IN	DIVIDUALIZED EDU	JCATION PROGRAM (I	Page 1 of 2: EP)
Los Angeles Unified School District			
Student Identification Number 062814M013			Eligible (SLI)
Student LEVI DANI	EL		Date of Birth 20 HIN 2014
Last	First	MI	Date of Birth 28-JUN-2014
	Section A: Mee	eting Information	
Pertinent Dates			Type of Meeting
Date of Initial IEP Team Meeting	08-JUN-2017	■ Initial	Amendment of IEP dated
Date of Present Meeting	08-JUN-2017	Initial	
Annual Review to be conducted by	08-JUN-2018	Annual Review	Early Start Transition
Next Three Year Review will be conducted by	31-MAY-2019	Three Year Review	Expulsion Analysis
Three Year Review or Evaluation was conducted on	08-JUN-2017	Other	☐ Individual Transition Plan
Transition to Kindergarten to be conducted by	31-MAY-2019		
Location of Meeting: Preschool Assessment Center	Melvin ES	District Name:	Los Angeles Unified School District
	Section B: Stud	dent Information	
Date of Birth 28-JUN-2014 Age 2 Gra	de -1 Gender	Male Female Limit	ted English Proficient Student Yes No
Ethnic Code Unknown			
Location of the Psych Folder: SUPPORT UNIT NORT	 H	Student has no Psych	n Folder:
Location of the Cum Folder:		Student has no Cum F	
Home Language Stude	ent Language		
Alternate Mode of Communication			
Home Address of Student 4790 EXCELENTE DR			
City WOODLAND HILLS			CA ZIP Code 91364
Home Telephone (818) 290-1096 Daytime Tele	ephone (818) 290-1096	Emergency Telepho	ne
School of Attendance Sp Ed Inf/Pre (1017)		Location Code	1017
School of Residence Calabash Ca		Location Code 2	
Name of Parent/Guardian Meital Gershoni/Yakir Abdy	Talanhan	e (818) 290-1096	
	Telephone	(818) 290-1090	
Address 4790 EXCELENTE DR			
City WOODLAND HILLS CA ZIP Code 91	364		
Surrogate Parent	Telephone		
Attends CURRENT SCHOOL as a result of one of the	e following:		
Preschool Program	▼		
Is the student living in a Family Foster Home (FFH)?	No Yes FFH#	Is FFH	Provider related to student? No Yes
Relationship			
Licensed Children's Institution No Yes LCI	Name		LCI#
Out of home placement made by: Department of M	ental Health Departm	nent of Children's Services	Regional Center Superior Court
Other	Child's family livi	ng within LAUSD's bound	laries? No Yes

If the student is 18 years old or older or is an emancipated minor, does he/she have educational decision-making rights? O No Yes

	IND	IVIDU	ALIZI	ED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)	Page 2 of 2
Los Angeles Unified School I Student LEVI	District DANIEL			Date of Birth 28-JUN-2014	Meeting Date 08-JUN-2017
			Section		
Language Classification:				Start Date:	Reclassification Date:
Parent Waiver: Yes					
Elementary English Langu				t Date:	
Secondary English Langua				Date:	
Communication Observation			Date:	al Achievement from Current IEP	
	,		ieved	Achievement from Current IEI	
Goal for: (example - Readin	(g)	Yes	No	If No, explain the reason the goal/object	ive was not achieved
1.			0		
Objective 1 met					
Objective 2 met					
2.					
Objective 1 met					
Objective 2 met					
3.					
Objective 1 met					
Objective 2 met		0			
4.					
Objective 1 met					
Objective 2 met					
5.					
Objective 1 met					
Objective 2 met		0			
6.					
Objective 1 met					
Objective 2 met		0			
7.		0	0		
Objective 1 met		0	0		
Objective 2 met		0	0		
8.		0			
Objective 1 met		0	0		
Objective 2 met			0		
9.			0		
Objective 1 met			0		
Objective 2 met		0	0		
10.			0		
Objective 1 met		0	0		
Objective 2 met					

			Page 3 of 25			
	INDIVIDUALIZ	ZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)				
Los Angeles Unified School District						
Student LEVI	DANIEL	Date of Birth 28-JUN-2014	Meeting Date 08-JUN-2017			
	Cartian E	. D 4 I 1 - f D f				
Performance Area:	Communication Section E	: Present Level of Performance				
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:	AS RECORD REVIEW					
State/District Assessment Results:						
Current Performance/Assessment Sumn	nary (include student strengths,	student needs and impact of disability on stud	ent performance):			
parent have been reviewed by appropriate as reported by Somo 30/06/2017. Please refer to levels are as follows:	this assessor. Informate aba Torabi, Speech-Lang the uploaded report at	gional Center services to LAUSD. Ination related to Speech and Lang guage Pathologist, MS CCC-SLP in stached to this document. Based or demonstrated age-appropriate prag	uage appears to still be the report dated n the review, present			
preverbal behavior. He demons appropriate eye contact. He an inhibitory word, identificunderstood verbs in context. demonstrated joint attention	Areas of Strength: Based on record review, Daniel demonstrated age-appropriate pragmatic skills and preverbal behavior. He demonstrated a sustained attention span, was observed to take turns and had appropriate eye contact. He demonstrated functional, relational ad self-directed play, ability to respond to an inhibitory word, identified body parts and clothing items on self, identified pictures of objects and understood verbs in context. He babbled two syllables together, used a representational gesture, demonstrated joint attention, participated in a play routine for at least one minute while using appropriate eye contact, imitated a word, and named objects in pictures.					
Continued below						
Performance Area:	Communication (CONTIN	UED)				
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:						
State/District Assessment Results:						
Current Performance/Assessment Sumn	mary (include student strengths	student needs and impact of disability on stud	lent performance);			
Areas of Need: Based on record review, Daniel?s Preschool Language Scales- Fifth Edition (PLS-5) and Rossetti Infant Toddler Language Scales scores revealed delays in the following areas: receptive Language (26 months; moderately delayed) and expressive language (PLS-5: 23 months- moderately delayed based on preverbal skills, Rossetti: 15-16 months, profoundly delayed). Receptively, Daniel did not yet recognize actions in pictures, understand pronouns, follow commands without gestures, understand use of objects, or understand spatial concepts. Expressively, Daniel did not yet use words more often than gestures to communicate, have an age-appropriate expressive vocabulary, use words for a variety of pragmatic functions, or use-two word phrases. Regarding articulation/phonological skills, Daniel did not produce enough true words to determine speech intelligibility. It is recommended that speech be monitored as expressive language increases.						
receptive and expressive lan	guage that could impacting his needs met, part	cional Performance: Daniel demons this ability to participate in the cicipating in classroom discussion eveloping peers.	he classroom environment.			
Yasmin Luque M.S., CCC-SLP Speech-Language Pathologist/ LAUSD Preschool Intake Team	Preschool Assessor					

9/2017		Individualized Education Program (IEP)				
	INDIVIDUAI	LIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)	Page 4 of 2:			
Los Angeles Unified School District						
Student LEVI	DANIEL	Date of Birth 28-JUN-2014	Meeting Date 08-JUN-2017			
	Section	n E: Present Level of Performance				
Performance Area:	Health					
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:	Parent interview, LAUSD Audio/Vision/Health screening 05/18/2017					
State/District Assessment Results:						
Current Performance/Assessment Sum	ımary (include student strengt	hs, student needs and impact of disability on stude	ent performance):			
Daniel is a 34 months old ma Birth history: Full term und	ale with a history of complicated pregnancy,	speech delay., resides in a Hebrew , normal delivery. B.W. 5 pounds and anut butter showing as rashes. She o	speaking household. d 8 ounces. HEALTH:			
months, walked at 12 months.	. He has limited vocab	xcept in the area of speech. Sat at bulary and not speaking in phrases. wice per day. Uses a pacifier.				
Current growth measurements normal. He is a picky eater,		ht at 39 inches weight at 29.4 pounc	ds. Weight lower than			
alert, active and ambulatory audio screen bilaterally on	y. Passed vision scree 05/18/2017. No hospit	es. is Independent in feeding. Diet: ening both eyes on 05/18/2017 using talizations, surgery, serious accide se of any prescription medications.	LEA symbol chart. Passed			
AREAS OF NEED: *Omit food he	e is allergic to (pear	nut butter, milk or milk products).				
IMPACT OF DISABILITY: Studen educational curriculum.	nt's health does not a	adversely affect participation, perf	Formance or access in her			
school. Request for special	diet to be completed food allergies. These	by his PMD for anti- allergy medicat by his PMD to document food that ne forms needs to be submitted at the	eeds to be omitted since			
*At IEP meeting mother wante butter but in moderation.	ed to include the foll	lowing: she states that Daniel can ϵ	eat dairy and peanut			
Performance Area:						
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:	:					
State/District Assessment Results:						
Current Performance/Assessment Summ	mary (include student strengt)	hs, student needs and impact of disability on stude	ent performance):			

/9/2017	Individualized Education Program (IEP)	

			AND EDVICATION PROCESS	Page 5
	INI	DIVIDUALIZ	ZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)	
os Angeles Unified School District				
tudent LEVI	DANIEL		Date of Birth 28-JUN-2014	Meeting Date 08-JUN-2017
		Section E	: Present Level of Performance	
erformance Area:	Cognitive			
ssessment/Monitoring Process Used:				
ate/District Assessment Results:				
urrant Darformanca/A ssassmant Sum	mary (include stud	dent strengths	student needs and impact of disability on stude	ant narformanca):
Overall, Daniel's current fu average to average range ba information gathered via obs Potential factors that may i Level, and task avoidance be	nctioning in ised on perform and impact the valuation.	cognition/ rmance on t parent int lidity of t	<pre>/general ability is estimated to b the MSEL (Mullen Scales of Early L terview on the DP3 (Developmental the findings include: attention ch the MSEL reflects a relative stre</pre>	pe within the well below earning), and Profile 3). Heallenges, high activity
kills (the ability to discr	iminate, rec	all, organi	ize, and sequence visual stimuli). in that he is able to draw a cro	Based on parent rating
the process involving visua eceptive language skills (t uditory comprehension and m liscrimination, auditory com	nd motor plan the process in memory), and mprehension,	ning, unila nvolving au expressive and auditor	MSEL reflects relative weaknesses ateral, and bilateral manipulation uditory discrimination, linguistic language skills (the ability to e ry memory in order to verbally dem	and writing readiness), conceptualization, employ auditory
activity to another. Based ability to name or point to Educational Impact: Based on	on parent ra at least twe alternative	ting on the nty objects forms of a	n and had difficulty in being able e DP3, Daniel demonstrated relativ s or pictures when named. assessment, which may include form	e to shift focus from one ve weakness in his mal and informal testing,
ctivity to another. Based bility to name or point to ducational Impact: Based on nterviews, review of record	on parent ra at least twe alternative s, observati	ting on the nty objects forms of a ons, and ra	n and had difficulty in being able e DP3, Daniel demonstrated relative s or pictures when named. assessment, which may include form ating forms it appears a Cognitive	e to shift focus from one ve weakness in his mal and informal testing,
ctivity to another. Based bility to name or point to ducational Impact: Based on nterviews, review of record his time; which affects Dan	on parent ra at least twe alternative s, observati	ting on the nty objects forms of a ons, and ra	n and had difficulty in being able e DP3, Daniel demonstrated relative s or pictures when named. assessment, which may include form ating forms it appears a Cognitive	e to shift focus from one ve weakness in his mal and informal testing,
ctivity to another. Based bility to name or point to ducational Impact: Based on nterviews, review of record his time; which affects Dan	on parent ra at least twe alternative s, observati iel?s abilit	ting on the nty objects forms of a ons, and ra	n and had difficulty in being able e DP3, Daniel demonstrated relative s or pictures when named. assessment, which may include form ating forms it appears a Cognitive	e to shift focus from one ve weakness in his mal and informal testing,
ctivity to another. Based or point to ducational Impact: Based on terviews, review of record his time; which affects Dan afformance Area:	on parent ra at least twe alternative s, observati iel?s abilit	ting on the nty objects forms of a ons, and ra	n and had difficulty in being able e DP3, Daniel demonstrated relative s or pictures when named. assessment, which may include form ating forms it appears a Cognitive	e to shift focus from one ve weakness in his mal and informal testing,
ctivity to another. Based pility to name or point to ducational Impact: Based on atterviews, review of record his time; which affects Dan	on parent ra at least twe a alternative ls, observati iel?s abilit	ting on the nty objects forms of a ons, and ray to access	n and had difficulty in being able DP3, Daniel demonstrated relatives or pictures when named. assessment, which may include form ating forms it appears a Cognitive the preschool curriculum.	e to shift focus from one we weakness in his mal and informal testing, e impact is identified at
ctivity to another. Based bility to name or point to ducational Impact: Based on nterviews, review of record his time; which affects Dan erformance Area: ssessment/Monitoring Process Used: ate/District Assessment Results:	on parent ra at least twe alternative ls, observati iel?s abilit Academic mary (include students)	ting on the nty objects forms of a ons, and ray to access dent strengths,	and had difficulty in being able DP3, Daniel demonstrated relatives or pictures when named. assessment, which may include formating forms it appears a Cognitives the preschool curriculum.	e to shift focus from one we weakness in his mal and informal testing, e impact is identified at
ducational Impact: Based on interviews, review of record this time; which affects Dan erformance Area: ssessment/Monitoring Process Used: tate/District Assessment Results: urrent Performance/Assessment Summary overall, Daniel demonstrates areas of Strength: Daniel's	on parent ra at least twe alternative s, observati niel?s abilit Academic mary (include study average to profile as e	ting on the nty objects forms of a ons, and ray to access dent strengths, below averaxamined usi	and had difficulty in being able DP3, Daniel demonstrated relatives or pictures when named. assessment, which may include formating forms it appears a Cognitives the preschool curriculum.	e to shift focus from one we weakness in his nal and informal testing, e impact is identified at ent performance):
ctivity to another. Based bility to name or point to ducational Impact: Based on nterviews, review of record his time; which affects Dan erformance Area: ssessment/Monitoring Process Used: tate/District Assessment Results: urrent Performance/Assessment Summ verall, Daniel demonstrates areas of Strength: Daniel's elative strength in pre-writareas of Weakness: Areas of identification and labeling comprehension of number con	Academic Academic mary (include study average to profile as eting skills. ineed/challe of colors,	ting on the nty objects forms of a ons, and ra y to access dent strengths, below avera xamined usinge were idrelating pe	and had difficulty in being able DP3, Daniel demonstrated relatives or pictures when named. Assessment, which may include form ating forms it appears a Cognitive to the preschool curriculum. Assessment, which may include form ating forms it appears a Cognitive to the preschool curriculum.	e to shift focus from one we weakness in his mal and informal testing, a impact is identified at ent performance):
ducational Impact: Based on interviews, review of record this time; which affects Dan erformance Area: assessment/Monitoring Process Used: tate/District Assessment Results: arrent Performance/Assessment Summare of Strength: Daniel's relative strength in pre-writing areas of Weakness: Areas of identification and labeling comprehension of number contappening in a book).	Academic Academic Academic Academic mary (include study a verage to profile as exting skills. incepts, difference ability to on and prompers ability to ability to on ability to an ability to ability to ability to ability to an ability to an ability to ability to an ability ability to an ability	ting on the nty objects forms of a ons, and ra y to access dent strengths, below avera xamined usinge were idrelating perentiation sustain atting during o follow di	and had difficulty in being able DP3, Daniel demonstrated relatives or pictures when named. assessment, which may include form ating forms it appears a Cognitive state preschool curriculum. student needs and impact of disability on students age pre- academic skills. ing all forms of alternative assessment and information of age and general information in the properties of the	e to shift focus from one we weakness in his mal and informal testing, and impact is identified at ent performance): ssment suggests a mation skills and informatics and informatics are describing what is activity to another resented. Attention are, and complete adult

6/9/2017 Individualized Education Program (IEP) Page 6 of 25 INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP) Los Angeles Unified School District Student LEVI DANIEL Date of Birth 28-JUN-2014 Meeting Date 08-JUN-2017 **Section E: Present Level of Performance** Performance Area: Communication Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Mother reported that the dominant language spoken in the home is Hebrew. Daniel is a dual language learner and at this time does not appear to have dominance in either language. Testing was conducted in English with Hebrew translation on all tasks presented. He presently has a vocabulary of approximately 30 to 50 words. He has yet to be able to speak in two word utterances. When he speaks, he is difficult to understand as he omits/ changes sounds in words. Familiar listeners understand approximately 50 percent of his speech and unfamiliar listeners less than 50 percent. Areas of Strength: Receptively, Daniel exhibits skills in tasks that require the ability to discriminate, and recall basic oral information. Expressively he was able to label a few objects and pictures. Areas of Weakness: Receptively, Daniel exhibits a delay in skills that require the ability to interpret and grammatically understand what is being said. Tasks include comprehending following related commands, identifying object function, and understanding size concepts. Expressively, he exhibits a significant delay. Daniel uses more gestures than words to indicate his needs and wants. He has yet to be able to demonstrate age appropriate skills in tasks that require the ability to comprehend and respond using developmentally appropriate sentence structures. During the assessment, he was observed to mainly use pointing or jargon in his interactions with the assessor and his family. Daniel had difficulty in his ability to sustain attention and shift focus from one activity to another needing continuous redirection and prompting during testing to complete all tasks presented. Attention challenges may impact Daniel's ability to follow directions and attend to, participate, and complete adult directed tasks, involving the acquisition and development of communication skills. Educational Impact: Based on alternative forms of assessment, which may include formal and informal testing, interviews, review of records, observations, and rating forms it appears a Communication impact is identified at this time; which affects his ability to access the preschool curriculum. Performance Area: Motor Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Areas of Strength: Overall, Daniel's motor skills are found to be in the average range. Per ratings on the DP3, gross motor skills including the movement and coordination of the arms, legs, and other large body parts and movement are not an area of concern (e.g. running, walking, and jumping). Fine motor skills including the movement and coordination of small body parts such as the wrists, hands, and fingers are not an area of concern (e.g. writing, drawing, and cutting) as based on parent report. Based on mother?s responses on the Developmental Profile 3, he is able to catch a ball from an adult who is standing five feet away, and can walk up and down stairs alternating feet. Areas of Need: None at this time. Educational Impact: Based on alternative forms of assessment, which may include formal and informal testing, interviews, review of records, observations, and rating forms it appears a Motor impact is not identified at this time.

	Pag INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)	ge 7 of 25				
Los Angeles Unified School District						
Student LEVI	DANIEL Date of Birth 28-JUN-2014 Meeting Date 08-JUN-201	17				
	Section E: Present Level of Performance					
Performance Area:						
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:						
State/District Assessment Results:						
Current Performance/Assessment Sumr	mary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance):					
Areas of Strength: As based following strength in that h observation during the testi During play, he demonstrated assessor and demonstrated a Scale?Second Edition (CARS2) of Autism Spectrum Disorder. Imitation, Body Use, Object abnormal range in the follow	Overall, Daniel's social emotional status is found to be in the well below average to average range. Areas of Strength: As based on mother's responses on the Developmental Profile 3, Daniel evidences the following strength in that he prefers to play with other children as opposed to playing alone. As based on observation during the testing session, Daniel made good eye contact and demonstrated joint attention. During play, he demonstrated some appropriate play and basic play skills. He engaged in play with the assessor and demonstrated a good sense of humor. Based on results from the The Childhood Autism Rating Scale?Second Edition (CARS2) the response pattern suggests that Daniel presents with minimal to no symptoms of Autism Spectrum Disorder. Daniel was rated within the Age-appropriate range on the following scales: Imitation, Body Use, Object Use, Visual Response, and General Impression. He presents within the mildly abnormal range in the following scales: Relating to People, Emotional response, Adaptation to Change, Listening response, Verbal Communication, Nonverbal Communication, and Activity level.					
Performance Area:	Social-Emotional (continued)					
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:						
State/District Assessment Results:						
Current Performance/Assessment Sumr	mary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance):					
Areas of Need: Mother reported that Daniel has a short attention span and is active. As observed during the testing session, his attention was short and he preferred to follow his own agenda. He was impulsive needing continuous redirection to complete tasks as presented. Teacher reported that Daniel is active and easily distracted. He has much difficulty participating in the class setting and has very limited engagement with peers. As based on mother's responses on the Developmental Profile 3, he is not aware of the psychological feelings of others. Based on results from rating scale administered with the parent and teacher as respondent, the following areas were of significant concern with the parent and teacher as respondents: hyperactivity and attention problems.						
interviews, review of record	n alternative forms of assessment, which may include formal and informal testing, ls, observations, and rating forms it appears a Social Emotional impact is sch affects his ability to access the preschool curriculum.					

					Page 8 of	
Y	INDI	IVIDUALIZE	ED EDUCATION PROGE	RAM (IEP)		
Los Angeles Unified School District	DANIEL		D 4 CD: 4 20 HD	1 2014	Meeting Date 08-JUN-2017	
Student LEVI	DANIEL		Date of Birth 28-JUN	N-2014	Meeting Date 08-JUN-2017	
		Section E: I	Present Level of Performa	nce		
Performance Area:	Adaptive					
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:						
State/District Assessment Results:						
Current Performance/Assessment Sumr	nary (include stude	ent strengths, st	udent needs and impact of d	lisability on student	performance):	
Overall, Daniel's self-help/						
Strengths: Based on the moth relative strengths: putting his own shoes.						
Needs: As based on results f ability to use a fork to fee of common dangers in the com people's feelings. During th of language. He had a short in an appropriate manner.	d himself inde munity and mus e assessment i	ependently. st be monit it was note	Additionally, mother ored. He does not do that Daniel demons	er reported tha demonstrate an strated limited	t Daniel is not aware awareness of other use and understanding	
Educational Impact: Based on interviews, review of record identified at this time.						
					<i>A</i>	
Performance Area:						
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:						
State/District Assessment Results:						
Current Performance/Assessment Sumr	nary (include stude	ent strengths, st	udent needs and impact of d	lisability on student	performance): 🕡	

3/2011		marvidualized Education Fogram (IEF)	
			Page 9 of 25
	INDIVIDUAL	IZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)	
Los Angeles Unified School District	11,21,120,122	ELL LE COMMINICATION	
Student LEVI	DANIEL	Date of Birth 28-JUN-2014	Meeting Date 08-JUN-2017
Student LEVI	DANIEL	Date of Birth 20-JUN-2014	Meeting Date 00-JUN-2017
		Section F: Eligibility	
If applicable, areas discussed related	o disability or suspected disabilit	ty:	
health, general ability, a	cademic function, motor	ability, language and speech, soc	ial emotional, self-help adaptive
For Initial IEP, interventions attempte	d prior to determining eligibility:		
North Los Angeles County R Child Development Services Speech Therapy: 2 hours/we	: 2 hours/week, 2x/week,		
Eligible as a student with the disabilit			
	h Or Language Impairment		
Not Applicable, Blind	or Partially Sighted		
Additional Low Incidence Eligibility	(only for VI, DBL, DEA, HOH,	or severe OI):	
Code:			
Not Applicable, Blind	or Partially Sighted		
Opes not meet eligibility criteria or	for Special Education Services (Initial IEP).	
No Longer Eligible for Special I No Longer Eligible (Effective Da			
This is a Final IEP, the student r	emains eligible for Special Educ	ation Services until the Effective Date below.	
Final IEP Reason:	-		
Final IEP Effective Date:			
The IEP Team has considered and	agrees that the educational ne	eds of the student are not primarily due to:	
Social Maladjustment	✓ Temporary Physical	Disability	on in reading

✓ Environmental, Cultural or Economic Factors

✓ Lack of instruction in math

✓ Limited English Proficiency

					Page 10 of 25		
	INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)						
Los Angeles Unified School Dis	strict						
Student LEVI	DANIEL	Date of Birth 28-	JUN-2014	M	leeting Date 08-JUN-2017		
Section G: Annual Goals and Objectives Performance Area: Receptive Language Annual Goal # 1 GB Daniel will follow two- to three-step related and unrelated directions with 80% accuracy given minimal verbal prompts and no gestural cues.							
Progress on annual goals to be at either Progress Report or Re Methods of Evaluation: State Assessments Portfolio	eport Card periods. Norm Referenced Cr		riculum Based	rom Current IEP	" form(s) which will be provided Observation		
Daniel will follow two-s	Verbal prompts and decreased gestural cues.						
	IEP REPORT OF PRO	OGRESS AND ACHIEVEMEN	NT FROM CUR	RENT IEP			
		EXPLANATION OF MARK	KS				
1 0		RESS (50-99% of goal met) 3rd Reporting Period Date:	4th Reporting F (Secondary Only	Period (f goal met) 1 NO PROGRESS Goal Achievement		
Progress Mark:	Progress Mark:	Progress Mark:	Progress Mark:		Objective 1 Met:		
	annual goal?	annual goal?	annual goal?		Yes No Objective 2 Met:		
Yes No	Yes No	Yes No	Yes N		O Yes O No		
If "No" please comment: Needs More Time	If "No" please comment: Needs More Time	If "No" please comment: Needs More Time	If "No" please co	I	f "No" please explain:		
Excess Absence/Tardy	Excess Absence/Tardy	Excess Absence/Tardy	Excess Abs				
Assignments Not	Assignments Not	Assignments Not Completed	Assignment Completed				
Need to review/revise Goal Other	Need to review/revise Goal Other	Need to review/revise Goal Other	Need to revi	iew/revise Goal	4		
							

					Page 11 of 25
	INDIVID	UALIZED EDUCATION PRO	OGRAM (IEP)		
Los Angeles Unified School Dis	strict				
Student LEVI	DANIEL	Date of Birth 28	JUN-2014	ľ	Meeting Date 08-JUN-2017
Section G: Annual Goals and	d Objectives				
Performance Area: Communic	cation				
Annual Goal #	GB				
Daniel will label pictur more than one direct lan		scriptors with 80% accuracy	given minimal	verbal promp	ots and no
more than one unrect lan	guage mouel:				
Progress on annual goals to be at either Progress Report or Re		ng the "IEP Report of Progress a	nd Achievement f	rom Current IEI	P" form(s) which will be provided
Methods of Evaluation:					
State Assessments			riculum Based		✓ Observation
Portfolio	Work Samples In	formal Othe	r:		
Incremental objective #1 rel	ated to the goal:	In our out of	ahiaatiya #2 wala	ted to the goals	
Daniel will label micture	nos of nouns wonbs and	Incremental	objective #2 rela	ted to the goal:	
•	curacy given maximum verbal		l label picture s with 70% accu		verbs, and oderate verbal
prompts and cues and dir	rect language models.				language models.
		D + + 1	l: 1 F .b	- 0040	- MOND
Date to be achieved Octobe	er ▼ 2017 ▼ MO/YR	Date to be ac.	hieved Februar	y ▼ 2018	▼ MO/YR
	IEP REPORT OF PRO	OGRESS AND ACHIEVEMEN	NT FROM CUR	RENT IEP	
		EXPLANATION OF MARK			
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDE	1		2 PARTIAL PROC	`	,
1st Reporting Period Date:	2nd Reporting Period Date:	3rd Reporting Period Date:	4th Reporting I (Secondary Only		Goal Achievement
Progress Mark:	Progress Mark:	Progress Mark:	Progress Mark:		Objective 1 Met:
Is progress sufficient to meet				ficient to meet	O Yes O No
annual goal?	annual goal?	annual goal?	annual goal?		Objective 2 Met:
O Yes O No	○ Yes ○ No	○ Yes ○ No	O Yes O N	No	O Yes O No
If "No" please comment:	If "No" please comment:	If "No" please comment:	If "No" please co	omment:	If "No" please explain:
Needs More Time	Needs More Time	Needs More Time	Needs More	e Time	ir to product england
Excess Absence/Tardy	Excess Absence/Tardy	Excess Absence/Tardy	Excess Abs	ence/Tardy	
Assignments Not	Assignments Not	Assignments Not	Assignment	ts Not	
Completed Need to review/review Cool	Completed	Completed	Completed	iovy/movie - C : 1	
Need to review/revise Goal Other	Need to review/revise Goa Other	Need to review/revise Goal Other	Other	iew/revise Goal	4
- Oulci	- Oulci	- Ould	_ Oulei		

				Page 12 of 25	
	INDIVID	UALIZED EDUCATION	N PROGRAM (IEP)		
Los Angeles Unified School Dis	strict				
Student LEVI	DANIEL	Date of Birt	h 28-JUN-2014	Meeting Date 08-JUN-2017	
Section G: Annual Goals and	d Objectives				
Performance Area: Communic					
Annual Goal # 3	GB				
Daniel will produce 3-5 word utterances in order to express wants and needs, make comments and answer questions in 4 out of 5 opportunities given minimal verbal prompts and no more than one direct model.					
4 out of 3 opportunities	given minimai verbai prom	pts and no more than o	me direct model.		
Progress on annual goals to be at either Progress Report or Re		ng the "IEP Report of Prog	ress and Achievement fro	m Current IEP" form(s) which will be provided	
Methods of Evaluation:					
State Assessments	Norm Referenced C	riterion Referenced	Curriculum Based	Observation	
Portfolio	Work Samples In	formal	Other:		
Incremental objective #1 rela	ated to the goal:	Increm	ental objective #2 related	d to the goal:	
	word utterances in order to make comments and answer	Daniel	will produce three-	to four-word utterances in	
questions in 3 out of 5	opportunities given maximu	ım answer	•	needs, make comments and of 5 opportunities given	
verbal prompts and direc	t language models as neede	ad	•	d decreased language models.	
		4			
Date to be achieved Octobe	r ▼ 2017 ▼ MO/YR	Date to	be achieved February	▼ 2018 ▼ MO/YR	
	IEP REPORT OF PRO	OGRESS AND ACHIEV	EMENT FROM CURR	ENT IEP	
A COAL MET ON EVEREN	D. A CHARCE WELL BROOK	EXPLANATION OF M		DEGG (1 400) C I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDE. 1st Reporting Period	2nd Reporting Period	RESS (50-99% of goal met) 3rd Reporting Period	4th Reporting Per	RESS (1-49% of goal met) 1 NO PROGRESS riod Goal Achievement	
	Date:	Date:	(Secondary Only)		
Progress Mark:	Progress Mark:	Progress Mark:	Progress Mark:	Objective 1 Met:	
Is progress sufficient to meet	Is progress sufficient to meet		meet Is progress suffic	sient to meet Yes No	
annual goal?	annual goal?	annual goal?	annual goal?	Objective 2 Met:	
○ Yes ○ No	O Yes O No	O Yes O No	O Yes O No	_ ·	
If "No" please comment:	If "No" please comment:	If "No" please comment:	If "No" please com		
Needs More Time	Needs More Time	Needs More Time	Needs More T		
Excess Absence/Tardy	Excess Absence/Tardy	Excess Absence/Tard			
Assignments Not	Assignments Not	Assignments Not	Assignments 1	•	
	Completed	Completed	Completed		
Need to review/revise Goal				w/revise Goal	
Other	Other	Other	Other		

					Page 13 of 25		
	INDIVID	UALIZED EDUCA	TION PROGRAM (IEP)				
Los Angeles Unified School Dis	strict						
Student LEVI	DANIEL	Date of	Birth 28-JUN-2014	Meetin	g Date 08-JUN-2017		
Section G: Annual Goals and Objectives Performance Area: School Readiness Annual Goal # 4 GB Daniel will complete teacher directed tasks (e.g. coloring, tracing letters, etc.) with minimal prompts and cues in 4 out of 5 opportunities with 85% accuracy as measured by teacher observation.							
Progress on annual goals to be at either Progress Report or Re Methods of Evaluation: State Assessments Portfolio	Norm Referenced C	ng the "IEP Report of riterion Referenced aformal	Progress and Achievement for Curriculum Based Other:		n(s) which will be provided Observation		
Daniel will complete tea coloring, tracing letter and cues in 2 out of 5 c	Incremental objective #1 related to the goal: Daniel will complete teacher directed tasks (e.g. coloring, tracing letters, etc.) with maximum prompts and cues in 2 out of 5 opportunities with 80% accuracy as measured by teacher observation. Date to be achieved October ▼ 2017 ▼ MO/YR Incremental objective #2 related to the goal: Daniel will complete teacher directed tasks (e.g. coloring, tracing letters, etc.) with moderate prompts and cues in 3 out of 5 opportunities with 85% accuracy as measured by teacher observation.						
	IEP REPORT OF PRO	OGRESS AND ACH	IEVEMENT FROM CUR	RRENT IEP			
		EXPLANATION		<u></u>			
	D 3 SUBSTANTIAL PROG 2nd Reporting Period Date:	RESS (50-99% of goal 3rd Reporting Period Date:			met) 1 NO PROGRESS Achievement		
Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not	annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not	annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comm Needs More Tin Excess Absence Assignments No	annual goal? Yes 1 ent: If "No" please come Needs Mor Tardy Excess Absort Assignmen	Object No Omment: If "No e Time sence/Tardy	/es No No No No No v' please explain:		
Completed Need to review/revise Goal Other	Completed Need to review/revise Goa Other	Completed Need to review/i	evise Goal Need to rev	riew/revise Goal	4		

]	Page 14 of 25			
	INDIVII	DUALIZED EDUCATION PR	OGRAM (IEP)					
Los Angeles Unified School District								
Student LEVI	DANIEL	Date of Birth 28	-JUN-2014	Meeting Date 08-JUN	-2017			
Section G: Annual Goals and Objectives Performance Area: Social/Emotional Annual Goal # 5 GB Daniel will engage in turn-taking activities (with a minimum of 6 exchanges) demonstrating adequate social engagement with a peer/adult on 4 occasions in a school week with 85% accuracy as measured by teacher observation.								
	State Assessments Norm Referenced Criterion Referenced Curriculum Based Observation							
Daniel will engage in tuminimum of 3-4 exchanges social engagement with a school week with 85% a observation.	Incremental objective #1 related to the goal: Daniel will engage in turn-taking activities (with a minimum of 3-4 exchanges) demonstrating adequate social engagement with a peer/adult on 2 occasions in a school week with 85% accuracy as measured by teacher observation. Date to be achieved October ▼ 2017 ▼ MO/YR							
	IEP REPORT OF PR	OGRESS AND ACHIEVEME	NT FROM CUR	RENT IEP				
		EXPLANATION OF MAR						
	2nd Reporting Period Date:	3rd Reporting Period Date:	2 PARTIAL PROC 4th Reporting I (Secondary Only	Period Goal Achievement	PROGRESS			
Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal?	Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to me annual goal?	Progress Mark: et Is progress sufficient to mee annual goal?	Progress Mark: tIs progress suf annual goal?					
Yes No If "No" please comment:	Yes No If "No" please comment:	Yes No If "No" please comment:	Yes No" please co	○ Yes ○ No	:			
Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not Completed Need to review/revise Goal Other	Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not Completed Need to review/revise Goo	Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not Completed Need to review/revise Goal Other	Needs More Excess Abs Assignment Completed Need to revi	e Time ence/Tardy	10			

					Page 15 of 25		
INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)							
Los Angeles Unified School Dis	strict						
Student LEVI	DANIEL	Date	of Birth 28-JUN-2014	Meeting	g Date 08-JUN-2017		
Section G: Annual Goals and Objectives Performance Area: Safety/Adpative Annual Goal # 6 GB Daniel will demonstrate safety awareness with minimal prompts/reminders by following classroom rules and limits, staying in close proximity with teachers and classmates indoors and outdoors, and responding to adult directives in							
Progress on annual goals to be reported to parents by completing the "IEP Report of Progress and Achievement from Current IEP" form(s) which will be provided at either Progress Report or Report Card periods. Methods of Evaluation: State Assessments Norm Referenced Criterion Referenced Curriculum Based Observation Portfolio Work Samples							
Incremental objective #1 rela	ated to the goals		ncremental objective #2 rela				
Daniel will demonstrate safety awareness with maximum prompts/reminders by following classroom rules and limits, staying in close proximity with teachers and classmates indoors and outdoors, and responding to adult directives in 3 out of 5 opportunities with 100% accuracy as measured by teacher observation. Daniel will demonstrate safety awareness with moderate prompts/reminders by following classroom rules and limits, staying in close proximity with teachers and classmates indoors and outdoors, and responding to adult directives in 4 out of 5 opportunities with 100% accuracy as measured by teacher observation. Date to be achieved October ▼ 2017 ▼ MO/YR							
	IEP REPORT OF PR		HIEVEMENT FROM CUI	RRENT IEP			
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDE	D 3 SUBSTANTIAL PROG	EXPLANATION ERESS (50-99% of go		GRESS (1-49% of goal	met) 1 NO PROGRESS		
1st Reporting Period	2nd Reporting Period Date:	3rd Reporting Per Date:		Period Goal A	Achievement		
Progress Mark:	Progress Mark:	Progress Mark:	Progress Mark:		ive 1 Met:		
Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal?	Is progress sufficient to med annual goal?	et Is progress suffic annual goal?	ient to meet Is progress su annual goal?		ive 2 Met:		
O Yes O No	O Yes O No	O Yes O No		O Y	es O No		
If "No" please comment: Needs More Time	If "No" please comment: Needs More Time	If "No" please com Needs More T		If "No	" please explain:		
Excess Absence/Tardy	Excess Absence/Tardy	Excess Absen		sence/Tardy			
	Assignments Not Completed	Assignments I Completed	Completed				
Need to review/revise Goal Other	Need to review/revise Goo	Need to review Other	v/revise Goal Need to rev	riew/revise Goal			
		-					

			Page 16 of 25
	INDIVIDUA	LIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)	
Los Angeles Unified School l	District		
Student LEVI	DANIEL	Date of Birth 28-JUN-2014	Meeting Date 08-JUN-2017
	Section K: Partic	ipation in State and District-wide Assessments	
	Assessments administered wil	Il conform to those assessments determined for each	n grade by
DRDP-A - (Adaptations identifie		f Education and/or the Los Angeles Unified School	District.
A - (Adaptations identifie	ed below are applicable)		

	IN	DIVIDUALIZ	ZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)	Page 17 of 25
Los Angeles Unified School		D1 1 1 D 0 1 L 1 1	ELD ED COMPONENT (ILI)	
Student LEVI	DANIEL		Date of Birth 28-JUN-2014	Meeting Date 08-JUN-2017
	Sect	ion N: Proced	ural Safeguards and Follow-up Actions	
✓ A Parent's Guide to S	pecial Education Services	including Pro	cedural Rights & Safeguards was provided	to the parent in his/her primary language.
✓ The IEP Team Meeting	Introductory Statements we	ere read aloud a	t the beginning of the IEP Team meeting.	
✓ The parent/guardian wa	s informed of his/her right t	o a written tran	slation of the IEP.	
	requesting translation service		No O	
	uardian has requested a wri	tten translation	of the IEP in Hebrew	
Specify the Individ Special Requests:	lual Pages to be translated:			
For students who are 17 student at 18 years of age, un	7 years old, the student and nless the court has determin	parent(s)/guard ed otherwise.	lian(s) have been informed that the educational	l decision-making rights will transfer to the
		TIVE OF C		
		THIS SPACE	E DELIBERATELY LEFT BLANK.	

	I	NDIVIDUALIZED EDU	CATION PROGR	AM (IFP)	Page 18 of 25		
Los Angeles Unified School		(DIVIDUALIZED EDC	CATIONTROOK	in (iEi)			
Student LEVI	DANIEL	Date o	of Birth 28-JUN-20	14	Meeting Date 08-JUN-2017		
		Section Q: Parent Par	ticipation and Con	sent			
	Parent Participation			Parent Notifi			
	nas participated in the IEP mee	•	Method Other	Whom A. Farsakian	When 16-MAY-2017		
Parent/Student (18-21) i attend.	ndicated before the meeting the	at they would not be able to	Other	A. Faisakidii	10-WIA1-2017		
Parent/Student (18-21) did no meeting was held without the	was notified 3 times of the meet of respond to any of the meeting Parent/Student (18-21) preser	g notifications and the at	I (PARENT) acknown request. IEP meeting be resonance.	(Parent initials here ON	was rescheduled to this date at my LY if the PARENT requested that the		
Parent/Student (18-21) of them if they did not attend.	did not attend and gave permiss	sion to proceed without	1E1 meeting be resi	eneduted.)			
	Parent/Str	ident (18-21) Agreement	to Components of	the Proposed IEP			
	nay agree to all or some of the delay providing instruction an		IEP. The District wi	ll implement those portions of	of the IEP to which the parent/student		
Parent/Student (18-21) A	GREES to all components of	the IEP.					
Parent/Student (18-21) A	GREES to all components of	the proposed IEP WITH	THE SPECIFIC E	XCEPTION(S) stated below	v:		
Assessment	Specify						
Eligibility	Specify						
Instructional	Specify						
Setting	Smooifr						
Services	Specify						
A Parent/Student (18-21) is parent/student (18-21) does	21) DOES NOT AGREE with not required to initiate any forwish to initiate a form of dispublication, <i>A Parent's Guide to</i>	m of dispute resolution as t	o components of the propo	sed IEP, the parent can find	parent does not agree. If a information on dispute resolution		
		Parent Concern	s and Comments				
Signature(s)				Date 08-JUN-2017			
Parent Guardian	O Student age 18-21 y	rears O Sur	rogate Parent	Emancipated Minor	○ Foster Parent		
Did the school district facilita	Did the school district facilitate parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for your child? Yes No No Response						
	ive received a copy of the Pare after the IEP meeting	nt Input Survey regarding t	the IEP process. I un	derstand that my completion	of the form is voluntary and can be		
Signature(s)				Date 8-JUN-2017			

PARENT INPUT SURVEY

Would you please take a moment to complete the survey below.

The information you provide will help us improve the Individualized Education Program (IEP) process. Thank you in advance for your time and interest.

ALL INFORMATION IS CONFIDENTIAL

DIRECTIONS: After each statement, please place an X on the line that describes your experience with your child's IEP.

A.	Regarding your child's current IEP:	Yes	No	Does Not
1.	I am satisfied with the IEP meeting.	П	П	Apply
2.	I feel that the IEP accurately reflects the decisions made at the IEP meeting.	П		
	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR			
3.	I received notice of the IEP meeting.	_	_	
4.	I received "The IEP and You" handbook with the notice of the IEP meeting.			
5.	During the IEP process I received "A Parent's Guide to Special Education Services (Including Procedural Rights and Safeguards)" explaining my rights as a parent.			
6.	The IEP meeting was held in an appropriate setting.			
7.	I feel I was treated as an equal and important part of the IEP team.			
8.	The participants at the IEP meeting were prepared and informed.			
9.	Placements for my child, including the general education setting, were discussed and decided upon.			
10.	Related services were discussed and decided upon, if relevant.			
11.	If my child is 14 years old or older, an Individualized Transition Plan (ITP) was developed with my child's and my concerns in mind.			
12.	At the end of the IEP meeting the decisions were summarized.			
13.	If I needed an oral interpretation of the IEP team meeting an interpreter was provided.			
14.	The interpretation of the IEP team meeting enabled me to participate and make an informed decision regarding my child's IEP during the IEP meeting.			
15.	The interpreter stayed for the duration of the IEP team meeting.			
16.	If the interpreter left the IEP meeting before it was over, another staff member served as the interpreter and the interpretation was adequate.			
17.	I am aware that, if I am dissatisfied with the adequacy of the oral interpretation at the IEP team meeting, I can file a complaint with the Educational Equity Compliance Office at (213) 241-7682.			
18.	If I needed a written translation of the IEP, translation services were offered.			
19.	I am aware that, if I do not receive a copy of the translated IEP, I can contact the site administrator or call the Division of Special Education at (213) 241-6701.			
	any of the answers to items 13–16 or 18–19 was No, please discuss your concern(s) with the te administrator or call the Division of Special Education at (213) 241-6701.			
	Regarding your child's previous IEP (if relevant):			
20.	I am satisfied that my child received the services described on the previous IEP.			
	(If your answer to this question is "No", please write concerns below.)			
			Addition	al Comments

ise write below	nore you would like to ask us or tell us? or call the Parent Resource Network at 1-800-933-8133.
Ple	ase fold along dotted lines with the address showing. Seal and mail. Postage is pre-paid. Again, Thank you!
	NO POSTAGE NECESSARY IF MAILED IN THE UNITED STATES
	BUSINESS REPLY MAIL FIRST-CLASS MAIL PERMIT NO. 33798 LOS ANGELES CA 90051
	POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY ADDRESSEE
	ATTN PARENT RESOURCE NETWORK LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT PO BOX 513307 LOS ANGELES CA 90099-4093
	Haladhardhaladhaladhardhdardh
<u>.</u>	
RVE	
S	
PARENT INPUT SURVEY	
<u>.</u>	
PAREN English	
<u> </u>	

	INDIVI	DUALI	ZED EDUCATION PROGRAM	Page 19 of 25 (IEP)					
Los Angeles Unified School District				Reconvened Meeting Date					
Student LEVI	DANIEL		Date of Birth 28-JUN-2014	4 Meeting Date 08-JUN-2017					
Section R: Names and Signatures (Signatures on File)									
Team Member		Prin	t Name	Signature					
Parent/Guardian		MEI	ITAL GERSHONI						
Parent/Guardian									
Student Age 18 - 21 years									
Student Under Age 18 years									
Surrogate Parent									
Foster Parent									
Family Foster Home Provider									
Administrator									
Administrative Designee		Mar	ilyn Bermudez-Alonso						
Special Education Teacher		Anit	ta Farsakian						
General Education Teacher		Mar	tha G. Martinez						
School Psychologist									
School Nurse									
Related Service Staff									
Related Service Staff									
Related Service Staff									
Interpreter		Mar	y Jane Shubow						
Sign Language Interpreter									
Agency Representative									
Agency Representative									
Agency Representative									
Other									
Other									
Other									
Other									

						Page 20 of 25
			INI	DIVIDUALIZED	DEDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)	·
Los Ang	geles Unified	School Dis	trict			
Student	LEVI		DANIEL		Date of Birth 28-JUN-2014	Meeting Date 08-JUN-2017
				Be Completed By t	IVE ENVIRONMENT ANALYSIS the IEP Team at the IEP Team Meeting Current Placement Type:	
				Statents	I	
○ Ge	eneral Educat	tion Class/Go	eneral Education Site		Special Day Program/General	l Education Site
O Sp	ecial Day Pr	ogram/Speci	al Education Center		O Nonpublic School	
Оно	ome/Hospital	or Resident	ial Care Facility			
DIREC	TIONS: Con	nplete the inj	formation below as part		iscussion regarding placement from the beg at indicates YES.	ginning at Step A until the team reaches the Step
restricti aids and	ve setting she I services car	ould only oc	cur if the nature or sever eved satisfactorily. The la	ity of the student's ck of current avail	disability is such that placement in a less re-	restrictive environment. Placement in a more estrictive setting with the use of supplementary vices, accommodations and modifications is not provided.
Step	Can the sup	ports, servic	es, accommodations and	or modifications i	in the student's IEP be made available in a g	general education classroom/setting?
A.	OYES	○ NO			ation classroom/setting is the appropriate pl	
	O YES	○ _{NO}	education classroom/set	tting? If YES, all r		r modifications be made available in a general as and/or modifications must be provided within then go to Step B.
						le
Step	Can the sup	ports, servic	es, accommodations and	or modifications i	in the student's IEP be made available on a g	general education site in a special day program?
В.	YES NO If the answer is YES, then a special day program on a general education site is the appropriate placement. If the answer is NO, go to the question below.					
	If not currently available, can the required supports, services, accommodations and/or modifications be made available in a special day program on a general education site? If YES, all required supports, services, accommodations and/or modifications must be provided within a reasonable timeline. If the answer is NO, please articulate why in the box below. Then go to Step C.					
						le

			individualized Education Program (IEP)	
geles Unifie	d School Di	strict		
LEVI		DANIEL	Date of Birth 28-JUN-2014	Meeting Date 08-JUN-2017
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	inued)
Can the su	pports, serv	ices, accommodations and/or me	odifications in the student's IEP be made available in a spe	ecial school setting?
O YES	\bigcirc NO	If the answer is YES, then a	special school setting is the appropriate placement. If the	answer is NO, go to the question below.
O YES	○ _{NO}	school setting? If YES, all red	quired supports, services, accommodations and/or modifi	cations must be provided within a reasonal
Can the su	pports, serv	ices, accommodations and/or me	odifications in the student's IEP be made available in a ho	me/hospital setting?
O YES	○ NO	•		
O YES	○ _{NO}	home/hospital setting? If YES	S, all required supports, services, accommodations and/or	modifications must be provided within a
Can the su	pports, serv	ices, accommodations and/or me	odifications in the student's IEP be made available in a res	sidential care facility?
O YES	\bigcirc NO	If not currently available, articular this setting.	culate in the IEP what supports, accommodations and/or n	modifications are required for the student
	Can the su YES YES Can the su YES Can the su YES	Can the supports, served YES NO Can the supports, served YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO Can the supports, served YES NO	Can the supports, services, accommodations and/or m YES NO If the answer is YES, then a If not currently available, can school setting? If YES, all re timeline. If the answer is NO YES NO If the answer is YES, then a lif the answer is NO go to the yes NO If the answer is NO, go to the yes NO If not currently available, can home/hospital setting? If YE reasonable timeline. If the answer is NO, go to the yes NO If not currently available, can home/hospital setting? If YE reasonable timeline. If the answer is NO, go to the yes NO If not currently available, and yet yes NO If not currently available, articles the property of the yes NO If not currently available, articles the yes NO If not yes NO If the answer is YES, then a not yes NO If the yes NO If the answer is YES, then a not yes NO If the answer is YES, then a not yes NO If the answer is YES, then a not yes NO If the answer is YES, then a not yes NO If the answer is YES, then a not yes NO If the answer is YES, then a no	ANNUAL LEAST RESTRICTIVE ENVIRONMENT ANALYSIS (Cont To Be Completed By the IEP Team at the IEP Team Meeting Can the supports, services, accommodations and/or modifications in the student's IEP be made available in a special school setting is the appropriate placement. If the If not currently available, can the required supports, services, accommodations and/or school setting? If YES, all required supports, services, accommodations and/or modifications in the student's IEP be made available in a how yes no No If the answer is NO, please articulate why in the box below. Then go to Step YES NO If not currently available, can the required supports, services, accommodations and/or home/hospital setting? If YES, all required supports, services, accommodations and/or home/hospital setting? If YES, all required supports, services, accommodations and/or reasonable timeline. If the answer is NO, please articulate why in the box below. Then Can the supports, services, accommodations and/or modifications in the student's IEP be made available in a reasonable timeline. If the answer is NO, please articulate why in the box below. Then

		INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (I	Page 21 of 25
Los Angeles Unified Sch	ool District		IEP FAPE Part 1 - Eligibility, Placements and Supports
Student LEVI	DANIEL	Date of Birth 28-JUN-2014	Meeting Date 08-JUN-2017
		Effective With this IEP	Future Changes Related to this IEP
	As of Date:	08-JUN-2017	0
		Eligible (SLI)	
Eligibility: (from Page 4)	Final IEP Reason Final IEP Effective Date:		4
Curriculum		General Education	
	Type of School	District Non-Resident School	
Placement	Name of School	LOCKHURST DR CEL	
Instructional Setting	Setting	Special Education	
i	Program	PAL	
ŀ	Special Day		
	Minutes/Wk	1550	
	Addresses Goals	1(Receptive Language),2(Communication),3(Communication),6(Safety /Adpative),4(School Readiness),5(Social/Emotional)	//
Additional Factors	Low Incident Support	None	
	Assistive Technology		
	Support		
	Transportation	Home to School	
	Extended School Year/Intersession	Yes No	
	Parent Counseling and Training (PCT)		
	ESY Transportation	Home to School	
Accommodation, Modifications, Supports	Instructional	repetition, modeling, rephrasing with additional time for verbal processing and responding to questions, adult facilitated peer interactions, repetitive verbal routines, daily visual routine of activities, review classroom rules regularly, breaking up tasks into workable and obtainable steps, signal transitions, provide redirection	
	Instructional		
	Modifications	//	4
	Other Supports, including Non- Academic and Extra- curricular Activities	<i></i>	
Preparation for Three Year Review IEP (Complete at second	Is formal assessment needed to re-establish eligibility?	Yes O O No	
annual review IEP Meeting	If yes, specify area(s) to be reassessed		
Low Incidence) k.kk.	

Equipment	
Assistive Technology Equipment	
	Participation in general education activities will be arranged between general education and special education teachers as found appropriate for Daniel.

		Page 22 of 25
INDIVIDUALIZED	EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)	
Los Angeles Unified School District		IEP FAPE Part 2 - Summary of Services
Student LEVI DANIEL	Date of Birth 28-JUN-2014	Meeting Date 08-JUN-2017
	Effective With This IEP	Future Changes Related To This IEP
Notes: Parents of students who are Medi-Cal eligible authorize LAUSD to submit Medi-Cal Non-Authorization to Bill form. Please see Parent's Guide to Spe	•	1 \ / 0
Part 3 - Percentage of Time Outside o	of General Education	
	Effective With this IEP	Future Changes Related to this IEP
% of Time per Week outside of General Education	85 %	
Part 4 - Additional Discussion (This s Based upon Daniel's current needs, the IEP team recomma an educationally-based special day program that operate curriculum is delivered through evidenced-based practic supported in an integrated fashion by a multidisciplina early childhood special education teacher, and District will provide 2 hours of direct and collaborative support ESY is being recommended to prevent the regression of s residence, home to school transportation will be provided. The parent is offered Parent Counseling and Training (I	dends a Preschool for All Learners des 4 hours and 30 minutes 5 days ces. Daniel's Communication goals dary on-site team comprised of a set special education assistants. The classroom each week. skills. If ESY is not available ded.	a week. The preschool s, included in the IEP, will be speech-language pathologist, The Speech-language pathologist

						Pag	ge 23 of 25
	DATA/TRA	NSPORTA	TION TRAN	SFER FORM			
This information is for data collection a At the conclusion of the IEP team me copy of this form and one copy of pag	eting: (1) Fax a copy of	this form	and page one	of the IEP to the S	School Supp	oort for your school. (2)	Mail one
Student LEVI	DANIEL		Date of Birth	28-JUN-2014	М	leeting Date 08-JUN-201	17
SCHOOL SETTING							
District School of Residence	District Non-residence School	ence (Head Start	O Commu	nity College	O District Early Educa Center	ation
District Special Education School/Center	O Nonpublic School	. (Nonpublic Agency	State Re School	sidential		
O Dual Enrollment	O Home	(Hospital	O Private/l School	Parochial	Other	
RELATED SERVICES							
Check: Assistant - Class			Care Assistant -			d Vocational Nurse - Class	s
Assistant - Bus ASSIGNED SCHOOL (Complete if the	he information is know		are Assistant -	Bus	Licensed	d Vocational Nurse - Bus	
Assigned School LOCKHURST DR CI	EL		Location Code	4887			
School Hours Begin		End			Arrival t	ime for breakfast	program
TRANSPORTATION INFORMATIO	ON (Complete for Stude	nts Requi	ring Transport	tation)			
	Safety Vest	G-Tube		Seizures		Ventilator	
Asthma Caro	diac	Helmet		Shunt		Walker	
Behavioral Support Plan Cere	ebral Palsy	Lift Bus		Sickle Cell		Wheelchair	
☐ Bleeder	ld Safety Seat	Muscula	r Dystrophy	Spina Bific	la	Other	
Blind/Partially Sighted Cru	tches	Medicati	on	Suctioning			
Brittle Bones Dea	nf/Hard of Hearing	Oxygen	- Tank	Therapy w Transportation	ith		
Brace Dial	betes	Oxygen	- Portable	Tracheoton	ny		
Prepared by	Те	lephone		Date			

Page 25 of 25

ATTACHMENT A

INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM SPEECH LANGUAGE IMPAIRMENT (SLI) ELIGIBILITY CERTIFICATION
Student: DANIEL LEVI Date of Birth: 28-JUN-2014 Meeting Date: 08-JUN-2017
This page is to be completed for initial IEPs, 3-year evaluations, or when a comprehensive speech-language evaluation has been conducted to determine whether SLI eligibility is appropriate. Complete Step 1a or 1b Step 1a. General Education Interventions - Check items as completed
Intervention strategies implemented, including English Language Instruction or RtI2 prevention support (including but not limited to school staff
development regarding language standards in the curriculum and referral for Special Education, consultation between the classroom teacher and school speech therapist for appropriate classroom accommodations, consultation with the SSPT that includes an EL expert if student is identified as an English Learner).
Intervention support monitored over several weeks, and modified interventions as necessary based on student response.
Interventions were not successful, student referred for special education assessment.
Screening by a speech therapist or a student success team meeting (including a speech therapist) with the focus being speech and language concerns Of an appropriate screening for non-LAUSD enrolled preschoolers.
Step 1b. Interventions Not Applicable
✓ Interventions not applicable for non-LAUSD enrolled preschoolers or when determined unnecessary by the speech therapist.
Step 2. Review of Pre-referral Information - Check items as applicable
The speech or language delay does not appear to be due to unfamiliarity with English.
The delay does not appear to be due to a lack of instruction in English, dialectical factors or limited language experience.
The delay does not appear to be due to environmental factors.
▼ The delay does not appear to be due to economic factors.
▼ The delay does not appear to be due to social or cultural factors.
Step 3. Assessment - Check either A or B, and complete the remaining items
A. Student has received an assessment by a school psychologist that gives an indication of where the student's general ability lies. (if a language involvement in property 1) OR.
impairment is suspected) <i>OR</i> B. A psychological assessment is not required if the suspected area of disability is voice, fluency or articulation.
Student has received a health assessment that rules out whether an inability to communicate effectively is a result of a health or sensory condition.
A credentialed or licensed speech therapist has conducted a comprehensive evaluation, including assessment in the student's primary language, the consists of multiple measures of assessment, including but not limited to standardized test instruments (or alternate forms of assessment if necessary), formal speech/language sample, parent interview or checklist, teacher interview or checklist, and observation in various communication settings.
Complete Step 4
Step 4. Determination of Eligibility of Speech Language Impairment (as the only identified special education eligibility)
A. Student meets one or more of the following criteria (check each disorder that applies):
A language disorder, which has been identified in an assessment that includes use of two or more standardized tests in one or more of the following areas of language development: morphology, syntax, semantics, or pragmatics where the resulting scores are at least 1.5 S.D. below th mean or below the 7th percentile for the student's chronological age or developmental level and a 50-utterance representative spontaneous language sample where the student displays inappropriate or inadequate usage of receptive and/or expressive language. Note: When standardized tests are considered to be invalid for the specific student, the expected language performance level shall be determined by alternative means as specified or the assessment plan [5 CCR 3030 (c)(4)].
An articulation disorder (e.g., Pronunciation), which draws adverse attention, significantly interferes with communication and has been identified in an assessment that includes a conversational speech sample which reveals significant interference with communication and identifies single or multiple speech sound errors that are below the student's chronological age or developmental level.
A fluency disorder (e.g., Stuttering), which has been identified in an assessment that demonstrates that the flow of verbal expression adversely affects communication between the student and the listener in multiple communication settings and the dysfluency has persisted over time.
A voice disorder (e.g., Chronic Hoarseness, Pitch Variations), which has been identified in an assessment by a speech therapist after the etiology has been cleared by a Medical Doctor, in writing.
B. The impairment has a significant adverse affect on the student's academic performance.
C. The presenting Speech Language Impairment is not due to: social maladjustment, health factors, poor school attendance, environmental, economic, or social disadvantage, lack of instruction or the unfamiliarity with the English language.
Complete Step 5 Step 5. Consideration for additional special education service(s): Complete A or B.
A. In the event a student with eligibility of Speech Language Impairment is being considered for special education academic services and/or support, a
updated District psychoeducational evaluation has been completed to determine that SLI is the overarching eligibility.
B. Student is not being considered for additional special education academic services and/or support.

If the student is eligible, the IEP Team must consider service delivery models based on the student's identified needs and appropriate placement in the least restrictive environment. Additionally, the IEP team should also include teacher and parent participation in the implementation of goals

and supports and accommodations to ensure achievement of goals and objectives in a time-efficient manner and carry-over to multiple communication contexts.