
Relationship	100 = 100 11111	13 11 11 110	The Total to State II.
	Nome		1.01#
Licensed Children's Institution No Yes LCI			LCI#
Out of home placement made by: Department of M	-		
Other	Child's family living	g within LAUSD's boundarie	es? No Yes

If the student is 18 years old or older or is an emancipated minor, does he/she have educational decision-making rights? O No Yes

		YMY	DIMIDI	AT 1771	ED EDUCATION DROOP AM (JED)	Page 2 of 18			
Las	INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)								
	Angeles Unified School District lent BASHAN	SHIR			Date of Birth 18-MAR-2014	Meeting Date 06-JUN-2017			
			•	Section	C: Language Acquisition				
Lan	guage Classification:				Start Date:	Reclassification Date:			
	ent Waiver: Yes O No	Г							
	mentary English Language Dev				rt Date:				
	ondary English Language Deve nmunication Observation Mati		C44	Start Date:	Date:				
Con	munication Observation Mati	ix Level:			al Achievement from Current IEP				
				ieved					
Goa	al for: (example - Reading)		Yes	No	If No, explain the reason the goal/object	ive was not achieved			
1.			0						
	Objective 1 met		0						
	Objective 2 met		0						
2.									
	Objective 1 met								
	Objective 2 met								
3.			0						
	Objective 1 met		0						
	Objective 2 met		0						
4.									
	Objective 1 met								
	Objective 2 met								
5.									
	Objective 1 met								
	Objective 2 met		0						
6.									
	Objective 1 met								
	Objective 2 met								
7.				0					
L	Objective 1 met			0					
L	Objective 2 met		0	0					
8.			0	0					
L	Objective 1 met			0					
	Objective 2 met		0	0					
9.			0						
L	Objective 1 met		0	0					
	Objective 2 met			0					
10.				0					
	Objective 1 met			0					
	Objective 2 met			0					

				Page 3 of
Los Angeles Unified School District	INDIVI	DUALIZED EDUCATION PROGE	RAM (IEP)	
Student BASHAN	SHIR	Date of Birth 18-MA	R-2014	Meeting Date 06-JUN-2017
Student Brisinal	STIR	Date of Birth 10 Mix	R 2014	Witting Date 00 3017 2017
	,	Section E: Present Level of Performa	nce	
Performance Area:	Health			
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:	Health assessment			
State/District Assessment Results:				
Current Performance/Assessment Sumn	nory (include student (tranoths, student needs and impact of d	disability on student perfor	manca):
Shir is 3 years old product of lengthy hospital stay follow. His developmental milestone crawled at 8 months, walked at 8 mont	ing delivery. s were reported at 23 months, ar speech delay. H ches. His weight trict vision scr 05/22/2017). H /fork when eatir serious/chronic t an area of nee	to occur within the followind said the first word at 18 de received early intervention is 32 lbs. eening using the LEA puzzle e ambulates with no assistance gwith no assistance. He do illness, injury, accident, sd.	ng timelines: he sand months. on services from Non (05/22/2017)). He part of the eats regular ones not have currents surgery, hospitalizations.	t at 10 months, rth LA County passed the r meals and has t medical ation, allergy.
Performance Area:				
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:				
State/District Assessment Results:				
Current Performance/Assessment Sumn	mary (include student s	strengths, student needs and impact of d	disability on student perfor	mance):

INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP) Los Angeles Unified School District Student BASHAN SHIR Date of Birth 18-MAR-2014 Meeting Date 06-JUN-20 Section E: Present Level of Performance Performance Area: Cognitive/Processing Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: Alternative Assessment, Observation, Interviews, Record Review State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Overall, Shir's current functioning in cognition/general ability is estimated to be in average to below average range, based on performance on the Mullen Scales of Early Learning (MSEL) and information gathered via observation and interview on the Developmental Profile Third Edition (DP3). Shir's profile as examined on the MSEL reflects relatively stronger skills in the areas of visual reception skills and expressive language skills. His fine motor skills and receptive language skills were determined to be in the below average range. No potential factors were determined to impact the validity of the findings. Educational Impact: A general ability/cognition impact was not identified at this time.
Section E: Present Level of Performance Performance Area: Cognitive/Processing Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: Alternative Assessment, Observation, Interviews, Record Review State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Overall, Shir's current functioning in cognition/general ability is estimated to be in average to below average range, based on performance on the Mullen Scales of Early Learning (MSEL) and information gathered via observation and interview on the Developmental Profile Third Edition (DP3). Shir's profile as examined on the MSEL reflects relatively stronger skills in the areas of visual reception skills and expressive language skills. His fine motor skills and receptive language skills were determined to be in the below average range. No potential factors were determined to impact the validity of the findings.
Section E: Present Level of Performance Performance Area: Cognitive/Processing Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: Alternative Assessment, Observation, Interviews, Record Review State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Overall, Shir's current functioning in cognition/general ability is estimated to be in average to below average range, based on performance on the Mullen Scales of Early Learning (MSEL) and information gathered via observation and interview on the Developmental Profile Third Edition (DP3). Shir's profile as examined on the MSEL reflects relatively stronger skills in the areas of visual reception skills and expressive language skills. His fine motor skills and receptive language skills were determined to be in the below average range. No potential factors were determined to impact the validity of the findings.
Performance Area: Cognitive/Processing Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: Alternative Assessment, Observation, Interviews, Record Review State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Overall, Shir's current functioning in cognition/general ability is estimated to be in average to below average range, based on performance on the Mullen Scales of Early Learning (MSEL) and information gathered via observation and interview on the Developmental Profile Third Edition (DP3). Shir's profile as examined on the MSEL reflects relatively stronger skills in the areas of visual reception skills and expressive language skills. His fine motor skills and receptive language skills were determined to be in the below average range. No potential factors were determined to impact the validity of the findings.
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: Alternative Assessment, Observation, Interviews, Record Review State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Overall, Shir's current functioning in cognition/general ability is estimated to be in average to below average range, based on performance on the Mullen Scales of Early Learning (MSEL) and information gathered via observation and interview on the Developmental Profile Third Edition (DP3). Shir's profile as examined on the MSEL reflects relatively stronger skills in the areas of visual reception skills and expressive language skills. His fine motor skills and receptive language skills were determined to be in the below average range. No potential factors were determined to impact the validity of the findings.
State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Overall, Shir's current functioning in cognition/general ability is estimated to be in average to below average range, based on performance on the Mullen Scales of Early Learning (MSEL) and information gathered via observation and interview on the Developmental Profile Third Edition (DP3). Shir's profile as examined on the MSEL reflects relatively stronger skills in the areas of visual reception skills and expressive language skills. His fine motor skills and receptive language skills were determined to be in the below average range. No potential factors were determined to impact the validity of the findings.
Overall, Shir's current functioning in cognition/general ability is estimated to be in average to below average range, based on performance on the Mullen Scales of Early Learning (MSEL) and information gathered via observation and interview on the Developmental Profile Third Edition (DP3). Shir's profile as examined on the MSEL reflects relatively stronger skills in the areas of visual reception skills and expressive language skills. His fine motor skills and receptive language skills were determined to be in the below average range. No potential factors were determined to impact the validity of the findings.
Overall, Shir's current functioning in cognition/general ability is estimated to be in average to below average range, based on performance on the Mullen Scales of Early Learning (MSEL) and information gathered via observation and interview on the Developmental Profile Third Edition (DP3). Shir's profile as examined on the MSEL reflects relatively stronger skills in the areas of visual reception skills and expressive language skills. His fine motor skills and receptive language skills were determined to be in the below average range. No potential factors were determined to impact the validity of the findings.
Overall, Shir's current functioning in cognition/general ability is estimated to be in average to below average range, based on performance on the Mullen Scales of Early Learning (MSEL) and information gathered via observation and interview on the Developmental Profile Third Edition (DP3). Shir's profile as examined on the MSEL reflects relatively stronger skills in the areas of visual reception skills and expressive language skills. His fine motor skills and receptive language skills were determined to be in the below average range. No potential factors were determined to impact the validity of the findings.
skills and expressive language skills. His fine motor skills and receptive language skills were determined to be in the below average range. No potential factors were determined to impact the validity of the findings.
Educational Impact: A general ability/cognition impact was not identified at this time.
Performance Area: School Readiness
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: AlternativeAssessment, Observation, Interviews, Record Review
State/District Assessment Results:
Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance):
Overall, Shir's current functioning in school readiness is generally developing as expected in many areas, given his chronological age and school experience to date, based on performance on the Mullen Scales of Early Learning (MSEL) and information gathered via observation and interview on the Developmental Profile Third Edition (DP3). There are aspects with regard to communication needs that may be impacting his ability to access a preschool curriculum.
Shir demonstrates some strengths in aspects of general fund of information skills as labeling body parts, matching shapes, and labeling some colors. Areas of weakness were identified in the some tasks that involve communication skills such as, giving his last name and gender, and answering situational questions. He was able to copy a lines and match by shape. As such, Shir evidences some weaknesses in the area of academic performance at this time, however no clear impact was identified.
Educational Impact: An academic performance/school readiness impact was not clearly identified at this time, although Shir's communication weaknesses may have an adverse effect on his ability to access a preschool curriculum. Please refer to the Speech and Language therapist for further information regarding communication skills.

				Page 5 of 18					
	INDIVI	DUALI	IZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)						
Los Angeles Unified School District									
Student BASHAN	SHIR		Date of Birth 18-MAR-2014	Meeting Date 06-JUN-2017					
	<u> </u>	oction	E: Present Level of Performance						
Performance Area:	Motor Skills	ection	E. Fresent Level of Ferformance						
			Dl						
-	ssessment/Monitoring Process Used: Alternative Assessment, Observation, Interviews, Record Review								
State/District Assessment Results:									
			s, student needs and impact of disability on st						
Overall, Shir's motor skills	are found to be	in th	ne average range, per mother's re	esponses.					
	dequate for usin	g scis	Profile 3, Shir evidences the fol ssors, catching a ball thrown by ing feet.						
No areas of impact were iden concern voiced by his teache		ea of	motor skills at this time, altho	ough this was an area of					
Educational Impact: A motor	skill impact wa	s not	identified at this time.						
Performance Area:	Social-Emotional S	Skills							
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:	Alternative Assessi	ment, C	Observation, Interviews, Record Review	V					
State/District Assessment Results:									
Current Performance/Assessment Sumr	mary (include student s	trengths	s, student needs and impact of disability on st	udent performance):					
			to be within the average range,						
			es, Shir evidences the following amiliar friend, and shows toileti						
No areas of significant need	or challenge we	re ide	entified in the area of social-em	notional skills.					
Educational Impact: A social-emotional impact was not identified at this time. Identified weaknesses may be related to his emerging language skills and difficulty communicating with peers until recent emergence of language.									

					Page 6 of 18				
	INDIVII	DUALIZED E	DUCATION PROGE	RAM (IEP)					
Los Angeles Unified School District	1								
Student BASHAN	SHIR		Date of Birth 18-MA	R-2014	Meeting Date 06-JUN-2017				
	S	Section E: Prese	ent Level of Performa	nce					
Performance Area:	Adaptive Skills								
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:	Alternative Assessi	ment, Observa	ation, Interviews, Re	cord Review					
State/District Assessment Results:	tate/District Assessment Results:								
Current Performance/Assessment Summ	mary (include student s	strenoths studen	t needs and impact of d	lisability on student ner	rformance):				
Overall, Shir's self-help/ad					Torridatec).				
Based on mother's responses simple computer game, urinat									
Areas of weakness were ident shirt, washing/drying his ha the areas of self-help and a	nds and face inde								
Educational Impact: A self-	help/adaptive be	havior impa	ct was not identi	fied at this tim	e.				
Performance Area:									
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:									
State/District Assessment Results:									
Current Performance/Assessment Summ	nary (include student st	strengths, studen	t needs and impact of d	lisability on student per	formance):				

				Page 7 o	of 18		
	INDIVI	DUALIZED	EDUCATION PROGRAM (IE	· ·			
Los Angeles Unified School District							
Student BASHAN	SHIR		Date of Birth 18-MAR-2014	Meeting Date 06-JUN-2017			
		Section E: Pr	esent Level of Performance		\neg		
Performance Area:	Communication	Section E. 11	esent Level of Ferrormance		\exists		
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:		informal of	oservations				
State/District Assessment Results:		,					
Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance):							
Strengths: Based on parent report, teacher report, and observations, Shir is demonstrating age-appropriate skills in receptive and expressive language. He consistently demonstrates progress in school and at home in the area of language. He is able to follow directions, identify common objects and dearly concepts, and demonstrates emerging skills in answering wh- questions. In the area of expressive language, Shir uses an expressive vocabulary with 'too many words to count', including nouns, verbs, and modifiers. He uses words more than gestures and is combining 4-5 words to make sentences. He is able to name colors, body parts, clothing, and animals. He is able to name self and gender. He uses sentences to tell his needs such as, 'Mommy, I want to eat. Make me eggs and pasta too'. He is using expressive language for a variety of communication intent, including :questions, greetings, to request, and to call attention. In the area of social language, he demonstrates age appropriate skills. His oral structures appeared within normal limits and did not impede his ability for successful speech production. In the area of speech production, Shir is able to produce age-appropriate sounds at word level. There are no concerns regarding voice quality or fluency.							
Performance Area:	Communication						
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:	parent information	, informal ol	oservations				
State/District Assessment Results:							
Current Performance/Assessment Summ	nary (include student s	strengths, stud	lent needs and impact of disability of	n student performance):			
Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Needs: In the area of articulation and phonological functioning, he is presenting with delay. Based on mother report, translator and assessor's observations, his speech intelligibility is judged to be approximately 60% in unknown context or with unfamiliar listeners, due to omissions and substitutions negatively impacting his connected speech. He needs to decrease omission of sounds and syllables to increase his speech intelligibility.							
Impact: Shir's current comm preschool curriculum.	Impact: Shir's current communication skills may negatively impact his ability to access a general education						
				d			

Page 8 of 18 INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP) Los Angeles Unified School District SHIR Date of Birth 18-MAR-2014 Meeting Date 06-JUN-2017 Student BASHAN Section F: Eligibility If applicable, areas discussed related to disability or suspected disability: Health, general (cognitive) ability, pre-academic performance, language, social-emotional, motor, and selfhelp/adaptive development Intellectual Disability, Speech/Language Impairment For Initial IEP, interventions attempted prior to determining eligibility: NLACRC exited In home LAS Private daycare Eligible as a student with the disability of: Code: SLI Speech Or Language Impairment Not Applicable, Blind or Partially Sighted Additional Low Incidence Eligibility (only for VI, DBL, DEA, HOH, or severe OI): Code: ■ Not Applicable, ■ Blind or ■ Partially Sighted Does not meet eligibility criteria for Special Education Services (Initial IEP). No Longer Eligible for Special Education Services (Review IEP). No Longer Eligible (Effective Date): This is a Final IEP, the student remains eligible for Special Education Services until the Effective Date below. Final IEP Reason: Final IEP Effective Date: The IEP Team has considered and agrees that the educational needs of the student are not primarily due to: Social Maladjustment Temporary Physical Disability Lack of instruction in reading Lack of instruction in math ✓ Environmental, Cultural or Economic Factors ✓ Limited English Proficiency

https://welligent.lausd.net/pls/iepweb/xml_utilities.PRINT_IEP?SID=2692414&F_IEPID=1

					Page 9 of 18				
	INDIVID	UALIZED EDUCATION PRO	OGRAM (IEP)						
Los Angeles Unified School Dis	strict								
Student BASHAN	SHIR	Date of Birth 18-1	MAR-2014	N	Meeting Date 06-JUN-2017				
Section G: Annual Goals and									
Performance Area: Articulatio	n								
Annual Goal #	GB								
Shir will decrease omissions and sound substitutions, as demonstrated by production of age-appropriate sounds including (m, n, h, w, p, b, f, k, d, t, g) in 4-5 word utterances with 90% accuracy while answering ?wh questions, relating personal experiences, and describing a story, during oral language activities on 3/5 occasions, given minimum cues (visual oe auditory) cues.									
at either Progress Report or Re		ng the "IEP Report of Progress an	nd Achievement fi	rom Current IEI	" form(s) which will be provided				
Methods of Evaluation:									
State Assessments			riculum Based		✓ Observation				
Portfolio	■ Work Samples ■ In	formal Othe	r: parent/teache	er report					
Incremental objective #1 rel	ated to the goal:	Incremental	objective #2 relat	ted to the goal:					
Shir will decrease omissions and sound substitutions, as demonstrated by production of age-appropriate sounds including (m, n, h, w, p, b, f, k, d, t, g) in 1-2 word utterances with 80% accuracy while answering ?wh questions, relating personal experiences, and describing a story, during oral oral language activities on 3/5 occasions, given moderate cues (visual and auditory) cues and models. Date to be achieved October ▼ 2017 ▼ MO/YR									
	IEP REPORT OF PRO	OGRESS AND ACHIEVEMEN		RENT IEP					
 4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDE	D 3 SUBSTANTIAL PROG	EXPLANATION OF MARK RESS (50-99% of goal met)	A S 2 Partial Proc	GRESS (1-49%)	of goal met) 1 NO PROGRESS				
1st Reporting Period	2nd Reporting Period	3rd Reporting Period	4th Reporting P		Goal Achievement				
Date:	Date:	Date:	(Secondary Only) Date:					
Progress Mark:	Progress Mark:	Progress Mark:	Progress Mark:		Objective 1 Met:				
Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal?	Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal?	Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal?	Is progress suff annual goal?		Yes No Objective 2 Met:				
○ Yes ○ No	○ Yes ○ No	○ Yes ○ No	O Yes O N	lo	O Yes O No				
If "No" please comment:	If "No" please comment:	If "No" please comment:	If "No" please co	mment:	If "No" please explain:				
Needs More Time	Needs More Time	Needs More Time	Needs More	Time					
Excess Absence/Tardy	Excess Absence/Tardy	Excess Absence/Tardy	Excess Abse	ence/Tardy					
Assignments Not	Assignments Not	Assignments Not	Assignments	s Not					
Completed Need to review/revise Goal	Completed Need to review/revise Goa	Completed Need to review/revise Goal	Completed	ew/revise Goal					
Other	Other	Other	Other	ew/revise Goar	//				
3	5 5 1	J 4.1.51	S uner						

			Page 10 of
	INDIVIDU	VALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)	
Los Angeles Unified School Di	istrict		
Student BASHAN	SHIR	Date of Birth 18-MAR-2014	Meeting Date 06-JUN-2017
		icipation in State and District-wide Assessments	
	Assessments administered w	vill conform to those assessments determined for each	grade by
	the California Department	of Education and/or the Los Angeles Unified School I	District.
ORDP-A - (Adaptations identified	below are applicable)		

					Page 1
		INDIVIDU	JALIZEI	D EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)	
s Angeles Unified School					
dent BASHAN	SHIR			Date of Birth 18-MAR-2014	Meeting Date 06-JUN-2017
				al Safeguards and Follow-up Actions	
A Parent's Guide to S	pecial Education Servi	ces includin	g Proced	ural Rights & Safeguards was provid	led to the parent in his/her primary language.
The IEP Team Meeting	Introductory Statements	were read al	loud at the	e beginning of the IEP Team meeting.	
The parent/guardian wa	s informed of his/her rigi	ht to a writte	en translat	ion of the IEP.	
Is the parent/guardian i	equesting translation ser	vices? Yes	s • N	10 🔾	
If yes, the parent/g	uardian has requested a v	written transl	lation of t	he IEP in Hebrew	
	ual Pages to be translated	d:			
Special Requests:					
For students who are 17 dent at 18 years of age, up	years old, the student a	nd parent(s)	/guardian	(s) have been informed that the education	nal decision-making rights will transfer to the
		THIS S	SPACE D	ELIBERATELY LEFT BLANK.	

	IND	IVIDUALIZED EDUG	CATION PROGRAM	I (IEP)	Page 12 of 18
Los Angeles Unified School	District				
Student BASHAN	SHIR	Date of	f Birth 18-MAR-2014		Meeting Date 06-JUN-2017
		Section Q: Parent Part	ticipation and Conser	nt	
	Parent Participation			Parent Notifi	
Parent/Student (18-21) h	nas participated in the IEP meeting	5.	Method Phone	Whom ecse	When 02-MAY-2017
Parent/Student (18-21) is attend.	ndicated before the meeting that the	ney would not be able to	Flione	ecse	02-WA1-2017
Parent/Student (18-21) did no meeting was held without the Parent/Student (18-21) d	was notified 3 times of the meeting of respond to any of the meeting n Parent/Student (18-21) present lid not attend and gave permission	otifications and the	I (PARENT) acknowled request. IEP meeting be resche	(Parent initials here ON	was rescheduled to this date at my LY if the PARENT requested that the
them if they did not attend.	Parent/Stude	ent (18-21) Agreement t	 to Components of the	Proposed IEP	
		mponents of a proposed	•		of the IEP to which the parent/student
Parent/Student (18-21) A	GREES to all components of the	e IEP.			
Parent/Student (18-21) A Assessment	GREES to all components of the Specify	e proposed IEP WITH T	THE SPECIFIC EXC	EPTION(S) stated below	w:
Eligibility	Specify				
Instructional Setting	Specify				
☐ Services	Specify				
The Parent/Student (18-2	21) DOES NOT AGREE with a	ny of the components of	the proposed IEP.		
parent/student (18-21) does	not required to initiate any form of wish to initiate a form of dispute ablication, A Parent's Guide to Sp	resolution as to the comp	onents of the proposed	IEP, the parent can find	parent does not agree. If a information on dispute resolution
		Parent Concerns	s and Comments		
Signature(s)	/		I	Date 06-JUN-2017	
Parent Guardian	Student age 18-21 year	Surr	rogate Parent	Emancipated Minor	Foster Parent
Did the school district facilita	ate parent involvement as a means	of improving services a	nd results for your chil	d? ● Yes ○ No ○	No Response
	ve received a copy of the Parent I after the IEP meeting	nput Survey regarding th	_		of the form is voluntary and can be
Signature(s)	/		I	Date 6-JUN-2017	

PARENT INPUT SURVEY

Would you please take a moment to complete the survey below.

The information you provide will help us improve the Individualized Education Program (IEP) process. Thank you in advance for your time and interest.

ALL INFORMATION IS CONFIDENTIAL

DIRECTIONS: After each statement, please place an X on the line that describes your experience with your child's IEP.

A.	Regarding your child's current IEP:	Yes	No	Does Not Apply
1.	I am satisfied with the IEP meeting.			
2.	I feel that the IEP accurately reflects the decisions made at the IEP meeting.			
3.	I received notice of the IEP meeting.			
4.	I received "The IEP and You" handbook with the notice of the IEP meeting.			
5.	During the IEP process I received "A Parent's Guide to Special Education Services (Including Procedural Rights and Safeguards)" explaining my rights as a parent.			
6.	The IEP meeting was held in an appropriate setting.			
7.	I feel I was treated as an equal and important part of the IEP team.			
8.	The participants at the IEP meeting were prepared and informed.			
9.	Placements for my child, including the general education setting, were discussed and decided upon.			
10.	Related services were discussed and decided upon, if relevant.			
11.	If my child is 14 years old or older, an Individualized Transition Plan (ITP) was developed with my child's and my concerns in mind.			
12.	At the end of the IEP meeting the decisions were summarized.			
13.	If I needed an oral interpretation of the IEP team meeting an interpreter was provided.			
14.	The interpretation of the IEP team meeting enabled me to participate and make an informed decision regarding my child's IEP during the IEP meeting.			
15.	The interpreter stayed for the duration of the IEP team meeting.			
16.	If the interpreter left the IEP meeting before it was over, another staff member served as the interpreter and the interpretation was adequate.			
17.	I am aware that, if I am dissatisfied with the adequacy of the oral interpretation at the IEP team meeting, I can file a complaint with the Educational Equity Compliance Office at (213) 241-7682.			
18.	If I needed a written translation of the IEP, translation services were offered.			
19.	I am aware that, if I do not receive a copy of the translated IEP, I can contact the site administrator or call the Division of Special Education at (213) 241-6701.			
	any of the answers to items 13–16 or 18–19 was No, please discuss your concern(s) with the eadministrator or call the Division of Special Education at (213) 241-6701.			
	Regarding your child's previous IEP (if relevant):			
20.	I am satisfied that my child received the services described on the previous IEP.			
	(If your answer to this question is "No", please write concerns below.)		W ==	(S-2)
		-	Addition	al Comments

se write below t	ore you would like to ask us or tell us? or call the Parent Resource Network at 1-800-933-8133.
Pleas	se fold along dotted lines with the address showing. Seal and mail. Postage is pre-paid. Again, Thank you!
	BUSINESS REPLY MAIL FIRST-CLASS MAIL PERMIT NO. 33798 LOS ANGELES CA 90051 POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY ADDRESSEE ATTN PARENT RESOURCE NETWORK LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT PO BOX 513307 LOS ANGELES CA 90099-4093
	Haladhadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadada
<u> </u>	
S. C.	
7	
English	
English	
# =	

	INDIVI	DUALIZED EDUCATION	PROGRAM (IEP)	Page 13 of 18
Los Angeles Unified School District				Reconvened Meeting Date
Student BASHAN	SHIR		18-MAR-2014	Meeting Date 06-JUN-2017
	Section	R: Names and Signatures (
Team Member		Print Name	Signa	ture
Parent/Guardian		Liron Bashan		
Parent/Guardian				
Student Age 18 - 21 years				
Student Under Age 18 years				
Surrogate Parent				
Foster Parent				
Family Foster Home Provider				
Administrator				
Administrative Designee		Michelle Farish		
Special Education Teacher		Valerie Brekke		
General Education Teacher		Leonor Gomez		
School Psychologist				
School Nurse				
Related Service Staff				
Related Service Staff				
Related Service Staff				
Interpreter				
Sign Language Interpreter				
Agency Representative				
Agency Representative				
Agency Representative				
Other				
			*	

						Page 14 of 18		
I aa Am.	aalaa Umifia	od Cabaal Dia		NDIVIDUALIZE	ED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)			
•	BASHAN	ed School Dis	SHIR		Date of Birth 18-MAR-2014	Meeting Date 06-JUN-2017		
Student	DASHAN		SIIIK		Date of Bitti 10-MAR-2014	Weeting Date 00-3017-2017		
					TIVE ENVIRONMENT ANALYSIS y the IEP Team at the IEP Team Meeting			
					's Current Placement Type:			
				Student	5 Current Fracement Type.			
O Ge	eneral Educa	ation Class/G	eneral Education Site		Special Day Program/General	Education Site		
O Sp	ecial Day P	rogram/Speci	al Education Center		O Nonpublic School			
Онс	ome/Hospita	al or Resident	ial Care Facility					
DIREC	TIONS: Co	omplete the inj	formation below as par		discussion regarding placement from the begathat indicates YES.	inning at Step A until the team reaches the Step		
restrictiv	ve setting sl d services ca	hould only ocannot be achie	cur if the nature or seve eved satisfactorily. The	erity of the student lack of current ava	dents with disabilities be educated in the least read it's disability is such that placement in a less readilability of a student's required supports, servere is a compelling reason why they cannot be presented.	strictive setting with the use of supplementary ices, accommodations and modifications is not		
Step	Can the su	pports, servic	es, accommodations ar	nd/or modifications	s in the student's IEP be made available in a ge	eneral education classroom/setting?		
A.	OYES	○ _{NO}	If the answer is YES, question below.	then a general edu	ucation classroom/setting is the appropriate pla	icement. If the answer is NO, go to the		
	O YES	○ _{NO}	education classroom/s	setting? If YES, all	ed supports, services, accommodations and/or I required supports, services, accommodations IO, please articulate why in the box below. The	and/or modifications must be provided within		
						A		
Step	Can the su	pports, servic	es, accommodations an	nd/or modifications	s in the student's IEP be made available on a g	general education site in a special day program?		
B.	OYES	○ NO	If the answer is YES, then a special day program on a general education site is the appropriate placement. If the answer is NO, go to the question below.					
	O YES	○ _{NO}	day program on a gen	eral education site	ed supports, services, accommodations and/or? If YES, all required supports, services, accof the answer is NO, please articulate why in the	mmodations and/or modifications must be		
						4		

				marvi	dualized Education Program (IEP			
os An	geles Unifie	d School D	istrict					
udent	BASHAN		SHIR		Date of Birth 18-MAR-2014	Meeting Date 06-JUN-2017		
					TE ENVIRONMENT ANALYSIS e IEP Team at the IEP Team Meeting			
Step C.	<u> </u>	pports, serv			the student's IEP be made available			
О.	O YES	○ NO	If the answer is YES, the	n a special school	setting is the appropriate placement	If the answer is NO, go to the question below.		
	O YES	○ NO	school setting? If YES, a	ll required suppor	** '	and/or modifications be made available in a specia modifications must be provided within a reasonal o Step D.		
Step	Can the su	pports, serv	ices, accommodations and/o	or modifications in	the student's IEP be made available	in a home/hospital setting?		
D.	O YES	\bigcirc NO	If the answer is YES, then a home/hospital setting is the appropriate placement. If the answer is NO, go to the question below.					
	O YES	○ _{NO}	home/hospital setting? If	YES, all required		and/or modifications be made available in a and/or modifications must be provided within a Then go to Step E.		
	l							
tep E.	Can the su	pports, serv	ices, accommodations and/o	or modifications in	the student's IEP be made available	in a residential care facility?		
tep E.	Can the su	pports, serv				•		
Step E.			If not currently available,			•		
Step E.			If not currently available,			•		
Step E.			If not currently available,			in a residential care facility? and/or modifications are required for the student in		

		INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (I	Page 15 of 18
Los Angeles Unified Sch	nool District	IN ONLINED EDUCATION I ROGRAM (I	IEP FAPE Part 1 - Eligibility, Placements and Supports
Student BASHAN	SHIR	Date of Birth 18-MAR-2014	
		Effective With this IEP	Future Changes Related to this IEP
	As of Date:	06-JUN-2017	
		Eligible (SLI)	
Eligibility: (from Page 4)	Final IEP Reason Final IEP Effective Date:		
Curriculum		General Education	
	Type of School	Preschooler Non-LAUSD/Not Headstart	
Placement	Name of School	SP ED INF/PRE (1989)	
	TValle of School	MOSK EL	
Instructional Setting	Setting	General Education	
	Program	РРН	
	Special Day		
	Minutes/Wk	1(Articulation)	
	Addresses Goals	(Articulation)	4
Additional Factors	Low Incident Support		
	Assistive Technology	No	
	Support Transportation	None	
	Extended School		
	Year/Intersession	Yes O No	
	Parent Counseling and Training (PCT)	Yes O No	
	ESY Transportation		
Accommodation, Modifications, Supports	ESY Transportation Instructional Accommodations		

		Provide Shir with language stimulation activities/instruction, as well as sound discrimination activities/instruction for place	
		and manner of articulation for target sounds (auditory bombardment and practice in small increments, such as sound-to-syllable to word-to-sentence to conversational levels, and encourage	
		imitation). Match tasks/assignments to interests and ability levels. Provide ample opportunity and encouragement to use verbal expressions (e.g. greetings, sing-along activities, play activities/games, such as Simon Says, etc.), pair oral/verbal instructions with non-verbal visual feedback/gestures/cues, as needed. Provide a long response time. Provide opportunities to engage in adult-directed games and social interactions with adult/peer models of grammatical phrases/sentences, using clear speech with correct articulation of sounds. As needed, provide frequent direct/indirect cues, models, and explanations (repeated instructions) on how to self-monitor and produce age-appropriate language independently.	
		,,	
	Instructional Modifications	11	
	Other Supports, including Non- Academic and Extra- curricular Activities	<i>,</i>	
Preparation for Three Year Review IEP (Complete at second	Is formal assessment needed to re-establish eligibility?	Yes O No	
annual review IEP Meeting	If yes, specify area(s) to be reassessed		
		Comments, as appropriate	
Low Incidence Equipment			
Assistive Technology Equipment			
Participation in General Education			

				Page 16 of 18
	INDIVIDUALIZ	ZED EDUCATION P	ROGRAM (IEP)	
Los Angeles Unified School District				IEP FAPE Part 2 - Summary of Services
Student BASHAN	SHIR	Date of Birth 1	8-MAR-2014	Meeting Date 06-JUN-2017
Notes:		Effe	ective With This I	EP Future Changes Related To This IEP
				al funded services unless parent(s) signs a Parent occdural Rights and Safeguards).
Part 3 - Percentage				on
0/ of Time W-1	side of Consuel Education	Eff	ective With this II	EP Future Changes Related to this IEP
% of Time per Week out	side of General Education		.0 %	
Part 4 - Additional I	Discussion (Thi	s section is	optional)	
				residence, and recommends the
phonological program (PHONO)	because of age-appropr	iateness, social	peer interact	
provide transportation.	is one time per week to	or 90 minutes and	is a parent-p	articipation program. Parent will

								Page 17
			INDIVIDUAI	LIZED EDUCAT	ION PROGRAM (IE	P)		
os Angeles Unific	ed School D	District						
Student BASHA	N	S	SHIR	Date o	of Birth 18-MAR-201	4	Meeting Dat	te 06-JUN-2017
				FAPE Summar	y Grid			
rogram:	РРН			ing:		al Education		
Eligibility:	Eligible (SLI)			riculum:		al Education		
ransportation:	<u> </u>	None		Lov	v Incident Support:	None		
Service Code	Service Desc	Start Date	Service Applies To	Interval	Frequency	Area	Total Minutes	Addresses Goal(s)
				,		,		,
				For IEP Team In	ormation			

Page 18 of 18

	ngeles Unified School District ALIZED EDUCATION PROGRA	ATTACHMENT A M			
	AIRMENT (SLI) ELIGIBILITY (
Student: SHIR BASHAN	Date of Birth: 18-MAR-2014	Meeting Date: 06-JUN-2017			
This page is to be completed for initial IEPs, 3-year evaluations, or whether SLI eligibility is appropriate. Complete Step 1a or 1b		age evaluation has been conducted to determine			
Step 1a. General Education Interventions - Check items as co					
 Intervention strategies implemented, including English Langu development regarding language standards in the curriculum a speech therapist for appropriate classroom accommodations, a Learner). Intervention support monitored over several weeks, and modified the several weeks. 	and referral for Special Education, cor consultation with the SSPT that include	nsultation between the classroom teacher and school des an EL expert if student is identified as an English			
☐ Interventions were not successful, student referred for special	education assessment.				
Screening by a speech therapist or a student success team med an appropriate screening for non-LAUSD enrolled preschool		ith the focus being speech and language concerns OR			
Step 1b. Interventions Not Applicable		1.4. 1.4. 1.4.			
✓ Interventions not applicable for non-LAUSD enrolled presch		ry by the speech therapist.			
Step 2. Review of Pre-referral Information - Check items as a					
The speech or language delay does not appear to be due to un					
The delay does not appear to be due to a lack of instruction in		language experience.			
The delay does not appear to be due to environmental factors.					
The delay does not appear to be due to economic factors.					
The delay does not appear to be due to social or cultural factor	rs.				
Step 3. Assessment - Check either A or B, and complete the re	emaining items				
 A. Student has received an assessment by a school psycholo impairment is suspected) OR 	gist that gives an indication of where	the student's general ability lies. (if a language			
☐ B. A psychological assessment is not required if the suspector	ed area of disability is voice, fluency of	or articulation.			
Student has received a health assessment that rules out w	hether an inability to communicate ef	fectively is a result of a health or sensory condition.			
A credentialed or licensed speech therapist has conducted consists of multiple measures of assessment, including be necessary), formal speech/language sample, parent intervi- settings.	out not limited to standardized test inst	ruments (or alternate forms of assessment if			
Complete Step 4					
Step 4. Determination of Eligibility of Speech Language Impa	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	al education eligibility)			
A. Student meets one or more of the following criteria (chec	k each disorder that applies):				
A language disorder, which has been identified in an assifollowing areas of language development: morphology, mean or below the 7th percentile for the student's chron sample where the student displays inappropriate or inad considered to be invalid for the specific student, the exp the assessment plan [5 CCR 3030 (c)(4)].	syntax, semantics, or pragmatics who ological age or developmental level at equate usage of receptive and/or expr	are the resulting scores are at least 1.5 S.D. below the ad a 50-utterance representative spontaneous language essive language. Note: When standardized tests are			
An articulation disorder (e.g., Pronunciation), which draws adverse attention, significantly interferes with communication and has been identified in an assessment that includes a conversational speech sample which reveals significant interference with communication and identifies single or multiple speech sound errors that are below the student's chronological age or developmental level.					
A fluency disorder (e.g., Stuttering), which has been ideaffects communication between the student and the liste					
A voice disorder (e.g., Chronic Hoarseness, Pitch Varia has been cleared by a Medical Doctor, in writing.	tions), which has been identified in a	n assessment by a speech therapist after the etiology			
B. The impairment has a significant adverse affect on the stu	dent's academic performance.				
C. The presenting Speech Language Impairment is not due t or social disadvantage, lack of instruction or the unfamiliarity.		s, poor school attendance, environmental, economic,			
Complete Step 5	() 6				
Step 5. Consideration for additional special education services		manial advantion anadomic armites and demonstrate the			
✓ A. In the event a student with eligibility of Speech Language updated District psychoeducational evaluation has been comp					
B. Student is not being considered for additional special educ					

If the student is eligible, the IEP Team must consider service delivery models based on the student's identified needs and appropriate placement in the least restrictive environment. Additionally, the IEP team should also include teacher and parent participation in the implementation of goals

https://welligent.lausd.net/pls/iepweb/xml_utilities.PRINT_IEP?SID=2692414&F_IEPID=1

and supports and accommodations to ensure achievement of goals and objectives in a time-efficient manner and carry-over to multiple communication contexts.