Los Angeles Unified	School Dist		INDIVIDUALIZED EI	DUCATION PROGRAM (I	EP)	
Student Identificat Number	/	102210M005	SSID	1347645003	EI	igible (SLD)
Student OHANA	SHA	LEV			Date of Birth:	22-OCT-2010
Last]	First	MI Section A: N	leeting Information		
	Pertine	ent Dates	Section III II		Type of Meetin	g
Date of Initial IEP Tear	n Meeting	02-OCT-201	3	◯ Initial	$\bigcap \Delta r$	nendment of IEP dated
Date of Present Meeting	g	12-NOV-202	20	Illitiai	(A)	mendment of TET dated
Annual Review to be co	onducted	04-NOV-202	:1	Annual Review	○Ea	rly Start Transition
by Next Three Year Review Conducted by	w will be	08-APR-202	2	Other	○ Ex	pulsion Analysis lividual Transition Plan
Three Year Review or I	Evaluation	09-APR-201	9			
Fransition to Kindergar conducted by	ten to be					
Location of Meeting				District Name	Los Angeles Un	ified School Dis
			Section B: S	tudent Information		
Date of Birth	22-OCT-20		Age	10	Grade	4
Gender	Male C) Female	Limited English Proficient Student	Yes No	Ethnic Code	White
ocation of the sych Folder	SUPPORT	UNIT NOF	Student has no Psych Folder			
ocation of the Cum older	SERRANIA	A AVE CES	Student has no Cum Folder			
Iome Language	Hebrew		Student Language	Hebrew	Alternate Mode o Communication	f
Iome Address of student	21730 MAF	RYLEE ST UN	NIT 46			
City	WOODLAN	ND HII CA	ZIP Code	91367		
Iome Telephone	(818) 261-8	230	Daytime Telephone		Emergency	
School of Attendance	Serrania Av	e Ces	Location Code	6606	Telephone	
School of Residence	Woodlake E	lec	Location Code	7877		
Name of Parent/Guardian	Einav Amar	•	Telephone			
Address						
City		CA	ZIP Code			
Surogate Parent			Telephone			
Attends CURRENT Some of the following	CHOOL as a	result of	Special Education Pla	cement		
s the student living in a Home (FFH)?	Family Foste	er O N	o O Yes	FFH#		
s FFH Provider related	to student?		o O Yes	Relationship		
icensed Children's Ins	titution	\bigcirc N	o O Yes	LCI Name		
				LCI#		
Out of the home placen	nent made by	_	egional Center	Other	ll Health O De	partment of Children's Servic
	thin LAUSD'		o Yes			

	IN	DIVIDUALI	ZED EDUG	CATION PROGRAM (IEI	P)	Page 2 of
	S Unified School District					
Student				Date of Birth 22-OCT	-2010	
	Last First	MI Section	n C: Lang	uage Acquisition		
anguage Cla	assification:	Lim	ited English	Proficient	Start Date:	09-SEP-2015
ithdrawal b	y Parent Request:	\bigcirc s	res O No		Reclassification Date:	
	rmance Level and Performance Descript		105 0 110	~	Test Date:	
	PAC Performance Level and Performance			~	Test Date:	
escriptor (V		c		•	Test Date.	
		Section D:	Goal Achie	vement from Current IEP		
			ieved			
Goal for: (ex	xample - Reading)	Yes	No	If No, explain the reason	the goal/objective was not a	chieved
1	(ELD	0		Needs more time to dec	ode multisyllabic words	
Category	English Language Developmen 🗸					
	Objective 1 met	0		Needs more time to dec	ode multisyllabic words	
	Objective 2 met	0	O	Needs more time to dec	ode multisyllabic words	
2	Reading-Comprehension Goal	0	0			
Category	Reading •					
	Objective 1 met	0	0			
	Objective 2 met	0	Ö			
3	Writing	0	0	Needs more time writin	g 4 sentences	
Category	Writing					
	Objective 1 met	0	\bigcirc			
	Objective 2 met	0	0	Needs more time writin	g 3 sentences	
4	Math	0	0			
Category	Math 🗸					
	Objective 1 met	0	0			
	Objective 2 met	0	0			
5	Behavior Intervention		0			
Category	Behavior Intervention		0			
cutegory	Objective 1 met		\bigcirc			
	Objective 2 met	<u> </u>				
6	Socialization		0			
Category			0			
Category	Social Functioning Objective 1 met					
	Objective 2 met		0			
7	Social-Emotional		0			
		0	<u> </u>			
Category	Social Emotional Objective 1 met					
	Objective 1 met		0			
0	Objective 2 met	<u> </u>	0	D1 : 2 : :		
8	Fine Motor	0		Behavior often impedes	s progress	
Category	Fine Motor					
	Objective 1 met	0	0			
_	Objective 2 met	0	<u> </u>	Behavior often impedes	s progress	
9	Articulation	0	0			
Category	Articulation/Phonological Proc 🗸		_			
	Objective 1 met	0	0			
	Objective 2 met	O	0			
10		0	\circ			
Category	~					
	Objective 1 met	0	\circ			
	Objective 2 met	0	\circ			

Th.	1	c	1	0
Page	3	ΟĪ	3	ι

INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP) Los Angeles Unified School District Student OHANA Date of Birth | 22-OCT-2010 Meeting Date 12-NOV-2020 First MI Last **Section E: Present Level of Performance** Performance Area: Reading Category: Teacher Observation, Informal Testing, Work Samples, Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results: Dibels- Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Literacy Skills Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Strengths: Shalev can identify the names of all the letters, the sounds of all consonants (in isolation). When tested with making the two sounds each vowel can make, Shalev was able to make the long and short sounds of /i/, /a/, /o/ and /u/. Shalev can blend CVC words in isolation. Shalev knows some simple sight words with some verbal cues, such as a, cat, the, and, like, or I. Shalev attends during read aloud and demonstrates appropriate listening skills with some reminders. Shalev can answer literal type questions and inferential type questions. Shalev was able to achieve his reading IEP goal which was comprehensions based: Shalev will refer explicitly to the text as the basis for answers about a literary text with adult modeling and visual support as measured by student response and/or teacher observations in 4 out of 5 trials with 80% accuracy. Needs: When tested with making the two sounds each vowel can make, Shalev made the short sound for i instead of e. When reading CVC words, he will exchange vowel sounds: he read let as lit, bin as bun and hog as hug. Shalev needs support in blending single syllable short vowel words with and without blends. (e.g. flag, crash, this, etc.) Shalev will sometimes say, 'I don't know how to read.' Shalev tries to sound out many sight words that don't follow phonetic spelling patterns. He doesn't assimilate or use the phonetic spelling patterns he has been taught. Shalev needs support in identifying target words and sight words in context. Shalev has a harder time recognizing them in sentences as opposed to in isolation. He reverses his b's and d's. Impact of Disability: Shalev's eligibility of Specific Learning disability impacts his ability to read which impacts his involvement and progress in the general education curriculum. Dibels, 2019-2020 School Year BOY: Beginning of Year: Fluency 10/87 cwpm. Accuracy: 63%/96% MOY: Middle of Year: Fluency 9/105 cwpm. Accuracy: 60%/96% Dibels, 2020-2021 School Year BOY: Beginning of Year: Fluency 12/87 cwpm. Accuracy 67%/96% Performance Area: Writing Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Teacher Observation, Informal Testing, Work Samples Used: State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Strengths: Shalev is able to orally formulate and produce complete sentences that are on topic. When Shalev participates in writing, his letters are legible with some reversals. Shalev prefers to write with pens or markers. He dislikes using pencils even with a pencil grip. With word banks and visual support, Shalev is able to produce complete written sentences with 3 to 5 words. He needs some reminders with capitals and function. He has good spacing and letter size. Needs: Writing is a non-preferred task and it was often difficult to get him to complete a writing task. When given a writing activity, Shalev will say, 'I can't spell. I don't know how to write.' and will often avoid completing the task. Shalev needs support in spelling and in using phonetic skills to spell unknown words. He needs support in building a paragraph. Although Shalev can orally dictate his sentences, he needs support in structuring with a topic sentence, support sentences, and finishing with a concluding sentence.

Impact of Disability: Shalev's eligibility of Specific Learning disability impacts his ability to write which impacts his involvement and progress

in the general education curriculum.

	Page	4	of	3	0
--	------	---	----	---	---

INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP) Los Angeles Unified School District Student OHANA **SHALEV** Date of Birth | 22-OCT-2010 Meeting Date 12-NOV-2020 First ΜI Last **Section E: Present Level of Performance** Performance Area: Behavior Intervention Category: Teacher Observation, Informal Testing, Work Samples, Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Strengths: Shalev was able to achieve both his Behavior Intervention goal - (When confronted with a problem, i.e., unexpected change to the schedule, not getting access to preferred item/activity, conflict with a peer, Shalev will stop, accept help from an adult to de-escalate and use his words to communicate his needs, given no more than 2 prompts in 4 out of 5 opportunities) and his Socialization goal (During recess or lunch, Shalev will initiate peer interactions using appropriate space and comments, given no more than 2 prompts in 4 out of 5 opportunities). Shalev demonstrates the ability to follow directives, complete his work, and express his wants and needs. Although Shalev would sometimes stall to line up after the recess and lunch bell rang or protested when going to mainstream class, he still followed classroom/school routines. When in school, Shalev demonstrated progress using appropriate words and comments to initiate social interactions with peers or to join games that were already in progress. With minimum prompt and redirection to use his words, Shalev was also accepting more adult support when presented with peer disagreement/conflict and/or presented with less preferred work, such as assignments requiring writing. Shalev can be very witty and funny. Needs: When presented with materials to learn, at times Shalev complains, protests and negates its importance. He presents himself with a very negative attitude toward many educational activities unless it is a preferred activity, and being done the way he likes it to be done. Although Shalev can follow directives, he is not always consistence and requires a support from adult to self-regulate and/or to follow through with starting/completing given demand/task. Before school closures, Shalev was engaging in non-compliance behaviors an average of 3 times per day when presented with less preferred task or task perceived difficult, and when not able to get access to a preferred item or activity. Performance Area: Behavior Intervention continued. Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Needs cont.: Shalev still needs to work on developing appropriate social skills in the area of peer conflict resolution. When Shalev became frustrated during a game or there was conflict about the game rules, Shalev would sometimes engage in tantrum behaviors observed as, pushing, kicking, non-compliance, and/or verbal protesting. Even Though verbal protesting occurs more often, before the school closures, tantrum behaviors were recorded to occur an average of 3 times per month. During distance learning, Shalev has been completing his work during 1:1 zoom sessions with BII and has been willing to write more when required in the assignment. However, he has difficulty remaining focused during zoom class and is often seen spinning in his chair. Shalev still demonstrates difficulty with some schedule changes such as if the class/session is longer than an hour or goes past the expected log off time. Shalev will sometimes log off before class/session is over and during 1:1 sessions he becomes repetitive asking how much until he is done. Leaving class earlier was also sometimes observed before school closures when in mainstream class. Impact of Disability: Shalev's special education eligibility of SLD (Specific Learning Disability) impacts his ability to independently regulate his feelings when frustrated or presented with peer conflict, which impacts his ability to access the curriculum in the General Education Classroom.

Page 5 of 30 INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP) Los Angeles Unified School District Student OHANA Date of Birth | 22-OCT-2010 Meeting Date 12-NOV-2020 First ΜI Last **Section E: Present Level of Performance** English Language Development Performance Area: Category: Teacher Observation, Informal Testing, Work Samples, Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: ELPAC State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Strengths: Shalev is highly verbal. He can communicate his basic needs and emotions with appropriate grammar and vocabulary. Shalev can retell information in the appropriate sequence using visual supports. He can also explain information with minimal prompting. Shalev shows good listening comprehension. Shalev was assessed with the ELPAC ??????? in Needs: Shaley continues to need support in reading. He still has a difficult time blending sounds together. During small group and one-on-one reading, Shalev will often say 'I don't know how to read' and can shut down. His lack of participation affects his progress with reading. Shalev needs to be reminded to look at the words when reading as he has a tendency to copy his peers or the adults. Shalev will make the initial sound of the word and substitute the remainder of the word with what he thinks it might be. Shalev needs to be able to write a complete sentences with subjects and predicates. Shalev was not able to achieve his IEP ELD goal: to decode multisyllabic words in isolation and/or in text with visual supports and teacher modeling as measured by student response/ teacher observation in 4 out of 5 trials with 80% accuracy. Impact of Disability: Shalev's Special Education eligibility of Specific Learning Disability (SLD) impairs his ability to read and to express himself in written language, which impacts his involvement and progress in the general education class in the English Language Development curriculum. Due to the Covid 19 school closure, summative ELPAC testing was unable to take place last year. Performance Area: Category: V Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance):

rage 0 01 30	Page	6	of	3	(
--------------	------	---	----	---	---

INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP) Los Angeles Unified School District Student OHANA Date of Birth | 22-OCT-2010 Meeting Date 12-NOV-2020 First MI Last **Section E: Present Level of Performance** Performance Area: Math Category: Teacher Observation, Informal Testing, Work Samples Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Strengths: Math is a preferred academic area for Shalev. He can calculate well using mental math. When asked to divide 360 by 2, he computed it all in his head, decomposing the numbers. Shalev can read numbers to the 10,000 place and identify the place value for each digit. Shalev is able to add numbers with regrouping into the thousands. Shalev is able to tell time to the hour and half hour. Shalev is about to subtract multi-digit numbers without regrouping (he has stated he doesn't like regrouping). Shalev can multiply numbers with facts of 1, 2, and 3 without any support. Shalev can count by 5s and 10s. Shalev shows good understanding of word problems. He is able to determine whether to add, subtract, multiply or divide simple numbers. Needs: Although Shalev is working on grade level math, Shalev has a difficult time with subtracting numbers that require borrowing/ regrouping. He will reverse the numbers to subtract. However, when trying to show Shalev different strategies of subtraction, he will begin to verbally protest and say 'this doesn't make any sense!' and avoid completing the task. Multiple modalities of teaching new strategies have been attempted with Shalev. He has a difficult time learning new concepts. This can be a concern as Shalev progresses into more advanced and multi-step math problems. Math is not necessarily a concern for Shalev, however if Shalev is not open to learning new strategies or math concepts, it can become challenging for him in the future. Impact of Disability: Shalev's eligibility of Specific Learning disability impacts his ability to learn new math concepts which impacts his involvement and progress in the general education curriculum. Performance Area: Category: V Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance):

Page 7 of 30 INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP) Los Angeles Unified School District Student OHANA Date of Birth | 22-OCT-2010 Meeting Date 12-NOV-2020 First ΜI Last **Section E: Present Level of Performance** Performance Area: Social-Emotional Status Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results: n/a Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Shalev recieved 120 monthly minutes of counseling per month. His goal was that Shalev will use self-calming strategies (e.g., deep breathing, self-talk, visualization, mindfulness) for managing negative feelings of frustration in 3 out of 4 trials per week with moderate adult support as measured by counselor observation. Strengths: Shalev generally enjoys coming to counseling sessions. In counseling, he prefers to participate in individual activities, and has recently been working better with the group. He had become more involved in group discussions, and improved with interactions with his peers. When provided several prompts by an adult, he is able to use calming strategies (taking a break, using assertive statements). In counseling, at times Shalev can begin speaking off-topic and requires redirection (2-3 prompts). He needs to continue implementing and practicing his positive coping skills in all environments, and with consistency. At times, when Shalev becomes frustrated, he stops engaging in a counseling activity or will make fun of a peer. When Shalev needs assistance with a counseling task, he will not ask for help and instead begin speaking off-topic, act out, or do a different non-agreed upon activity. Impact of disability: Shalev's Specific Learning Disability (SLD) may impact his peer/adult relations, his on-task behavior, his independent work habits and his progress and involvement in the general education curriculum. Performance Area: Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance):

Student OHANA SHALEV MI Section E: Present Level of Performance Performance Area: Articulation Category: Categ	Performance Area: Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Shalev is a ten year old boy who currently attends Serrania Charter and is in the fourth grade SLP SDP. He currently receives LAS services in the area of articulation for thirty minutes per week. Strengths: Shalev has met and exceeded his annual LAS goal of producing /r/ in words with 80% accuracy. Shalev is able to produce /r/ and /r/ blends in words and phrases and has begun to produce them in sentences with at least 70% accuracy Needs: Shalev is unable to consistency produce /r/ and /r/ blends in sentences with 80% accuracy or in spontaneous speech. Educational Impact: Shalev's difficulty producing /r/ may affect his ability to access the oral curriculum. Rachel Hochberg, M.S., CCC-SLP	Landan In the Calcal Day	.• . 4	INDIVIDUALIZEI	D EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)	Page
Last First MI Section E: Present Level of Performance Performance Area: Articulation	Last First MI Section E: Present Level of Performance Performance Area: Articulation Category: Informal Measures Used: State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Shalev is a ten year old boy who currently attends Serrania Charter and is in the fourth grade SLP SDP. He currently receives LAS services in the area of articulation for thirty minutes per week. Strengths: Shalev has met and exceeded his annual LAS goal of producing /r/ in words with 80% accuracy. Shalev is able to produce /r/ and /r/ blends in words and phrases and has begun to produce them in sentences with at least 70% accuracy Needs: Shalev is unable to consistency produce /r/ and /r/ blends in sentences with 80% accuracy or in spontaneous speech. Educational Impact: Shalev's difficulty producing /r/ may affect his ability to access the oral curriculum. Rachel Hochberg, M.S., CCC-SLP Performance Area: Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results:				Data of Birth 22 OCT 2010	Masting Data 12 NOV 2020
Section E: Present Level of Performance Performance Area: Articulation Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: Informal Measures Used: Current Performance/Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Shalev is a ten year old boy who currently attends Serrania Charter and is in the fourth grade SLP SDP. He currently receives LAS services in the area of articulation for thirty minutes per week. Strengths: Shalev has met and exceeded his annual LAS goal of producing /r/ in words with 80% accuracy. Shalev is able to produce /r/ and /r/ blends in words and phrases and has begun to produce them in sentences with at least 70% accuracy or in spontaneous speech. Educational Impact: Shalev's difficulty producing /r/ may affect his ability to access the oral curriculum. Rachel Hochberg, M.S., CCC-SLP Performance Area: Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:	Section E: Present Level of Performance Performance Area: Articulation Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Shalev is a ten year old boy who currently attends Serrania Charter and is in the fourth grade SLP SDP. He currently receives LAS services in the area of articulation for thirty minutes per week. Strengths: Shalev has met and exceeded his annual LAS goal of producing /r/ in words with 80% accuracy. Shalev is able to produce /r/ and /r/ blends in words and phrases and has begun to produce them in sentences with at least 70% accuracy Needs: Shalev is unable to consistency produce /r/ and /r/ blends in sentences with 80% accuracy or in spontaneous speech. Educational Impact: Shalev's difficulty producing /r/ may affect his ability to access the oral curriculum. Rachel Hochberg, M.S., CCC-SLP Performance Area: Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results:			MI	Date of Birth 22-OC1-2010	Meeting Date 12-NOV-2020
Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Jsed: State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Shalev is a ten year old boy who currently attends Serrania Charter and is in the fourth grade SLP SDP. He currently receives LAS services in the area of articulation for thirty minutes per week. Strengths: Shalev has met and exceeded his annual LAS goal of producing /r/ in words with 80% accuracy. Shalev is able to produce /r/ and /r/ blends in words and phrases and has begun to produce them in sentences with at least 70% accuracy. Needs: Shalev is unable to consistency produce /r/ and /r/ blends in sentences with 80% accuracy or in spontaneous speech. Educational Impact: Shalev's difficulty producing /r/ may affect his ability to access the oral curriculum. Rachel Hochberg, M.S., CCC-SLP Performance Area: Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Jsed: State/District Assessment Results:	Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Shalev is a ten year old boy who currently attends Serrania Charter and is in the fourth grade SLP SDP. He currently receives LAS services in the area of articulation for thirty minutes per week. Strengths: Shalev has met and exceeded his annual LAS goal of producing /r/ in words with 80% accuracy. Shalev is able to produce /r/ and /r/ blends in words and phrases and has begun to produce them in sentences with at least 70% accuracy Needs: Shalev is unable to consistency produce /r/ and /r/ blends in sentences with 80% accuracy or in spontaneous speech. Educational Impact: Shalev's difficulty producing /r/ may affect his ability to access the oral curriculum. Rachel Hochberg, M.S., CCC-SLP Performance Area: Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results:	Last	11 50		resent Level of Performance	
Assessment/Monitoring Process Jsed: State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Shalev is a ten year old boy who currently attends Serrania Charter and is in the fourth grade SLP SDP. He currently receives LAS services in the area of articulation for thirty minutes per week. Strengths: Shalev has met and exceeded his annual LAS goal of producing /r/ in words with 80% accuracy. Shalev is able to produce /r/ and /r/ blends in words and phrases and has begun to produce them in sentences with at least 70% accuracy Needs: Shalev is unable to consistency produce /r/ and /r/ blends in sentences with 80% accuracy or in spontaneous speech. Educational Impact: Shalev's difficulty producing /r/ may affect his ability to access the oral curriculum. Rachel Hochberg, M.S., CCC-SLP Performance Area: Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Jsed: State/District Assessment Results:	Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Shalev is a ten year old boy who currently attends Serrania Charter and is in the fourth grade SLP SDP. He currently receives LAS services in the area of articulation for thirty minutes per week. Strengths: Shalev has met and exceeded his annual LAS goal of producing /r/ in words with 80% accuracy. Shalev is able to produce /r/ and /r/ blends in words and phrases and has begun to produce them in sentences with at least 70% accuracy Needs: Shalev is unable to consistency produce /r/ and /r/ blends in sentences with 80% accuracy or in spontaneous speech. Educational Impact: Shalev's difficulty producing /r/ may affect his ability to access the oral curriculum. Rachel Hochberg, M.S., CCC-SLP Performance Area: Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results:	Performance Area:	Articula	ition		
Used: State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Shalev is a ten year old boy who currently attends Serrania Charter and is in the fourth grade SLP SDP. He currently receives LAS services in the area of articulation for thirty minutes per week. Strengths: Shalev has met and exceeded his annual LAS goal of producing /r/ in words with 80% accuracy. Shalev is able to produce /r/ and /r/ blends in words and phrases and has begun to produce them in sentences with at least 70% accuracy Needs: Shalev is unable to consistency produce /r/ and /r/ blends in sentences with 80% accuracy or in spontaneous speech. Educational Impact: Shalev's difficulty producing /r/ may affect his ability to access the oral curriculum. Rachel Hochberg, M.S., CCC-SLP Performance Area: Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results:	Used: State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Shalev is a ten year old boy who currently attends Serrania Charter and is in the fourth grade SLP SDP. He currently receives LAS services in the area of articulation for thirty minutes per week. Strengths: Shalev has met and exceeded his annual LAS goal of producing /r/ in words with 80% accuracy. Shalev is able to produce /r/ and /r/ blends in words and phrases and has begun to produce them in sentences with at least 70% accuracy Needs: Shalev is unable to consistency produce /r/ and /r/ blends in sentences with 80% accuracy or in spontaneous speech. Educational Impact: Shalev's difficulty producing /r/ may affect his ability to access the oral curriculum. Rachel Hochberg, M.S., CCC-SLP Performance Area: Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Jsed: State/District Assessment Results:	Category:			•	
Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Shalev is a ten year old boy who currently attends Serrania Charter and is in the fourth grade SLP SDP. He currently receives LAS services in the area of articulation for thirty minutes per week. Strengths: Shalev has met and exceeded his annual LAS goal of producing /r/ in words with 80% accuracy. Shalev is able to produce /r/ and /r/ blends in words and phrases and has begun to produce them in sentences with at least 70% accuracy Needs: Shalev is unable to consistency produce /r/ and /r/ blends in sentences with 80% accuracy or in spontaneous speech. Educational Impact: Shalev's difficulty producing /r/ may affect his ability to access the oral curriculum. Rachel Hochberg, M.S., CCC-SLP Performance Area: Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Jsed: State/District Assessment Results:	Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Shalev is a ten year old boy who currently attends Serrania Charter and is in the fourth grade SLP SDP. He currently receives LAS services in the area of articulation for thirty minutes per week. Strengths: Shalev has met and exceeded his annual LAS goal of producing /r/ in words with 80% accuracy. Shalev is able to produce /r/ and /r/ blends in words and phrases and has begun to produce them in sentences with at least 70% accuracy Needs: Shalev is unable to consistency produce /r/ and /r/ blends in sentences with 80% accuracy or in spontaneous speech. Educational Impact: Shalev's difficulty producing /r/ may affect his ability to access the oral curriculum. Rachel Hochberg, M.S., CCC-SLP Performance Area: Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Jsed: State/District Assessment Results:		Informa	l Measures		
Shalev is a ten year old boy who currently attends Serrania Charter and is in the fourth grade SLP SDP. He currently receives LAS services in the area of articulation for thirty minutes per week. Strengths: Shalev has met and exceeded his annual LAS goal of producing /r/ in words with 80% accuracy. Shalev is able to produce /r/ and /r/ blends in words and phrases and has begun to produce them in sentences with at least 70% accuracy Needs: Shalev is unable to consistency produce /r/ and /r/ blends in sentences with 80% accuracy or in spontaneous speech. Educational Impact: Shalev's difficulty producing /r/ may affect his ability to access the oral curriculum. Rachel Hochberg, M.S., CCC-SLP Performance Area: Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Jsed: State/District Assessment Results:	Shalev is a ten year old boy who currently attends Serrania Charter and is in the fourth grade SLP SDP. He currently receives LAS services in the area of articulation for thirty minutes per week. Strengths: Shalev has met and exceeded his annual LAS goal of producing /r/ in words with 80% accuracy. Shalev is able to produce /r/ and /r/ blends in words and phrases and has begun to produce them in sentences with at least 70% accuracy Needs: Shalev is unable to consistency produce /r/ and /r/ blends in sentences with 80% accuracy or in spontaneous speech. Educational Impact: Shalev's difficulty producing /r/ may affect his ability to access the oral curriculum. Rachel Hochberg, M.S., CCC-SLP Performance Area: Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results:	State/District Assessment Results:				
Shalev is a ten year old boy who currently attends Serrania Charter and is in the fourth grade SLP SDP. He currently receives LAS services in the area of articulation for thirty minutes per week. Strengths: Shalev has met and exceeded his annual LAS goal of producing /r/ in words with 80% accuracy. Shalev is able to produce /r/ and /r/ blends in words and phrases and has begun to produce them in sentences with at least 70% accuracy Needs: Shalev is unable to consistency produce /r/ and /r/ blends in sentences with 80% accuracy or in spontaneous speech. Educational Impact: Shalev's difficulty producing /r/ may affect his ability to access the oral curriculum. Rachel Hochberg, M.S., CCC-SLP Performance Area: Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Jsed: State/District Assessment Results:	Shalev is a ten year old boy who currently attends Serrania Charter and is in the fourth grade SLP SDP. He currently receives LAS services in the area of articulation for thirty minutes per week. Strengths: Shalev has met and exceeded his annual LAS goal of producing /r/ in words with 80% accuracy. Shalev is able to produce /r/ and /r/ blends in words and phrases and has begun to produce them in sentences with at least 70% accuracy Needs: Shalev is unable to consistency produce /r/ and /r/ blends in sentences with 80% accuracy or in spontaneous speech. Educational Impact: Shalev's difficulty producing /r/ may affect his ability to access the oral curriculum. Rachel Hochberg, M.S., CCC-SLP Performance Area: Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results:	Current Performance/Assessment Sun	nmary (inclu	ide student strengths,	student needs and impact of disability on stude	ent performance):
Performance Area: Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results:	Performance Area: Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results:	area of articulation for thirty minutes Strengths: Shalev has met and exceeded blends in words and phrases and has Needs: Shalev is unable to consistent Educational Impact: Shalev's difficulties	s per week. eded his annotation begun to produce	nual LAS goal of produce them in sentence /r/ and /r/ blends in se	ucing /r/ in words with 80% accuracy. Shalev i ces with at least 70% accuracy entences with 80% accuracy or in spontaneous	is able to produce /r/ and /r/
Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results:	Category: Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results:	Rachel Hochberg, M.S., CCC-SLP				
Assessment/Monitoring Process Jsed: State/District Assessment Results:	Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results:	Performance Area:				
Jsed: State/District Assessment Results:	Used: State/District Assessment Results:	Category:			•	
Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance):	Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance):	State/District Assessment Results:				

Page	9	of	3	0

INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP) Los Angeles Unified School District Student OHANA **SHALEV** Date of Birth | 22-OCT-2010 Meeting Date 12-NOV-2020 First MI Last **Section E: Present Level of Performance** Performance Area: Fine Motor Skills Category: Observation, record review, work samples, teacher input Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results: n/a Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Data and progress reporting is based upon Welligent documentation prior to the March 16, 2020 COVID-19 school facility closures and from the 1 OT sessions Aviv has attend this 2020 Fall semester. Shalev was out of the country at the beginning of the fall semester and returned and reenrolled recently. Students Areas of Strengths: Shalev continues to present with many strengths that assist him in accessing his educational curriculum. He demonstrates appropriate foundational neuromuscular functioning to participate in his educational program. He demonstrates functional range of motion, strength, and postural stability to participate in table top tasks. He continues to demonstrate functional visual skills track a moving object in all directions and to locate items within the classroom as needed. He demonstrates adequte fine motor skills to manipulate tongs, glue sticks and scissors during classroom and therapeutic activities. He demonstrates the visual motor skills to cut simple/complex shapes with good accuracy. Shaley continues to demonstrate the ability to able to complete 3-step directions involving both fine motor and gross motor movements with min verbal prompts when motivated. He continues to demonstrate the ability able to copy all all uppercase and lowercase letters of the alphabet and simple words when provided a near point model. He is meeting his current fine motor goal at 70% accuracy, which is to write 2-3 sentences (4-6 words in length) with 85% accuracy for line regard, sizing, and appropriate letter formation with no more than 2 visual/verbal prompts in 3/4 opportunities. Shalev continues to utilize his left hand to demonstrate a functional quadrupod grasp when using classroom utensils. His teacher reports that his writing is legible with some errors in reversals. Performance Area: continued Category: V Assessment/Monitoring Process Used: State/District Assessment Results: Current Performance/Assessment Summary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): Students Areas of Need: Shalev displays challenges with fine motor skills. Shalev continues to present with inconsistencies with line adherence, letter sizing, letter formation, and spacing between words depending on his level of engagement. He reverses b/d and does not always use capitalization and punctuation as needed when writing. He continues to struggle with speed and fluidity, which impacts is his ability to complete assignments in the allotted time. Impact of student's disability on academic and overall performance: Shalev's eligibility of SLD as well as his delays in fine motor skills impact his ability to completed written work to make progress with the general education curriculum. Brooke Pulley MOT, OTR/L School-based Occupational Therapy

11/12/2020

Student OHANA	d School Dist							
Last		First	MI		Date of Bi	rth 22-OCT-2010		Meeting Date 12-NOV-2020
Last		n st	1411	Section	n F: Eligibility			
pplicable, areas dis	scussed related t	to disability o	or suspected (disability:				
· Initial IEP, interve	entions attempte	d prior to det	termining eliş	gibility:				
gible as a student w	vith the disabilit	y of:						
de: SLD		Specific	Learning Dis	ability				
Not A	Applicable,	OBlind of			ially Sighted			
de:	Ziice Ziigieiiie)	(611) 101 + 1,	222, 22.1,	11011, 01 50				
Not 4	Applicable,	OBlind o	or	Part	ially Sighted			
Does not meet elig								
	, the student rem	nains eligible	e for Special 1	Education S	ervices until the Eff			
al IEP Reason:					Final IEP I	Effective Date:		
e IEP Team has co	onsidered and a	agrees that t	he education	ial needs of	the student are no	ot primarily due to	o:	
7 C ' 1 M 1 1'	stment		✓ Te	emporary Ph	nysical Disability		Lack of	instruction in reading
Social Maladju	tion in math		🗸 Li	imited Engli	ish Proficiency		✓	
Lack of instruc	tion in main			C		I		ntal, Cultural or Economic Factors

Student OHANA	SHALEV		Date of Birth 22-OCT-2010	Mosting Date 12 NOV 2020
Last	First	MI	Date of Birtil 22-0C1-2010	Meeting Date 12-NOV-2020
Lust	11130	Section G: Annual G	oals and Objectives	
ormance Area:	Articulation C	ategory:	✓ Annual G	oal #: 9
Shalev will produce /r/ an	d /r/ blends in conversations	to improve intelligibility wh	here he is understood at least 80% of the ti	ime over 3 consecutive
sessions.				
	to be reported to parents by Progress Report or Report C	Card periods.	t of Progress and Achievement from Cur	rrent IEP" form(s) which
		Methods of		
State Assessments		Referenced	Criterion Referenced	Curriculum Based
Observation	Portfo	lio	Work Samples	Informal
☐ Other				
ncremental objective #1			Incremental objective #2 related to	
=	nd /r/ blends in sentences wi	th 80% accuracy over 3	Shalev will produce /r/ and /r/ blends	
sessions.			where he is understood at least 70% o	If the time over 3 consecutive sessions
A GOAL MET OR		EXPLANATIO	Date to be achieved: CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of	
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED	3 SUBSTANTIAL PROmet)	EXPLANATION OF GRESS (50-99% of goal	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of §	EP goal met) 1 NO PROGRESS
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period	3 SUBSTANTIAL PROmet) 2nd Reporting Period	EXPLANATION OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATION OF GRESS (50-99% of goal and Gregoring Period)	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I	EP
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED	3 SUBSTANTIAL PROmet)	EXPLANATION OF GRESS (50-99% of goal	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of §	EP goal met) 1 NO PROGRESS
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date:	3 SUBSTANTIAL PROmet) 2nd Reporting Period Date:	EXPLANATION GRESS (50-99% of goal and Reporting Period Date:	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of g 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date:	goal met) 1 NO PROGRESS Goal Achievement
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period	3 SUBSTANTIAL PROmet) 2nd Reporting Period	EXPLANATION OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATION OF GRESS (50-99% of goal and Gregoring Period)	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of § 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only)	goal met) I NO PROGRESS Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met:
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date:	3 SUBSTANTIAL PROmet) 2nd Reporting Period Date:	EXPLANATION GRESS (50-99% of goal and Reporting Period Date:	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of g 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date:	goal met) 1 NO PROGRESS Goal Achievement
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to	3 SUBSTANTIAL PROmet) 2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to	EXPLANATION GRESS (50-99% of goal and Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of § 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual	goal met) I NO PROGRESS Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met:
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark:	3 SUBSTANTIAL PROmet) 2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark:	EXPLANATION GRESS (50-99% of goal and Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark:	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of g 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date: Progress Mark:	EP goal met) 1 NO PROGRESS Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: Yes No
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to	3 SUBSTANTIAL PROmet) 2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark:	EXPLANATION GRESS (50-99% of goal and Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of § 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual	goal met) I NO PROGRESS Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: Yes No Objective 2 Met:
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please	JEP REPORT 3 SUBSTANTIAL PROmet) 2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please	Progress Mark: Progress Mark: By progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of g 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal?	goal met) I NO PROGRESS Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: Yes No Objective 2 Met: Yes No
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No	JEP REPORT 3 SUBSTANTIAL PROmet) 2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No	EXPLANATION GRESS (50-99% of goal and separate and separate annual goal? Yes No	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of g 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment:	goal met) I NO PROGRESS Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: Yes No Objective 2 Met: Yes No
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please	JEP REPORT 3 SUBSTANTIAL PROmet) 2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please	Progress Mark: Progress Mark: By progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of g 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time	goal met) I NO PROGRESS Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: Yes No Objective 2 Met: Yes No
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess	JEP REPORT 3 SUBSTANTIAL PROmet) 2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess	EXPLANATION EXPLANATION OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATION OF PROGRESS (50-99% of goal and state of goal and goal an	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of g 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy	goal met) I NO PROGRESS Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: Yes No Objective 2 Met: Yes No
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy	JEP REPORT 3 SUBSTANTIAL PROmet) 2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy	EXPLANATION EXPLANATION OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATION OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATION OF PROGRESS (50-99% of goal of the progress Mark: Progress Mark:	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of g 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time	goal met) I NO PROGRESS Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: Yes No Objective 2 Met: Yes No
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not	JEP REPORT 3 SUBSTANTIAL PROmet) 2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not	EXPLANATION EXPLANATION OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATION OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATION OF PROGRESS (50-99% of goal of goa	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of good of g	goal met) I NO PROGRESS Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: Yes No Objective 2 Met: Yes No
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not Completed	JEP REPORT 3 SUBSTANTIAL PROmet) 2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not Completed	Progress Mark: Brogress Sand A EXPLANATION GRESS (50-99% of goal of g	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of good of g	goal met) I NO PROGRESS Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: Yes No Objective 2 Met: Yes No
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDED 1st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not	JEP REPORT 3 SUBSTANTIAL PROmet) 2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not	EXPLANATION EXPLANATION OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATION OF PROGRESS AND A EXPLANATION OF PROGRESS (50-99% of goal of goa	CHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT I ON OF MARKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49% of good of g	goal met) I NO PROGRESS Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: Yes No Objective 2 Met: Yes No

INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)

Los Angeles Unified School District

Student OHANA SHALEV Date of Birth 22-OCT-2010 Meeting Date 12-NOV-2020

Last First MI

Section K: Participation in State and District-wide Assessments

Assessments administered will conform to those assessments determined for each grade by the California Department of Education and/or the Los Angeles Unified School District.

Student will participate in Regular State and District Assessments.

CAASPP Subject

(Designated Supports and/or Accommodations identified below are applicable)

ELA and Math

Designated Supports:

- Test in a separate/smaller setting
- Text-to-speech software enabled (for math items and ELA items except for reading passages)

Accommodations:

- Text-to-Speech software enabled for ELA reading passages (embedded accommodation).
- Speech-to-Text voice recognition software enabled for ELA performance writing tasks only (non-embedded accommodation)...Can be used for note-taking-preparation for the assessment task and/or for the writing assessment task.

Student will participate in Regular State and District Assessments.

ELPAC Subject

(Designated Supports and/or Accommodations identified below are applicable)

Reading

Designated Supports:

- Simplified or paraphrased test directions (non-embedded designated support)

Accommodations:

- Supervised breaks within a section of the test

Student will participate in Regular State and District Assessments.

ELPAC Subject

(Designated Supports and/or Accommodations identified below are applicable)

Writing

Designated Supports:

- Simplified or paraphrased test directions (non-embedded designated support)

Accommodations:

- Speech-to-text voice recognition allows students to use their voice as an input device to dictate responses or give commands (non-embedded accommodations)

Student will participate in Regular State and District Assessments.

ELPAC Subject

(Designated Supports and/or Accommodations identified below are applicable)

Speaking

Designated Supports:

- Pause or replay the audio during the administration of test questions (speaking domain only—summarize an academic presentation only)
- Simplified or paraphrased test directions (non-embedded designated support)

Accommodations:

- Text-to-speech must specify the following: Items only, Stimuli only, or Stimuli and Items. (Listening, Speaking, Writing) (embedded accommodation)
- Supervised breaks within a section of the test

Student will participate in Regular State and District Assessments.

ELPAC Subject

(Designated Supports and/or Accommodations identified below are applicable)

Listening

Designated Supports:

- Pause or replay the audio during the administration of test questions (listening domain only)

Accommodations:

- Text-to-speech must specify the following: Items only, Stimuli only, or Stimuli and Items. (Listening, Speaking, Writing) (embedded accommodation)
- Supervised breaks within a section of the test

	INDIVIDUALIZED EDUC	ATION PROGRAM (IEP)	Page 21 of 30
Los Angeles Unified School District		D	M. (1. D. (12.NOV.2022)
Student OHANA SHALEV Last First	MI	Date of Birth 22-OCT-2010	Meeting Date 12-NOV-2020
		ards and Follow-up Actions	
✓ A Parent's Guide to Special Education Servi	ces including Procedural Ri	ghts & Safeguards was provided to the	ne parent in his/her primary language.
✓ The IEP Team Meeting Introductory Statement	s were read aloud at the begin	ning of the IEP Team meeting.	
The parent/guardian was informed of his/her rig	ght to a written translation of t	the IEP.	
Is the parent/guardian requesting translation service	es? • Yes	No	
If yes, the parent/guardian has requested a writt	en translation of the IEP in	Hebrew	
Specify the Individual Pages to be translated:			
The complete IEP			
Special Requests: For students who are 17 years old, the student is			
student at 18 years of age, unless the court has	determined otherwise.		
T	HIS SPACE DELIBEI	RATELY LEFT BLANK.	

Los Angeles Unified Scho	al District	INDIVIDUALIZED ED	UCATION PROGRAM (IE	P)	1 age 22 01 30
Student OHANA	SHALEV		Date of Birth 22-OCT	r-2010 Meet	ing Date 12-NOV-2020
Last	First	MI			
		Section Q: Parent Pa	rticipation and Consen	t	
P	arent Participation	1		Parent Notification	n
able to attend.	icated before the m s notified 3 times o respond to any of the Parent/Student (not attend and gav	eeting that they would not be f the meeting time and place. the meeting notifications and 18-21) present	Phone I (PARENT) acknowledge	rent initials here ONLY	When 23-OCT-2020 30-OCT-2020 03-NOV-2020 s rescheduled to this date at my if the PARENT requested that
	Parent/Stu	dent (18-21) Agreemen	t to Components of the	Proposed IEP	
A Parent/Student (18-21) m implement those portions of Parent/Student (18-21) AC Parent/Student (18-21) AC Assessment	The IEP to which GREES to all comp	the parent/student (18-21) onents of the IEP.		providing instruction as	
☐ Eligibility ☐ Instructional ☐ Services	Specify SettingSpecify Specify				
		REE with any of the compor	cents of the proposed IED		
A Parent/Student (18-21) is not agree. If a parent/studen information on dispute reso <i>Rights and Safeguards</i>).	t (18-21) does wis	sh to initiate a form of disp the District's publication,	oute resolution as to the cor	nponents of the propos	sed IEP, the parent can find
Signature(s)				Date	
O Guardian	21 year			Emancipated Minor	O Foster Parent
Did the school district facilitate I certify that I have receively voluntary and can be done a	ived a copy of the	e Parent Input Survey regar	ervices and results for your charding the IEP process. I und		
Signature(s)				Date	12-NOV-2020

PARENT INPUT SURVEY

Would you please take a moment to complete the survey below.

The information you provide will help us improve the Individualized Education Program (IEP) process. Thank you in advance for your time and interest.

ALL INFORMATION IS CONFIDENTIAL

Does Not

Apply

Yes No

DIRECTIONS: After each statement, please place an X on the line that describes your experience with your child's IEP.

A. Regarding your child's current IEP:

1.	I am satisfied with the IEP meeting.		
2.	I feel that the IEP accurately reflects the decisions made at the IEP meeting.		
3.	I received notice of the IEP meeting.		
4.	I received "The IEP and You" handbook with the notice of the IEP meeting.		
5.	During the IEP process I received "A Parent's Guide to Special Education Services (Including Procedural Rights and Safeguards)" explaining my rights as a parent.		
6.	The IEP meeting was held in an appropriate setting.		
7.	I feel I was treated as an equal and important part of the IEP team.		
8.	The participants at the IEP meeting were prepared and informed.		
9.	Placements for my child, including the general education setting, were discussed and decided upon.		
10.	Related services were discussed and decided upon, if relevant.		
11.	If my child is 14 years old or older, an Individualized Transition Plan (ITP) was developed with my child's and my concerns in mind.		
12.	At the end of the IEP meeting the decisions were summarized.		
13.	If I needed an oral interpretation of the IEP team meeting an interpreter was provided.		
14.	The interpretation of the IEP team meeting enabled me to participate and make an informed decision regarding my child's IEP during the IEP meeting.		
15.	The interpreter stayed for the duration of the IEP team meeting.		
16.	If the interpreter left the IEP meeting before it was over, another staff member served as the interpreter and the interpretation was adequate.		
17.	I am aware that, if I am dissatisfied with the adequacy of the oral interpretation at the IEP team meeting, I can file a complaint with the Educational Equity Compliance Office at (213) 241-7682.		
18.	If I needed a written translation of the IEP, translation services were offered.		
19.	I am aware that, if I do not receive a copy of the translated IEP, I can contact the site administrator or call the Division of Special Education at (213) 241-6701.		
si	any of the answers to items 13–16 or 18–19 was No, please discuss your concern(s) with the te administrator or call the Division of Special Education at (213) 241-6701.		
8000	Regarding your child's previous IEP (if relevant):		
20.	I am satisfied that my child received the services described on the previous IEP. (If your answer to this question is "No", please write concerns below.)		
		Additiona	l Comments

IND os Angeles Unified School District	IVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)	Page 23
oo i angeree e annou e anou e a suite		Reconvened Meeting Date
Student OHANA SHALEV Last First	Date of Birth 22-OCT-201	
Sec	ction R: Names and Signatures (Signatures on File)	
Team Member	Print Name	Signature
Parent/Guardian	Einav Amar	VIII
Parent/Guardian		
Student Age 18 - 21 years		
Student Under Age 18 years		
Surrogate Parent		
Foster Parent		
Family Foster Home Provider		
Administrator	Maria Vallone	Maria Vallone
Administrative Designee		
Special Education Teacher	Lesley Lauer, participated via zoom	
General Education Teacher	Emad Elias, participated via phone	
School Psychologist	Mor Saghezi	Mor Saghezi
School Nurse		
Related Service Staff OT	Brooke Pulley	Brooke Pulley
Related Service Staff LAS	Rachel Hochberg, M.S., CCC-SLP	Rachel Hochberg
Related Service Staff		
interpreter		
Sign Language Interpreter		
Agency Representative		
Agency Representative		
Agency Representative		

Nayeli Elizalde

NPA/BID

Other

Other

Other

Other

Now Eury

				INDIVIDU	ALIZED EDUC	CATION PRO	GRAM (IEP)		Page 24 o
os Angeles Student		chool Distr SHAI Fi		MI		Date of Birth	22-OCT-2010	Meeting Date	12-NOV-2020
			LEAS	T RESTR	ICTIVE EN	VIRONM	ENT ANALYSI	S	
			Т	-	ted By the IEP tudent's Current		EP Team Meeting		
General	Education	Class/Gene	ral Educa	ation Site		O Special	Day Program/General	Education Site	
Special	Day Progra	am/Special I	ducation	Center		O Nonpub	olic School		
Home/F	Hospital or	Residential	Care Fac	ility					
							regarding placement fr eates YES, it is also rec		
equired sup nere is a co	pports, servompelling r the quality	rices, accom eason why the of services the supports, se	nodation ney canno hat he or	as and modific to to the provided she needs.	cations is not th	e sole justifica ne LRE, consi	satisfactorily. The lack ation for placement in deration is given to an student's IEP be made	a more restrictive y potential harm	e setting, unless ful effect on the
•	classroo Yes	m/setting?	If the an	swer is YES,	then a general e	education clas	sroom/setting is the ap	propriate placem	nent. If the answer is
	No			to the question					
	O Yes	O No	available and/or m	e in a general	education class must be provide	room/setting?	s, services, accommoda If YES, all required so sonable timeline. If th	upports, services	, accommodations
	Student n	eeds a small	elass size	to support his a	academics.				
Step B.		supports, se		commodation	ns and/or modif	ications in the	student's IEP be made	e available on a g	general education sit
	O Yes	○ No	answer i	s NO, go to tl	ne question belo	ow.	n a general education s		
	O Yes	O No	available accomm	e in a special odations and/	day program on	a general edu s must be pro	s, services, accommoda acation site? If YES, al vided within a reasona	l required suppo	rts, services,
			uiat			. 50 to buch C	-		

s Angolo	s Unified S	School Dist	riet	INDIVIDUALIZED	EDUCATION PRO	GRAM (IEP)		
Student		SHA	ALEV First	MI	Date of Birth	22-OCT-2010	Meeting Date	12-NOV-2020
	A	NNUAL		RESTRICTIVE Be Completed By the		ENT ANALYSIS P Team Meeting	(Continued))
Step C.	Can the	supports, s	ervices, aco	commodations and/or	modifications in the	student's IEP be made	available in a sp	pecial school setting
•	O Yes	O No	If the ans	_	ecial school setting i	s the appropriate place	ment. If the answ	ver is NO, go to the
	O Yes	O No	f not curr available modificat	rently available, can the in a special school se	tting? If YES, all red d within a reasonable	services, accommodat juired supports, service e timeline. If the answe	es, accommodati	ons and/or
Step D.	Can the	supports, s	ervices, acc	commodations and/or	modifications in the	student's IEP be made	available in a ho	ome/hospital setting
	O Yes	○ No		swer is YES, then a ho swer is NO, go to the o		s the appropriate place	ment.	
	O Yes	O No	If not currently available, can the required supports, services, accommodations and/or modifications be made available in a home/hospital setting? If YES, all required supports, services, accommodations and/or modifications must be provided within a reasonable timeline. If the answer is NO, please articulate why in the box below. Then go to Step E.					
Step E.	Can the facility?		ervices, acc	commodations and/or	modifications in the	student's IEP be made	available in a re	sidential care
	O Yes	O No		rrently available, articular articul	ulate in the IEP what	supports, accommoda	tions and/or mod	lifications are requi

Student (Unified Schoon School S	ool District SHALEV First	MI	EDUCATION PROO Date of Birth	22-OCT-2010	Meeting Date	12-NOV-2020	
	ANN		RESTRICTIVE			(Continued)		
Step F.	The student's needs as reflected in the contents of this IEP, and the placement being considered by the IEP team, outwein potential harmful effects at this time, including (check all that apply):							
<l< th=""><th>Missed genera Rate at which Lack of oppor Lack of oppor Amount of soo Limited access</th><th>cess to the full range of all education instruction student may earn cred tunity for social interactunities for age-approprialization opportunities to peers in student's hure to appropriate behavior</th><th>taught by highly quits for graduation etion riate peer role modes with typical peers nome community</th><th>els</th><th></th><th></th></l<>	Missed genera Rate at which Lack of oppor Lack of oppor Amount of soo Limited access	cess to the full range of all education instruction student may earn cred tunity for social interactunities for age-approprialization opportunities to peers in student's hure to appropriate behavior	taught by highly quits for graduation etion riate peer role modes with typical peers nome community	els			

	INI	DIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEI		Page 2
Los Angeles Unified Student OHANA			rt 1 - Eli	gibility, Placements and Supports Meeting Date 12-NOV-2020
Last	First	MI	-2010	Witting Date 12-100 V-2020
		Effective With this IEP	Future	Changes Related to this IEP
	As of Date:			
Eligibility:		Eligible (SLD)) [
from Page 4)	Final IEP Reason Final IEP Effective Date:			
Curriculum		General Education		
Placement	Type of School	District Non-Resident School		
	Name of School	SERRANIA AVE CES		
nstructional Setting	Setting	Special Education		
	Program	SLD		
	Special Day	980		
	Minutes/Wk Addresses Goals	2(Reading),3(Writing),4(ELD),1(Behavior),6(Behavior Interventio),7(Math),8(Social- Emotional),9(Articulation),10(Fine Motor)		
Additional Factors	Low Incident Support	None		
	Assistive Technology Support	No		
	Transportation	School to School		
	Extended School Year/Intersession	Yes No		
	Parent Counseling and Training (PCT)	○ Yes ○ No		
	ESY Transportation	School to School		
Accommodation, Modifications, Supports	Instructional Accommodations	Attaining Shalev's attention before asking questions; problems and text read to him, accept oral response, opportunities to respond and participate, assignments chunked and broken down, additional time as needed, opportunities for breaks, word banks, reinforcement for completion of work and behavior, graphic organizers as needed, allow Shalev to explain his thought process for answers, etc.		
	Instructional Modifications	Out of grade level materials to be used as necessary.		
	Other Supports, including Non- Academic and Extra- curricular Activities			
Preparation for Three Year Review IEP (At the second Annual Review IEP Meeting, the team must discuss and document the	Do the Parent and the District (local educational agency) agree that a reassessment is unnecessary?	○ Yes ③ No		
decision to conduct or not conduct a three- year comprehensive reassessment.)	If the Parent does not agree, specify the area(s) to be reassessed.			

Comments, as appropriate

Low Incidence Equipment	
Assistive Technology Equipment	
Participation in General Education	Shalev will participate in GE for the Arts, P.E., Science Lab all school-wide assemblies, field trips. He will be mainstreamed for social studies, science and health in GE as deemed appropriate, and for Math as deemed appropriate by the team in General Education.

NDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)

Los Angel	les Unified S	School District	INDIVIDUALIZ	IEP FAPE Part 2 - Summary of	f Services	
Student C	DHANA	SHALEV		Date of Birth 22-OCT-2010	Meeting	12-NOV-2020
	Last	First	MI		Date	

		Effective With This IEP	Future Changes Related To This IEP
Service 1	Start Date:	Effective on Signature Date	
04	End Date:		
Counseling and Guidance	Service applies to:	Regular	
	Frequency:	1-5	
This service addresses the following goals:	Interval:	Monthly	
8(Social-Emotional)	Minutes/Interval:	120	
	Minutes/Interval (Pullout from Gen Ed):	0	
	Service Delivery Model:	Direct Service (By a Single Provider)*	
	Responsible Personnel:	Licensed/Credentialed Provider	
	*		
Service 2	Start Date:	Effective on Signature Date	
10	End Date:		
Language/Speech	Service applies to:	Regular	
	Frequency:	1	
This service addresses the following goals:	Interval:	Weekly	
9(Articulation)	Minutes/Interval:	30	
,	Minutes/Interval (Pullout from Gen Ed):	0	
	Service Delivery Model:	Direct Service (Collaborative)*	
	Area:	School	-Based
	Responsible Personnel:	Licensed/Credentialed Provider	
		Special Education Teacher	

Service 3	Start Date:	Effective on Signature Date	
16	End Date:		
Occupational Therapy	Service applies to:	Regular	
	Frequency:	1-5	
This service addresses the following goals:	Interval:	Monthly	
10(Fine Motor)	Minutes/Interval:	120	
. (Minutes/Interval (Pullout from Gen Ed):	0	
	Service Delivery Model:	Direct Service (Collaborative)*	
	Responsible Personnel:	Licensed/Credentialed Provider	
		Special Education Teacher	
	*		
	•		
Service 4	Start Date:	Effective on Signature Date	
33	End Date:		
Behavior Intervention Development (BID)	Service applies to:	Regular	
	Frequency:	1-10	
		Mandala	
This service addresses the following goals:	Interval:	Monthly	
	Interval: Minutes/Interval:	360	

	Responsible Personnel:	Other Provider(s)	
		Licensed/Credentialed Provider	
	*		
	'		
Service 5	Start Date:	Effective on Signature Date	
16	End Date:		
Occupational Therapy	Service applies to:	ESY	
	Frequency:	1-5	
This service addresses the following goals:	Interval:	Monthly	
10(Fine Motor)	Minutes/Interval:	60	
	Minutes/Interval (Pullout from Gen Ed):	0	
	Service Delivery Model:	Direct Service (Collaborative)*	
	Responsible Personnel:	Licensed/Credentialed Provider	
		Special Education Teacher	
	*		
Service 6	Start Date:	Effective on Signature Date	
32	End Date:		
Behavior Intervention Implementation (BII)	Service applies to:	Regular	
	Frequency:	1-5	
This service addresses the following goals:	Interval:	Weekly	
1(Behavior)	Minutes/Interval:	1800	
6(Behavior Interventio)	Minutes/Interval (Pullout from	0	

	Service Delivery Model:	Collaborative Behavioral Services*	
	Responsible Personnel:	Other Provider(s)	
	*		
S	St. (D.)	ECC / C' / D/	
Service 7	Start Date: End Date:	Effective on Signature Date	
Behavior Intervention	Service applies to:	ESY	
Implementation (BII)			
	Frequency:	1-5	
This service addresses the following goals:	Interval:	Weekly	
1(Behavior)	Minutes/Interval:	1350	
6(Behavior Interventio)	Minutes/Interval (Pullout from Gen Ed):	0	
	Service Delivery Model:	Collaborative Behavioral Services*	
	Responsible Personnel:	Other Provider(s)	
	*		
Service 8	Start Date:	Effective on Signature Date	
04	End Date:		
Counseling and Guidance	Service applies to:	ESY	
	Frequency:	1-5	
This service addresses the following goals:	Interval:	Monthly	

8(Social-Emotional)	Minutes/Interval:	120	
	Minutes/Interval (Pullout from Gen Ed):	0	
	Service Delivery Model:	Direct Service (By a Single Provider)*	
	Responsible Personnel:	Licensed/Credentialed Provider	
	*		
Service 9	Start Date:	Effective on Signature Date	
33	End Date:		
Behavior Intervention Development (BID)	Service applies to:	ESY	
	Frequency:	1-10	
This service addresses the following goals:	Interval:	Yearly	
1(Behavior)	Minutes/Interval:	240	
6(Behavior Interventio)	Minutes/Interval (Pullout from Gen Ed):	0	
	Service Delivery Model:	Direct Service (By a Single Provider)*	
	Responsible Personnel:	Other Provider(s)	
		Licensed/Credentialed Provider	
	*		

Notes:

Parents of students who are Medi-Cal eligible authorize LAUSD to submit claims for reimbursement by Medi-Cal funded services unless parent(s) signs a Parent Medi-Cal Non-Authorization to Bill form. Please see Parent's Guide to Special Education Services (including Procedural Rights and Safeguards).

Part 3 - F	Percentage of	Time Out	tside of (General E	ducation

Effective With this IEP

Future Changes Related to this IEP

% of Time per Week outside of General Education	61
70 of Time per Week outside of General Education	01

Part 4 - Additional Discussion (This section is optional)

LAUSD schools are closed at this time due to the COVID-19 national pandemic. Shalev will continue to receive educational services using the At Home Continuity of Learning Plan until schools re-open.

The IEP team determined that student will be able to maintain the level of speech and language skills during the summer months without significant recoupment, therefore ESY is not being recommended.

INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)

Los Ang	eles Unified S	chool District		IEP FAPE P	art 2 - Summa	ry of Services	
Student	OHANA	SHALEV		Date of Birth	22-OCT-2010	Meeting Date	12-NOV-2020
	Last	First	MI				

FAPE Summary Grid

Program:	SLD	Setting:	Special Education
Eligibility:	Eligible (SLD)	Curriculum:	General Education
Transportation:	School to School	Low Incident Support:	None
Date District Received Parent Signature:			

Service Code	Service Desc	Start Date	Service Applies To	Interval	Frequency	Area	Total Minutes	Addresses Goal(s)	No Consen
33	Behavior Intervention Development (BID)	Effective on Signature Date	ESY	Yearly	1-10	~	240	Behavior, Behavior Interventio	
33	Behavior Intervention Development (BID)	Effective on Signature Date	Regular	Monthly	1-10	~	360	Behavior, Behavior Interventio	
32	Behavior Intervention Implementation (BII)	Effective on Signature Date	Regular	Weekly	1-5	~	1800	Behavior, Behavior Interventio	
32	Behavior Intervention Implementation (BII)	Effective on Signature Date	ESY	Weekly	1-5	~	1350	Behavior, Behavior Interventio	
04	Counseling and Guidance	Effective on Signature Date	ESY	Monthly	1-5	~	120	Social- Emotional	
04	Counseling and Guidance	Effective on Signature Date	Regular	Monthly	1-5	~	120	Social- Emotional	
10	Language/Speech	Effective on Signature Date	Regular	Weekly	1	School- Based	30	Articulation	
16	Occupational Therapy	Effective on Signature Date	Regular	Monthly	1-5	~	120	Fine Motor	
16	Occupational Therapy	Effective on Signature Date	ESY	Monthly	1-5	~	60	Fine Motor	

Alternative Remote/Distance Learning Services During Emergency Conditions

If instruction or services, or both, cannot be provided to the pupil either at the school or in person for more than 10 school days due to emergency conditions caused by fire, flood, impassable roads, epidemic, earthquake, imminent major safety hazard as determined by local law enforcement, a transportation services strike by nonschool entity, or other official order issued to meet a state of emergency or war, the IEP will be provided by one or more of the means stated below, to the greatest extent possible in light of the emergency circumstances and District policy.

Means of Delivery, to greatest extent possible ("x" all that could apply for student, depending on emergency circumstances):

	Teacher-posted lessons, asynchronous (online or other media)	Virtual class meetings, synchronous	Personalized learning tools (virtual or paper packets, as available)	Scheduled teacher appointments (virtual or in- person, as available)	Scheduled email check-ins (parent or student)	Virtual office hours (drop-in; parent or student)
Specialized Academic Instruction and Related Services		✓			✓	
Extended School Year Services	✓	~	✓	✓	~	✓
Supplementary Aids and Services (provided in general education classes and other general ed environments)	✓					✓

As soon as practicable following the determination that instruction or services, or both, cannot be provided either at the school or in person for more than 10 days due to a qualifying state of emergency, the parent will be notified as to the specific means by which the student's IEP will be provided, in light of the emergency circumstances present at that time. The IEP will be provided by alternative means as necessitated during the period of emergency conditions, only.

Comments above do not constitute a change to the District's offer of FAPE or IEP. Because the nature of any future emergency cannot be known in advance, the specific means by which the IEP shall be provided in a future emergency will be determined at the time, in light of the circumstances.

For IEP Team Information



INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM

			Behavior	Intervention Plan	l	
	LagAm			ent's Learning or the Lea	= -	Intervention Plan ng 1 of 3
Student	OHANA	shalev	oi District	Date of Birth		Intervention Plan, pg. 1 of 3 sting Date 12-NOV-2020
Student	Last	First	MI	Date of Birth	22-0C1-2010 MCC	12-140 v-2020
4		npeding learning is:		Describe what it looks	like:	
1	other		•	non-compliance. Re	fusal to start a task, do what is a	asked of
2	It impedes lea	-	ck of work production	=	ents requires instruction	to stop 🗸
2	instructional t	ime is lost 🗸 💢 neg	gative interaction with pe	ers 🗸		
	other					
	The need for a	Behavior Intervention	Plan: early stage in	ntervention () moderate	e o serious o extreme	
3			O			
4	Frequency or	intensity or duration of	behavior: Frequency (x)	Period Inter	nsity Duration (min)	
4	3				dium 🗸 5	
	Reported b	Teacher/staff/BI	[and/or 🗸 ob	served by Teacher/staff/BII	
PREVEN	NTION		PART 1	F	NVIRONMENTAL FACTORS A	AND NECESSARY CHANGES
I KE VEI	NIION	What are the predict			evior is likely to occur: people, ti	
	5	_	<u> </u>		_	
		Disruption in rou	utines Work student's	level higher than	Verbal directives	Lack of predictability
		Time of day	 _	aomiy nal physical/emotional	Peer conflict	Over stimulation
		Unstructured tim	state	_	Room conditions	Specific room arrangemen
		Events from pre-	Lack	of freedom, choice,		
				activities, friends r stimulation		
		Other Describe		Stillulation		
					ing in the environment/curriculur	m or what is in the
Observat		environment/curric	ulum that needs changing	g?)		
Analysis	Present in t	he environment:	Classroom seati	ng arrangament No	ise levels	Interactions (adult and/or
	Missinsin	the envisemment.	Peer status gain	0 0	appropriate materials (age-approp	
	Missing in	the environment:	misbehavior		nedule	Conflict resolution skills
			Transition skills		sk structuring	Effective communication with
			Re-teaching	Co	nsequences not clear to	parent Communications system
			Social skills inst	ruction studen	t	Communications system
	Other	(Missing/Present):	Choices			
		REMOV	VE STUDENT'S	NEED TO USE	THE PROBLEM BEI	HAVIOR
	. 7			upports are needed to ren the likelihood of behavior	ove the student's need to use this	s behavior? (Changes in
ntervent	tion 7	Time/Space/Materia	is/interactions to remove	the likelihood of behavio	or)	
		Time Changes:	✓ Giv	e more time on tasks	✓ Allow completion in parts	Teach a closure system
		Space Changes:		nal transition	Provide a break	Give less time on tasks
		1 0	Pret	erred seating	Different work areas	Study carrels
		Material Changes:	Pen	sonal space	✓ Hands-on learning	Tasks organized
		Interaction:		ommodated work	Notebook organizer	Enlarged print size books
				h interest materials	Cue the student	✓ Model ✓ Peer Models
			✓ Use words	specific supportive	✓ Praise successes ✓ Use calm, de-escalating	➤ Peer Models
				pally praise student	Use calm, de-escalating language	
				specific support	5 6	
		Other		nications		
	W7L:11		ил	11 monitor?	E	
	Who will es			ll monitor? r/Staff/BII	Frequency	
	Teacher/S	wii/DII	Teache	ar Statil Dii	weekiy	

INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM

	Loc	For Behavior Interg Angeles Unified School Distri	fering with Student's Learning or the Lea		rior Intervention Plan, pg. 2 of
C4 4					
Student	OHANA Last	SHALEV First	Date of Birth	22-OCT-2010	Meeting Date 12-NOV-2020
ALTERN		PART II		AND NEW BEHAVIORS TO	TEACH AND SUPPORT
ALILIAN	AIIVL		ccurs because: (Function of behavior in t		
	8		Cours occurse. (I unction of ochavior in t	crims or getting, protest or av	ording something)
		To Get:	Sensory input	Attention (peer)	Attention (staff)
		To Avoid:	Tangible (desired item)	Tangible (desired activit	
			Sensory input Task (too difficult)	Attention (peer)	Attention (staff)
		Describe:	Task (too difficult)	Task (too easy)	☐ Task (too long)
		What team believes the stude	ent should do INSTEAD of the problem		udent escape/protest/avoid or get
) bservati	on 9	his/her need met in an accept		benavior. (110 w bhoard the be	adent escapes protess avoid or get
Analysis					
Proi		student to comply with the adults	s request, using Premack principle. (Fi	rst do x, and then you get y)	•
	10	What teaching Strategies/Nec	eessary Curriculum/Materials are needed	?	
	10		_		_
		Better communication	Anger management	Communication system	
		skills Following schedules &	Learning new social skills	Learning how to negoti	
		routines	Learning notebook organization	Learning to use conflict resolution	Learning to request bro
		Learning new scripts			
		Other			
		Who will establish?	Who will monitor?	Frequency:	
		Teacher/BII/BID/	Teacher/BII/BID	as necessary	
	11	What are reinforcement proce	dures to use for establishing, maintaining	g, and generalizing the replace	ement behavior(s)?
nterventi	on	Physical:	High-fives	Smiles	Handshake
			Pat on the back		O
		Verbal:	✓ Use specific praises	Recognition of student's	Peer recognition Listen to music
		Contingent Access:	Time on the computer	Free time	Listen to music
			Preferred activity	Describe:	Other
		Tangibles	Positive phone calls or notes to home		Seating Location
		Tokens and Points:	✓ Tokens	Certificate sent home Points	Scatting Location
		Privileges:	Exempt assignment	Extra test points	
				Extra test points	
		Other ideas:			
		Selection of reinforcer based o			
			cement behavior reinforcer for gene	eral increase in positive behav	iors
		By whom?	Frequency		
		Teacher/Staff/BII	weekly		
		ION PA	ART III	REACTIVE STI	
EFFECTI	VE REACT		em behavior occurs again (1 Prompt str		
EFFECTI	What strat	tegies will be employed if the problem behavior if it occursequences)	irs again, 3. Positive discussion with stud	cht arci benavioi enus, 4. Ai	
	What strat should has school con	ndle the problem behavior if it occunsequences)			
	What strat should hat school con	ndle the problem behavior if it occunsequences)	ent behavior. 2. Increase adult presence		
	What strat should hat school con	ndle the problem behavior if it occursequences) student to switch to the replacement	ent behavior. 2. Increase adult presence		
	What strat should hat school con	ndle the problem behavior if it occursequences) student to switch to the replacement in the replacement in the replacement is student to switch to the replacement in the replacement is student to switch to the replacement in the replacement is sufficient to the replacement in the replacement in the replacement is sufficient to the replacement in the replacement is sufficient to the replacement in the replacement is sufficient to the replacement in the replacement in the replacement is sufficient to the replacement in the replacement in the replacement is sufficient to the replacement in th	ent behavior. 2. Increase adult presence		

INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM

Behavior Intervention Plan

For Behavior Interfering with Student's Learning or the Learning of His/Her Peers

n		s Unified School	District	D 4 6			Plan, pg. 3 of 3)
student	OHANA Last	SHALEV First	MI	Date of Birth	22-OCT-2010	Meeting Date	12-NOV-2020
UTCON	MES		PART IV		BEHAVIORA	AL GOALS	
3	Behavioral Goa	l: Goal #: 1					
		-	_	-	obstacles/change to the sch	_	
	1			-	ing a self-regulating strates omplete/start given demand		
			unities, measured over		implete/start given demand	within 2 minut	es with 5 prompt of
		vioral goal is to: 🗸	merease ase or repra		•		
	Reduce frequ	ency of problem be	ehavior 🗹 Develop r	new general skills t	hat remove student's need	to use the prob	lem behavior
	and Analysis Con						
		modations or modif	ications also necessary	? Where described	!?		
	PE 1, FBA						
Y	_	1	9				
	_	ports/changes neces	ssary?				
() Y	_	la a am ant hahari an i	alone enough? (no new	, taaahina is maass			
	_	iacement behavior	atone enough? (no new	teaching is necess	sary):		
_	_	ew replacement beh	avior AND reinforcem	nent needed?			
O		w replacement ben	avior Arvid Telimoreen	ient needed.			
_		ated with other age	ncy's service plans? Ag	rency?			
IEC		ated with other age	ney s service plans. The	geney.			
<u> </u>	Yes O No						
Perso	on responsible for	contact between ag	encies.				
Teac	cher/BII/BID						
OMMU	UNICATION		PART V		COMMUNIC	CATION PROV	ISIONS
4	Manner and co	ontent of communic	ation:				
•							
	Phone call		□ Email		Written notes		
	Daily repo		☐ Daily char	ting	✓ Behavioral lo	ogs	
	Weekly rep						
		exting					
	✓ Other T						
	Other T Between?		Frequency?				