Los Angeles Unified School District

Student Identification Number 111313F008

Eligible (SLI)

Student BULGARO

Least First MI

Student BULGARO	LEA	Date of Birth 13-NOV-2013
Last	First	MI
	Section A: Mee	eting Information
Pertinent Date	es	Type of Meeting
Date of Initial IEP Team Meeting	12-JAN-2017	Amendment of IEP dated
Date of Present Meeting	12-JAN-2017	Initial
Annual Review to be conducted by	12-JAN-2018	Annual Review Early Start Transition
Next Three Year Review will be conducted by	31-MAY-2018	Three Year Review
Three Year Review or Evaluation was conducte	d on 12-JAN-2017	Other Individual Transition Plan
Transition to Kindergarten to be conducted by	31-MAY-2018	Individual Hanston Filan
Location of Meeting: Preschool Assessment	Center @ Melvin	District Name: Los Angeles Unified School District
	Section B: Stu	dent Information
Date of Birth 13-NOV-2013 Age 3	Grade -1 Gender	Male Female Limited English Proficient Student Yes No
Ethnic Code Unknown		
Location of the Psych Folder: SUPPORT UNIT	NORTH	Student has no Psych Folder:
Location of the Cum Folder:	TOKIII	Student has no Cum Folder:
Home Language	Student Language	SMACH RES HE COM I SMACH
Alternate Mode of Communication		
Home Address of Student 14234 DELANO ST	<u>` #1</u>	
City VAN NUYS		CA ZIP Code 91401
Home Telephone (818) 213-0437 Days	ime Telephone	Emergency Telephone
School of Attendance Sp Ed Inf/Pre (1017)		Location Code 1017
School of Residence Van Nuys El		Location Code 7438
Name of Parent/Guardian Shavit & Gadi Bulgar	o Telephone	е
Address 14234 DELANO ST #1		
City VAN NUYS CA ZIP C	ode 91401	
Surrogate Parent	Telephone	
Attends CURRENT SCHOOL as a result of or	ne of the following:	
Preschool Program	▼	
Is the student living in a Family Foster Home (F	FH)? No Yes FFH#	Is FFH Provider related to student? O No Yes
Relationship		
Licensed Children's Institution   No Yes	LCI Name	LCI#
Out of home placement made by: Opepartme	nt of Mental Health O Departm	nent of Children's Services Regional Center Superior Court
Other	Child's family livi	ng within LAUSD's boundaries? No Yes
If the student is 18 years old or older or is an em	ancinated minor, does he/she have	e educational decision-making rights?

Los A	s Angeles Unified School District IEP Page 2 a b c of 19								
Stud	lent BULGARO	LEA			Date of Birth 13-NOV-2013	Meeting Date 12-JAN-2017			
			S	ection	C: Language Acquisition				
Pare Elen Seco	rent Waiver: Yes No ementary English Language Development Level: Start Date: condary English Language Development Level: Start Date: communication Observation Matrix Level: Start Date: Section D: Goal Achievement from Current LEP								
	Section D: Goal Achievement from Current IEP								
	Achieved								
Goa 1.	al for: (example - Reading)		_		If No, explain the reason the goal/objecti	ve was not achieved			
1.	Objective 1 met		0	0					
┢	Objective 2 met		0	0					
2.	objective 2 met		0	0					
Ë	Objective 1 met		0	0					
	Objective 2 met		0	0					
3.			0	0					
	Objective 1 met		0	0					
	Objective 2 met		0						
4.				0					
	Objective 1 met		0	0					
	Objective 2 met		0	0					
5.			0	0					
	Objective 1 met								
	Objective 2 met		0						
6.			0						
	Objective 1 met		0	0					
	Objective 2 met		0						
7.			0						
	Objective 1 met		0	0					
L	Objective 2 met		0	0					
8.			0	0					
	Objective 1 met		0	0					
	Objective 2 met		0	0					
9.			0						
<u> </u>	Objective 1 met		0	0					
	Objective 2 met		0	0					
10.			0	0					
<u> </u>	Objective 1 met		0	0					
	Objective 2 met		0						

#### INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)

Los Angeles Unified School District

IEP Page 3 a b c d e f g h i j of 19

Student	BULGARO	LEA	D	Date of Birth 13-N	NOV-2013	<b>Meeting Date</b>	12-JAN-2017

Section E: Present Level of Performance						
Performance Area:	Language-Receptive					
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:	PLS5, Observations, Parent Interview, and Language Sample					
State/District Assessment Results:	N/A					

Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance):



Background: Lea currently receives speech and language therapy twice weekly for 60-minute sessions through the regional center.

Strengths: Lea?s oral motor function is adequate and does not hinder her ability for successful speech production. The PLS 5 reveals the following strengths in receptive language, identifies basic body parts, identifies things you wear, and understands the verbs eat, drink, and sleep in context.

Needs: Lea demonstrates a need for language and speech services in the area of language. She needs to follow two-step directions.

Impact of Disability: Lea?s current language skills may negatively impact her ability to access the general education curriculum appropriately and effectively.

Performance Area: Language-Expressive

Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: PLS5, Observations, Parent Interview, and Language Sample

State/District Assessment Results:

Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance):

Background: Lea currently receives speech and language therapy twice weekly for 60-minute sessions through the regional center.

Strengths: The PLS 5 reveals the following strengths in the area of expressive language, Lea exhibits the following strengths, uses at least five words, uses gestures and vocalizations to request objects, and demonstrates joint attention. Lea?s voice (pitch and tone) is appropriate for her age and gender. Her fluency is also appropriate; she does not demonstrate any dysfluent (stuttering) speech.

Needs: Lea demonstrates a need for language and speech services in the area of language. She needs to use two to three word phrases to communicate her needs and wants.

Impact of Disability: Lea?s current language skills may negatively impact her ability to access the general education curriculum appropriately and effectively.

Los Angeles Unified School District

IEP Page 3 a b c d e f g h i j of 19

Student BULGARO

LEA

Date of Birth 13-NOV-2013

Meeting Date 12-JAN-2017

	Section E: Present Level of Performance					
Performance Area:	Health					
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:	parent interview					
State/District Assessment Results:						
Current Performance/Assessment Sumr	nary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): 🕡					
Parent has declined LAUSD Health asmt: Parent has no concerns with student?s health.						
vaginal delivery. Mother wa documenting 3.430 grams (pos There are no reports of hosp	Per phone interview with mother on 11/16/16, Lea was born at 40 weeks (plus a few days) gestation via vaginal delivery. Mother was not able to recall birth weight in pounds; however, found paperwork documenting 3.430 grams (possibly kilograms). There were no complications before, during, or after delivery. There are no reports of hospitalizations or surgeries, no allergies to food or medications, no concerns with vision or hearing, and no medical diagnosis. She will be seeing her pediatrician on 11/30/16.					
weekly through the Regional	ays in language development. She received Language and Speech services twice Center for 3 months until she turned 3 years of age. Parent reports that she se since receiving those services.					
Performance Area:						
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:						
State/District Assessment Results:						
Current Performance/Assessment Sumr	nary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): 🕡					

Los Angeles Unified School District

IEP Page 3 a b c d e f g h i j of 19

Student BULGARO

LEA

Date of Birth 13-NOV-2013

Meeting Date 12-JAN-2017

	Section E: Present Level of Performance						
Performance Area:	Cognitive Ability						
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:	Mullen, DP 3, observation, intreview						
State/District Assessment Results:							
Current Performance/Assessment Sumr	mary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance):						
At this time it is difficult to provide an accurate estimate of Lea's cognitive functioning as she did not participate in many of the tasks presented. However, based information gathered via observation and interview on the Developmental Profile Third Edition (DP3), Lea's cognitive functioning falls in the low average range.							
the average range. Her perf receptive language tasks, Le action word in pictures (sle	Lea's profile as examined on the MSEL reflects comparatively stronger skills in visual reception, falling in the average range. Her performance on fine motor tasks was in the below average range. In terms of receptive language tasks, Lea identified 7 body parts, understood the preposition 'in', and identified one action word in pictures (sleeping). She was observed to attend to words and movements and understand simple verbal input and gestures and commands. Challenges appear to be evident in the area of expressive language.						
	the validity of the findings include: attention challenges and self-directed and he aforementioned factors, Lea's performance may represent an underestimate of						
Educational Impact: A genera	l ability/cognition impact was not identified at this time.						
_							
Performance Area:	School Readiness						
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:	Mullen, DP 3, observation, intreview						
State/District Assessment Results:							
Current Performance/Assessment Sumr	nary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): 🕡						
Overall, Lea's current funct chronological age , based on	ioning in school readiness is fairly developing as expected given the student?s performance on the Mullen Scales of Early Learning (MSEL) and information interview on the Developmental Profile Third Edition (DP3).						
Lea demonstrates relative st first name) pre-mathematics naming items in a book), and	rengths in general fund (identifying and labeling body parts, providing her (differentiation of shapes and sizes); pre-reading (attending to a book and pre-writing.						
No areas of significant need	were identified at this time.						
Educational Impact: An acade	mic performance/school readiness impact was not identified at this time.						

Los Angeles Unified School District

IEP Page 3 a b c d e f g h i j of 19

Student BULGARO	LEA	Date of Birth 13-NOV-2013	Meeting Date 12-JAN-2017				
Section E: Present Level of Performance							
Performance Area:	Language/Communica	tion					
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: Mullen, DP 3, observation, interview							
State/District Assessment Results:							
Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance):							
Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance):  Lea lives in a predominantly Hebrew speaking home. Reportedly, Lea has a speaking vocabulary of approximately of 50 words. Familiar and unfamiliar listeners understand about 70-80 percent of her speech. Lea usually communicates with the use of words but is not combining words into phrases at this time.  Overall, Lea's language skills are found to be in the low average range to the below average range.  Based on the mother?s responses on the Developmental Profile 3, Lea evidences the following relative strengths: imitating a sentence of two or more words, following two verbal step directions, verbalizing the names of people who are not seen every week, and understanding non-verbal gestures.  Lea evidences the following needs/challenges: using an age appropriate speaking vocabulary, combining words into phrases, and consistently answering simple questions. Furthermore, the language and speech therapist indicates that Lea demonstrates a need for language and speech services in the area of language. She needs to use two to three word phrases to communicate her needs and wants.  Educational Impact: Refer to the IEP team meeting for educational impact and recommendations.							
Performance Area:	Motor						
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:	Mullen, DP 3, observat	ion, interview					
State/District Assessment Results:							
Current Performance/Assessment Summ	nary (include student streng	gths, student needs and impact of disability on studer	nt performance): 🕡				
Overall, Lea's motor skills	are found to be in t	the average range.					
Based on the mother's responses on the Developmental Profile 3, Lea evidences the following relative strengths: walking upstairs and down stairs with alternating feet, throwing a ball to an adult who is standing five feet away, stacking at least 8 blocks, purposefully building a bridge with three blocks, and copying an up and down straight line. Due to attention challenges and self-directed and refusal behaviors, her performance on the Fine Motor scale on the MSEL appears to be an underestimate of her true abilities. Mother reports that Lea copies a horizontal line and a circle. She was observed manipulating small objects with ease.							
No needs/challenges were ide	ntified.						
Educational Impact: A motor	skill impact was no	t identified at this time.					

Los Angeles Unified School District

IEP Page 3 a b c d e f g h i j of 19

Los I Ing.					in ruge o a c c a	7 8 11 1 01 17	_
Student	BULGARO	LEA	Date of Birth	13-NOV-2013	Meeting Date	12-JAN-2017	

	Section E: Present Level of Performance					
Performance Area:	Social Emotional					
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:	Mullen, DP 3, Connor BEH, observation, interview					
State/District Assessment Results:						
Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance):						
Overall, Lea's social emotion	onal status is found to be in the average range.					
Based on informal interviews and the mother?s responses on formal rating scales, Lea evidences the following relative strengths: age appropriate approachability, adaptability, mood, social engagement, and play skills.						
Throughout the assessment Lea demonstrated challenges in attending to, participating, and complying with adult directed activities. However, these relative challenges are not reported to be pervasive within the home setting.						
Educational Impact: A social	emotional status impact was not identified at this time.					
Performance Area:	Adaptive Behavior					
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:	Mullen, DP 3, Connors, observation, interview					
State/District Assessment Results:						
Current Performance/Assessment Sum	mary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): 🕡					
	aptive behavior is found to be in the average range.					
	nses on the Developmental Profile 3, Lea evidences the following relative or self-feeding, dressing, basic hygiene, and use of technology. Furthermore, onstrates safety awareness.					
No needs/challenges were ide	entified.					
Educational Impact: A self-h	nelp/adaptive behavior impact was not identified at this time.					

Los Angeles Unified School District IEP Page 4 of 19 Student BULGARO LEA Date of Birth 13-NOV-2013 Meeting Date 12-JAN-2017 Section F: Eligibility If applicable, areas discussed related to disability or suspected disability: health, general ability, academic function, motor ability, language and speech, social/emotional, self-help adaptive For Initial IEP, interventions attempted prior to determining eligibility: Eligible as a student with the disability of: Code: SLI Speech Or Language Impairment Not Applicable,
 Blind or
 Partially Sighted Additional Low Incidence Eligibility (only for VI, DBL, DEA, HOH, or severe OI): Code: ■ Not Applicable, 
■ Blind or 
■ Partially Sighted Does not meet eligibility criteria for Special Education Services (Initial IEP). No Longer Eligible for Special Education Services (Review IEP). No Longer Eligible (Effective Date): This is a Final IEP, the student remains eligible for Special Education Services until the Effective Date below. Final IEP Reason:

The IEP Team has considered and agrees that the educational needs of the student are not primarily due to:

Final IEP Effective Date:

Social Maladjustment Temporary Physical Disability Lack of instruction in reading Lack of instruction in math Limited English Proficiency Environmental, Cultural or Economic Factors

Los Angeles Unified School District IEP Page 5 a b c d e f g h i j of 19 Student BULGARO LEA Date of Birth 13-NOV-2013 Meeting Date 12-JAN-2017 Section G: Annual Goals and Objectives Performance Area: Language-Receptive Annual Goal # Lea will follow two-step directions, given minimal verbal and visual prompts, in 4 out of 5 opportunities or 80% accuracy. Progress on annual goals to be reported to parents by completing the "IEP Report of Progress and Achievement from Current IEP" form(s) which will be provided at either Progress Report or Report Card periods. **Methods of Evaluation:** State Assessments Norm Referenced Criterion Referenced Curriculum Based Observation Portfolio Other: Work Samples Informal Incremental objective #1 related to the goal: Incremental objective #2 related to the goal: Lea will follow two-step directions, given minimal Lea will follow two-step directions, given minimal verbal and visual prompts, in 2 out of 5 opportunities verbal and visual prompts, in 3 out of 5 opportunities or 40% accuracy. or 60% accuracy. Date to be achieved September ▼ 2017 ▼ MO/YR Date to be achieved | May ▼ 2017 ▼ MO/YR IEP REPORT OF PROGRESS AND ACHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT IEP EXPLANATION OF MARKS 4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 3 SUBSTANTIAL PROGRESS (50-99% of goal met) 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of goal met) 1 NO PROGRESS 1st Reporting Period 2nd Reporting Period 3rd Reporting Period 4th Reporting Period Goal Achievement (Secondary Only) Date: Date: Date: Date: Objective 1 Met: Progress Mark: Progress Mark: Progress Mark: Progress Mark: O Yes O No Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? annual goal? annual goal? annual goal? Objective 2 Met: O Yes O No If "No" please comment: If "No" please comment: If "No" please comment: If "No" please comment: If "No" please explain: Needs More Time Needs More Time Needs More Time ■ Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Excess Absence/Tardy Excess Absence/Tardy Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not Assignments Not Assignments Not Assignments Not Completed Completed Completed Completed ■ Need to review/revise Goal Need to review/revise Goal Need to review/revise Goal Need to review/revise Goal Other Other Other Other

IEP Page 5 a b c d e f g h i j of 19 Los Angeles Unified School District Student BULGARO LEA Date of Birth 13-NOV-2013 Meeting Date 12-JAN-2017 Section G: Annual Goals and Objectives Performance Area: Language-Expressive Annual Goal # Lea will use two to three word phrases to communicate her needs and wants when answering wh-questions, given minimal verbal and visual prompts, in 4 out of 5 opportunities or 80% accuracy. Progress on annual goals to be reported to parents by completing the "IEP Report of Progress and Achievement from Current IEP" form(s) which will be provided at either Progress Report or Report Card periods. **Methods of Evaluation:** State Assessments Norm Referenced Criterion Referenced Curriculum Based Observation Portfolio Other: Work Samples Informal Incremental objective #1 related to the goal: Incremental objective #2 related to the goal: Lea will use two to three word phrases to communicate Lea will use two to three word phrases to communicate her needs and wants when answering wh-questions, given her needs and wants when when answering wh-questions, minimal verbal and visual prompts, in 2 out of 5 given minimal verbal and visual prompts, in 3 out of 5 opportunities or 40% accuracy. opportunities or 60% accuracy. Date to be achieved September ▼ 2017 ▼ MO/YR Date to be achieved | May ▼ 2017 ▼ MO/YR IEP REPORT OF PROGRESS AND ACHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT IEP **EXPLANATION OF MARKS** 4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 3 SUBSTANTIAL PROGRESS (50-99% of goal met) 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of goal met) 1 NO PROGRESS 1st Reporting Period 2nd Reporting Period 3rd Reporting Period 4th Reporting Period Goal Achievement (Secondary Only) Date: Date: Date: Date: Objective 1 Met: Progress Mark: Progress Mark: Progress Mark: Progress Mark: ls progress sufficient to meetls progress sufficient to meetls progress sufficient to meetls progress sufficient to meet O Yes O No annual goal? annual goal? annual goal? annual goal? Objective 2 Met: O Yes O No If "No" please comment: If "No" please comment: If "No" please comment: If "No" please comment: If "No" please explain: Needs More Time Needs More Time Needs More Time ■ Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Excess Absence/Tardy Excess Absence/Tardy Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not Assignments Not Assignments Not Assignments Not Completed Completed Completed Completed ■ Need to review/revise Goal Need to review/revise Goal Need to review/revise Goal Need to review/revise Goal Other Other Other Other

		Individualized Education Pro	gram (IEP)	
	INDIVIDUA	LIZED EDUCATION PRO	OGRAM (IEP)	
os Angeles Unified School Dis	strict			IEP Page 7 of 19
Student BULGARO	LEA	Date of Birth 13	3-NOV-2013	Meeting Date 12-JAN-2017
	Assessments administered will	pation in State and District- conform to those assessments Education and/or the Los Ang	s determined for each	
RDP-A - (Adaptations identified b	pelow are applicable)			

Los Angeles Unified School District IEP Page 9 of 19

Student BULGARO	LEA		Date of Birth 13-NOV-2013	Meeting Date 12-JAN-2017				
	Section	N: Proced	lural Safeguards and Follow-up Actions					
A Parent's Guide to Special I	Education Services incl	uding Pro	cedural Rights & Safeguards was provided to	the parent in his/her primary language.				
✓ The IEP Team Meeting Introductory Statements were read aloud at the beginning of the IEP Team meeting.								
The parent/guardian was informed of his/her right to a written translation of the IEP.								
Is the parent/guardian requesti	ng translation services?	Yes	No O					
If yes, the parent/guardian	has requested a written	translation	of the IEP in Hebrew					
Specify the Individual Pag	es to be translated:							
Special Requests:								
	-14 4 4-44 4		di()	-i-i				
For students who are 17 years student at 18 years of age, unless the			dian(s) have been informed that the educational de	cision-making rights will transfer to the				
	TH	HIS SPAC	E DELIBERATELY LEFT BLANK.					

Los Angeles Unified School District

IEP Page 10 of 19

Student BULGARO	LEA	Date of	f Birth 13-NOV-20	013	Meeting Date 12-JAN-2017
		Section Q: Parent Part	icipation and Con		
	Parent Participation			Parent Notific	
	s participated in the IEP meeting.		Method Phone	Whom ckwan	When 28-OCT-2016
attend.  Parent/Student (18-21) was Parent/Student (18-21) did not meeting was held without the F	as notified 3 times of the meeting respond to any of the meeting or arent/Student (18-21) present	time and place. tifications and the		wledge that the IEP meeting w	was rescheduled to this date at my LY if the PARENT requested that the
them if they did not attend.		•		·	
	Parent/Studen	t (18-21) Agreement t	o Components of	the Proposed IEP	
	ny agree to all or some of the com- elay providing instruction and ser		EP. The District wi	ll implement those portions o	f the IEP to which the parent/student
Parent/Student (18-21) AC	GREES to all components of the	IEP.			
Parent/Student (18-21) AC	GREES to all components of the	proposed IEP WITH T	HE SPECIFIC E	XCEPTION(S) stated below	v:
	Specify	· ·			
Assessment					
Eligibility	Specify				
Instructional Setting	Specify				
	Specify				
Services					
A Parent/Student (18-21) is n parent/student (18-21) does w	ot required to initiate any form of rish to initiate a form of dispute relication, A Parent's Guide to Specification,	dispute resolution as to	components of the	sed IEP, the parent can find i	
		Parent Concerns	and Comments		
Signature(s)	/			Date 12-JAN-2017	
Parent Guardian	Student age 18-21 years	O Surr	ogate Parent	Emancipated Minor	Foster Parent
Did the school district facilitate	e parent involvement as a means of	of improving services a	nd results for your	child? • Yes O No O	No Response
	e received a copy of the Parent In fter the IEP meeting	put Survey regarding th	ne IEP process. I un	derstand that my completion	of the form is voluntary and can be
Signature(s)				Date 12-JAN-2017	

#### PARENT INPUT SURVEY

Would you please take a moment to complete the survey below.

The information you provide will help us improve the Individualized Education Program (IEP) process. Thank you in advance for your time and interest.

## ALL INFORMATION IS CONFIDENTIAL

DIRECTIONS: After each statement, please place an X on the line that describes your experience with your child's IEP.

A.	Regarding your child's current IEP:	Yes	No	Does Not Apply
1.	I am satisfied with the IEP meeting.			
2.	I feel that the IEP accurately reflects the decisions made at the IEP meeting.			
3.	I received notice of the IEP meeting.			
4.	I received "The IEP and You" handbook with the notice of the IEP meeting.			
5.	During the IEP process I received "A Parent's Guide to Special Education Services (Including Procedural Rights and Safeguards)" explaining my rights as a parent.			
6.	The IEP meeting was held in an appropriate setting.			
7.	I feel I was treated as an equal and important part of the IEP team.			
8.	The participants at the IEP meeting were prepared and informed.			
9.	Placements for my child, including the general education setting, were discussed and decided upon.			
10.	Related services were discussed and decided upon, if relevant.			
11.	If my child is 14 years old or older, an Individualized Transition Plan (ITP) was developed with my child's and my concerns in mind.			
12.	At the end of the IEP meeting the decisions were summarized.			
13.	If I needed an oral interpretation of the IEP team meeting an interpreter was provided.			
14.	The interpretation of the IEP team meeting enabled me to participate and make an informed decision regarding my child's IEP during the IEP meeting.			
15.	The interpreter stayed for the duration of the IEP team meeting.			
16.	If the interpreter left the IEP meeting before it was over, another staff member served as the interpreter and the interpretation was adequate.			
17.	I am aware that, if I am dissatisfied with the adequacy of the oral interpretation at the IEP team meeting, I can file a complaint with the Educational Equity Compliance Office at (213) 241-7682.			
18.	If I needed a written translation of the IEP, translation services were offered.			
19.	I am aware that, if I do not receive a copy of the translated IEP, I can contact the site administrator or call the Division of Special Education at (213) 241-6701.			
si	any of the answers to items 13–16 or 18–19 was No, please discuss your concern(s) with the te administrator or call the Division of Special Education at (213) 241-6701.			
	Regarding your child's previous IEP (if relevant):			
20.	I am satisfied that my child received the services described on the previous IEP.  (If your answer to this question is "No", please write concerns below.)			
		9	Addition	al Comments

se write below or	call the Parent Resource Ne	twork at 1-800-933-813	5.	
Please	fold along dotted lines v	vith the address shov Again, Thank y	ving. Seal and mail. Posta ou!	ge is pre-paid.
				NO POSTA NECESSA IF MAILE IN THE
	BUSINE FIRST-CLASS MAIL P	ESS REPL ERIJIT NO. 33798 LOS	Y MAIL ANGELES CA 90051	UNITED STA
	POSTAGE	WILL BE PAID BY ADD	RESSEE	
	LOS ANG	RENT RESOURCE ELES UNIFIED SC 513307 ELES CA 90099-	HOOL DISTRICT	
		II.	lallarllarlalalalalallarlala	dhhall
5				
-				
English				
English				

Date of Birth 13-NOV-2013

## INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)

Los Angeles Unified School District

LEA

Student BULGARO

IEP Page 11 of 1	9
Reconvened Meeting Date	

Meeting Date 12-JAN-2017

Section R: Names and Signatures (Signatures on File)						
Team Member	Print Name	Signature				
Parent/Guardian	Shavit Bulgaro					
Parent/Guardian	Gadi Bulgaro					
Student Age 18 - 21 years						
Student Under Age 18 years						
Surrogate Parent						
Foster Parent						
Family Foster Home Provider						
Administrator						
Administrative Designee	Sofia Klotzman					
Special Education Teacher	Cindy Kwan					
General Education Teacher	Martha Martinez					
School Psychologist						
School Nurse						
Related Service Staff LAS	Danita Clark					
Related Service Staff						
Related Service Staff						
Interpreter	Mary Jane Shubow					
Sign Language Interpreter						
Agency Representative						
Agency Representative						
Agency Representative						
Other						
Other						
Other						
Other						

Los Ang	geles Unified School District					
Student	BULGARO	LEA	Date of Birth	13-NOV-2013	Meeting Date	12-JAN-2017

		LEAST RESTRICTIVE ENVIRONMENT ANALYSIS To Be Completed By the IEP Team at the IEP Team Meeting							
				Student's Current	Placement Type:				
	General Education Class/General Education Site  Special Day Program/General Education Site								
	O Sp	ecial Day P	rogram/Spec	cial Education Center	Nonpublic School				
Γ	Оно	me/Hospita	ıl or Residen	tial Care Facility					
<u>I</u>	DIREC	TIONS: Co	mplete the in	nformation below as part of the IEP team discussio that indic	n regarding placement from the beginning at Step $A$ until the team reaches the Step ates YES.				
r	estrictivids and	ve setting sl l services ca	nould only o	ccur if the nature or severity of the student's disabili	disabilities be educated in the least restrictive environment. Placement in a more ty is such that placement in a less restrictive setting with the use of supplementary of a student's required supports, services, accommodations and modifications is not npelling reason why they cannot be provided.				
Г	Step	Can the cur	nnorte carvi	ces accommodations and/or modifications in the st	udent's IEP be made available in a general education classroom/setting?				
	A.	O YES	NO NO	<u>'</u>	assroom/setting is the appropriate placement. If the answer is NO, go to the				
YES NO NO If not currently available, can the required supports, services, accommodations and/or modifications be made available education classroom/setting? If YES, all required supports, services, accommodations and/or modifications must be presented in the commodation areasonable timeline. If the answer is NO, please articulate why in the box below. Then go to Step B.									
1									

Step	Can the su	Can the supports, services, accommodations and/or modifications in the student's IEP be made available on a general education site in a special day progra							
В.	O YES	○ NO	If the answer is YES, then a special day program on a general education site is the appropriate placement. If the answer is NO, go to the question below.						
	O YES	○ <sub>NO</sub>	If not currently available, can the required supports, services, accommodations and/or modifications be made available in a special day program on a general education site? If YES, all required supports, services, accommodations and/or modifications must be provided within a reasonable timeline. If the answer is NO, please articulate why in the box below. Then go to Step C.						

Los Angeles Unified School District

Student BULGARO LEA Date of Birth 13-NOV-2013 Meeting Date 12-JAN-2017

#### ANNUAL LEAST RESTRICTIVE ENVIRONMENT ANALYSIS (Continued

			To Be Completed By the IEP Team at the IEP Team Meeting				
Step	Can the sup	pports, servi	ices, accommodations and/or modifications in the student's IEP be made available in a special school setting?				
C.	O YES	○ NO	If the answer is YES, then a special school setting is the appropriate placement. If the answer is NO, go to the question below.				
	YES NO If not currently available, can the required supports, services, accommodations and/or modifications be made av school setting? If YES, all required supports, services, accommodations and/or modifications must be provided timeline. If the answer is NO, please articulate why in the box below. Then go to Step D.						
Step	Can the sup	pports, servi	ices, accommodations and/or modifications in the student's IEP be made available in a home/hospital setting?				
D.	O YES	If the answer is YES, then a home/hospital setting is the appropriate placement. If the answer is NO, go to the question below.					
	YES NO NO If not currently available, can the required supports, services, accommodations and/or modifications be made available in a home/hospital setting? If YES, all required supports, services, accommodations and/or modifications must be provided within reasonable timeline. If the answer is NO, please articulate why in the box below. Then go to Step E.						
Step E.	Can the su	pports, servi	ices, accommodations and/or modifications in the student's IEP be made available in a residential care facility?				
	OYES	O <sub>NO</sub>	If not currently available, articulate in the IEP what supports, accommodations and/or modifications are required for the student in this setting.				

Los Angeles Unified School District

IEP FAPE Part 1 - Eligibility, Placements and Supports

Student BULGARO	LEA	Date of Birth 13-NOV-2013	Meeting Date 12-JAN-2017
		Effective With this IEP	Future Changes Related to this IEP
	As of Date:	12-JAN-2017	
		Eligible (SLI)	
Eligibility: (from Page 4)	Final IEP Reason Final IEP Effective Date:		//
Curriculum		General Education	
	Type of School	Preschooler Non-LAUSD/Not Headstart	
Placement	N 40 1 1	SP ED INF/PRE (1989)	
	Name of School	VAN NUYS EL	
Instructional Setting	Setting	DIS Only - Preschooler	
	Program	GE	
	Special Day Minutes/Wk		
	Addresses Goals	1(Language-Receptive),2(Language-Expressive)	//
Additional Factors	Low Incident Support	None	
	Assistive Technology Support	No	
	Transportation	None	
	Extended School		
	Year/Intersession	Yes O No	
	Parent Counseling and Training (PCT)	Yes O No	
	ESY Transportation		
Accommodation, Modifications, Supports	Instructional Accommodations	extra response time, visual and gestural cues	11
	Instructional Modifications		
	Other Supports, including Non- Academic and Extra- curricular Activities		
Preparation for Three Year Review IEP (Complete at second	Is formal assessment needed to re-establish eligibility?	Yes No	
annual review IEP Meeting	If yes, specify area(s) to be reassessed		
		Comments, as appropriate	
Low Incidence Equipment			
Assistive Technology Equipment			
Participation in General Education			

Los Angeles Unified School District IEP FAPE Part 2 - Summary of Services Student BULGARO LEA Date of Birth 13-NOV-2013 Meeting Date 12-JAN-2017 **Future Changes Effective With This IEP** Related To This IEP Effective on Signature Date Service 1 Start Date: 12-Jan-2017 10 End Date: Language/Speech Service applies to: Regular

Frequency:

This service addresses the following goals:

1(Language-Receptive) Minutes/Interval: 60
2(Language-Expressive) Minutes/Interval (Pullout from Gen

Service Delivery Model: Direct Service (By a Single Provider)\*

Area: School-Based

1-5

Weekly

Responsible Personnel: Licensed/Credentialed Provider

Notes:

Parents of students who are Medi-Cal eligible authorize LAUSD to submit claims for reimbursement by Medi-Cal funded services unless parent(s) signs a Parent Medi-Cal Non-Authorization to Bill form. Please see Parent's Guide to Special Education Services (including Procedural Rights and Safeguards).

Part 3 - Percentage of Time Outside of General Education						
	Effective With this IEP	Future Changes Related to this IEP				
% of Time per Week outside of General Education	.0 %					

# Part 4 - Additional Discussion (This section is optional)

The IEP team discussed the ILEAP program at Alta California Elementary as well as DIS-LAS at the school of residence to address Lea's language needs. Parent feels that it would not be possible to bring Lea to the afterschool program. Therefore, the IEP team recommends DIS-LAS service at the school of residence, Van Nuys El at the time/frequency on the service grid.

8/2017			Indivi	dualized Ed	lucation Progra	am (IEP)	
	INDI	VIDUALI	ZED ED	UCATION	PROGRAM (	(IEP)	
Los Angeles Unified School Distric	et						IEP Page 12 a b c d of 19
Student BULGARO	LEA			Date of Bir	th 13-NOV-20	013	Meeting Date 12-JAN-2017
·			FAPE Su	ımmary Gr	id		
Program: GE	,			Setting:			IS Only - Preschooler
	gible (SLI)			Curricul			eneral Education
Transportation: No				Low Inc	ident Suppor		one
Service Service Code Desc	Start Date	Service Applies To	Interval	Frequency	Area	Total Minutes	Addresses Goal(s)
10 Language/Speech Effective			Weekly	1-5	School-Based		Language-Receptive, Language-Expressive
		Fo	r IEP Te	am Informa	ntion		

■ By clicking this box the IEP team has reviewed the FAPE Summary Page to ensure that it reflects the IEP Team decisions.

	Los Angeles Unified School District ATTACHMENT A
	INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM
	SPEECH LANGUAGE IMPAIRMENT (SLI) ELIGIBILITY CERTIFICATION
G :	D . (D) 4 12 NOVI 2012
Stu	lent: LEA BULGARO Date of Birth: 13-NOV-2013 Meeting Date: 12-JAN-2017
	page is to be completed for initial IEPs, 3-year evaluations, or when a comprehensive speech-language evaluation has been conducted to determine ther SLI eligibility is appropriate.
	uplete Step 1a or 1b
	1a. General Education Interventions - Check items as completed
	Intervention strategies implemented, including English Language Instruction or Rtl2 prevention support (including but not limited to school staff development regarding language standards in the curriculum and referral for Special Education, consultation between the classroom teacher and school speech therapist for appropriate classroom accommodations, consultation with the SSPT that includes an EL expert if student is identified as an English Learner).
	Intervention support monitored over several weeks, and modified interventions as necessary based on student response.
	Interventions were not successful, student referred for special education assessment.
	Screening by a speech therapist or a student success team meeting (including a speech therapist) with the focus being speech and language concerns OR
	an appropriate screening for non-LAUSD enrolled preschoolers.
Step	1b. Interventions Not Applicable
<b>*</b>	Interventions not applicable for non-LAUSD enrolled preschoolers or when determined unnecessary by the speech therapist.
Step	2. Review of Pre-referral Information - Check items as applicable
<b>4</b>	The speech or language delay does not appear to be due to unfamiliarity with English.
•	The delay does not appear to be due to a lack of instruction in English, dialectical factors or limited language experience.
<b>*</b>	The delay does not appear to be due to environmental factors.
_	The delay does not appear to be due to economic factors.
<b>V</b>	The delay does not appear to be due to social or cultural factors.
	3. Assessment - Check either A or B, and complete the remaining items
	A. Student has received an assessment by a school psychologist that gives an indication of where the student's general ability lies. (if a language
	impairment is suspected) OR
	<b>B.</b> A psychological assessment is not required if the suspected area of disability is voice, fluency or articulation.
	Student has received a health assessment that rules out whether an inability to communicate effectively is a result of a health or sensory condition.
	A credentialed or licensed speech therapist has conducted a comprehensive evaluation, including assessment in the student's primary language, that consists of multiple measures of assessment, including but not limited to standardized test instruments (or alternate forms of assessment if necessary), formal speech/language sample, parent interview or checklist, teacher interview or checklist, and observation in various communication settings.
	aplete Step 4
_	4. Determination of Eligibility of Speech Language Impairment (as the only identified special education eligibility)
<b>4</b>	<b>A.</b> Student meets one or more of the following criteria (check each disorder that applies):
	A language disorder, which has been identified in an assessment that includes use of two or more standardized tests in one or more of the following areas of language development: morphology, syntax, semantics, or pragmatics where the resulting scores are at least 1.5 S.D. below the mean or below the 7th percentile for the student's chronological age or developmental level and a 50-utterance representative spontaneous language sample where the student displays inappropriate or inadequate usage of receptive and/or expressive language. Note: When standardized tests are considered to be invalid for the specific student, the expected language performance level shall be determined by alternative means as specified on the assessment plan [5 CCR 3030 (c)(4)].
	An articulation disorder (e.g., Pronunciation), which draws adverse attention, significantly interferes with communication and has been identified in an assessment that includes a conversational speech sample which reveals significant interference with communication and identifies single or multiple speech sound errors that are below the student's chronological age or developmental level.
	A fluency disorder (e.g., Stuttering), which has been identified in an assessment that demonstrates that the flow of verbal expression adversely affects communication between the student and the listener in multiple communication settings and the dysfluency has persisted over time.
	A voice disorder (e.g., Chronic Hoarseness, Pitch Variations), which has been identified in an assessment by a speech therapist after the etiology has been cleared by a Medical Doctor, in writing.
<b>/</b>	<b>B.</b> The impairment has a significant adverse affect on the student's academic performance.
<b>✓</b>	C. The presenting Speech Language Impairment is not due to: social maladjustment, health factors, poor school attendance, environmental, economic, or social disadvantage, lack of instruction or the unfamiliarity with the English language.
	aplete Step 5
	<ul> <li>5. Consideration for additional special education service(s): Complete A or B.</li> <li>A. In the event a student with eligibility of Speech Language Impairment is being considered for special education academic services and/or support, an</li> </ul>
	updated District psychoeducational evaluation has been completed to determine that SLI is the overarching eligibility.

If the student is eligible, the IEP Team must consider service delivery models based on the student's identified needs and appropriate placement in the least restrictive environment. Additionally, the IEP team should also include teacher and parent participation in the implementation of goals and supports and accommodations to ensure achievement of goals and objectives in a time-efficient manner and carry-over to multiple communication contexts.