4/17/2018

	INDIVIDUALIZED EDU	CATION PROGRAM (IEP)
os Angeles Unified School District		Eligible (SLD)
Student OHANA	SHALEV	
Last	First	Date of Birth 22-OCT-2010
	Section A: Mee	ting Information
Pertinent Date	es	Type of Meeting
Date of Initial IEP Team Meeting	02-OCT-2013	Amendment of IEP dated
Date of Present Meeting	11-APR-2018	Initial
Annual Review to be conducted by	11-APR-2019	Annual Review Early Start Transition
Next Three Year Review will be conducted by	11-APR-2021	Three Year Review Expulsion Analysis
Three Year Review or Evaluation was conducted	ed on 11-APR-2018	Other Individual Transition Plan
Transition to Kindergarten to be conducted by		
Location of Meeting: Serrania Ave. CES		District Name: Los Angeles Unified School District
	Section B: Stud	lent Information
Date of Birth 22-OCT-2010 Age 7	Grade 1 Gender	Male \bigcirc Female Limited English Proficient Student \odot Yes \bigcirc No
thnic Code White		
ocation of the Psych Folder: SUPPORT UNIT	NORTH	Student has no Psych Folder:
ocation of the Cum Folder: SERRANIA AVE		Student has no Cum Folder:
lome Language Hebrew	Student Language Hebrew	
Iternate Mode of Communication		
Iome Address of Student 22746 MULHOLLA	AND DR	
ity WOODLAND HILLS		CA ZIP Code 91364
Iome Telephone (818) 261-8230 Dayt	ime Telephone	Emergency Telephone
chool of Attendance Serrania Ave Ces		Location Code 6606
chool of Residence Calabash Ca		Location Code 2704
Iame of Parent/Guardian Elnav Amar	Telephon	e
ddress		
CA ZIP C	ode	
urrogate Parent	Telephone	
ttends CURRENT SCHOOL as a result of or Special Education Placement	ne of the following:	
·		
s the student living in a Family Foster Home (F	FH)? • No Ves FFH#	Is FFH Provider related to student? \bigcirc No \bigcirc Yes
elationship		
	s LCI Name	LCI#
icensed Children's Institution No Ves		
icensed Children's Institution No Ves		LCI#

If the student is 18 years old or older or is an emancipated minor, does he/she have educational decision-making rights? O No O Yes

os Angeles Unified School D tudent OHANA	SHALEV			Date of Birth 22-OCT-2010	Meeting Date 11-APR-2018	
		<u></u>	Section	C: Language Acquisition		
Language Classification: Li	nited English Proficient			Start Date: 09-SEP-2015	Reclassification Date:	
Parent Waiver: Yes 🔍 💿	No					
Elementary English Languag	ge Development Level:	4	Star	t Date: 22-SEP-2016		
econdary English Language	Development Level:			Date:		
Communication Observation	Matrix Level:		t Date:			
			D: Goa ieved	I Achievement from Current IEP		
Goal for: <i>(example - Reading</i>)	Yes	No	If No, explain the reason the goal/objectiv	ve was not achieved	
I. English Language Develo		0	۲	Not enough time since Annual IEP		
Objective 1 met	J	0	۲	Not enough time since Annual IEP		
Objective 2 met		0	۲	Not enough time since Annual IEP		
2. Articulation		0	۲	Not intended to be met at this time		
Objective 1 met		0	۲	Not intended to be met at this time		
Objective 2 met		0	۲	Not intended to be met at this time		
Fine Motor		0	۲	New goal (March 2018)		
Objective 1 met		0	۲			
Objective 2 met		0	۲			
Written Language		0	۲	Not enough time since Annual IEP		
Objective 1 met		0	۲	Not enough time since Annual IEP		
Objective 2 met	Objective 2 met			Not enough time since Annual IEP		
5. Mathematics		0	۲	Not enough time since Annual IEP		
Objective 1 met		0	۲	Not enough time since Annual IEP		
Objective 2 met		0	۲	Not enough time since Annual IEP		
5. Behavior Support		0	۲	Not enough time since Annual IEP		
Objective 1 met		\bigcirc	۲	Not enough time since Annual IEP		
Objective 2 met		\bigcirc	۲	Not enough time since Annual IEP		
. Reading		0	۲	Not enough time since Annual IEP		
Objective 1 met		\bigcirc	۲	Not enough time since Annual IEP		
Objective 2 met		\bigcirc	۲	Not enough time since Annual IEP		
3.		\bigcirc	\bigcirc			
Objective 1 met		\bigcirc	\bigcirc			
Objective 2 met		\bigcirc	\bigcirc			
2.		\bigcirc	\bigcirc			
Objective 1 met		\bigcirc	\bigcirc			
Objective 2 met		\bigcirc	\bigcirc			
10.		0	\odot			
Objective 1 met		0	\odot			
			1			

Г

L

	Pag INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)	ge 3 of 29
Los Angeles Unified School District		
Student OHANA	SHALEV Date of Birth 22-OCT-2010 Meeting Date 11-APR-201	8
	Section E: Present Level of Performance	
Performance Area:	English Language Development	
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:		
State/District Assessment Results:	CELDT SCORE (31-OCT-2016) - 4	
Current Performance/Assessment Sumr	mary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): 🕡	
	is prior year IEP ELD goal. His most recent CELDT test score is '4,' early assroom teacher reports that he participates in classroom discussions about during reading time.	
	being read to and participates in classroom discussions about stories read, he endently and is challenged to apply decoding skills to read accurately.	
	v's special education eligibility of specific learning disability (SLD), may access the Common Curriculum in the area of decoding and applying phonetic ently.	
Performance Area:	Reading	
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:	WJIV/Teacher Input/Student Observations	
State/District Assessment Results:	N/A	
Current Performance/Assessment Sumr	mary (include student strengths, student needs and impact of disability on student performance): 🕖	
Strengths: Shalev's classroot the letters in the alphabet w	om teacher reports that he is able to name and pronounce approximately 80% of with teacher guidance and masking. At times, Shalev will correctly answer ons. Shalev is able to listen to and follow verbal directions.	
does is not able to identify upper and lower case letters associated with a letter, red letters to form words. He has MOY Dibels Next scores are at	eacher reports that he has not met his prior year's IEP reading goal. Shalev all 26 letters of the alphabet, he does not recognize the difference between , or recognize common sight words. Shalev struggles to produce a sound cognize consonant digraphs, isolate initial and final sounds in words, and blend s difficulty reading orally with accuracy, and understanding what he reads. His t 'Well Below Benchmark. Shavlev was administered the Woodcock Johnson IV Tests d scores in reading areas are as follows:	
Letter-Word Identification: Passage Comprehension: Word Attack:	53 64 52	
impact his ability to fully a lower case letters, recognize	v's special education eligibility of specific learning disability (SLD), may access the Common Curriculum in the area of recognizing differences in upper and ing common sight words, reciting the alphabet, using phonetic skills to analyze curacy, and understand what he reads	

	INDIVIDUAL	LIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)	Page 4 of 29
Los Angeles Unified School District	INDIVIDUAL	LIZED EDUCATION I KOOKAMI (IEI)	
Student OHANA	SHALEV	Date of Birth 22-OCT-2010	Meeting Date 11-APR-2018
			8
		E: Present Level of Performance	
Performance Area:	Written Language		
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:	1	dent Observations	
State/District Assessment Results:	N/A		
		ths, student needs and impact of disability on stu	
		nat he is able to copy sentences fro alev is able to write most numbers o	
of the alphabet, and writes difficulty using correct pun	some with reversals. Actuation and capitali Piting. Shalev was ac	language. He is not able to correct He struggles to spell words correc ization when writing. Shalev does r dministered the Woodcock Johnson IV ion are as follows:	tly when writing, He has not express his ideas
Spelling: 65 Writing Samples: 41			
	ort of special education	O impacts his ability to access the ion services in the areas of spellir	
Performance Area:			
	Mathematics		
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:	·	servations	
State/District Assessment Results:	N/A		
Current Performance/Assessment Sum	mary (include student streng	ths, student needs and impact of disability on stu	ident performance):
to add and subtract basic fa correspondence. Shalev can	cts and can regroup w solve one-step addit	hat he understands the meaning of ma when adding. He understands the cor ion and subtraction word problems wh by tens. Shalev has met his prior I	ncept of 1 to 1 nen they are read to him,
difficulty computing word pr	oblems. Shalev does	ne writes his numbers backwards on c not recognize the difference betwee Shalev is not performing at grade le	en addition and
		D impacts his ability to access the ion services in the areas of solving	

	INDIVIDU	ALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)	Page 5 of 2
Los Angeles Unified School District			
Student OHANA	SHALEV	Date of Birth 22-OCT-2010	Meeting Date 11-APR-2018
	Sect	ion E: Present Level of Performance	
Performance Area:	Behavior Support		
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:	Teacher Input/Student	Observations	
State/District Assessment Results:	N/A		
		engths, student needs and impact of disability on stud	
	onsibility for his a	at he is very social and is liked by h actions, has a positive self-image, pa attention span.	
noisy environment, beginning	g work on time and m	t he has difficulty coping with frustr making acceptable or appropriate decis	ions independently.
		ing disability makes it difficult for n work on time and make acceptable and	
Performance Area:			
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:	:		
State/District Assessment Results:			
Current Performance/Assessment Sum	umary (include student stre	engths, student needs and impact of disability on student	dent performance): 🕖
			1

tudent OHANA	SHALEV	Date of Birth 22-OCT-2010	Meeting Date 11-APR-2018
		E: Present Level of Performance	
erformance Area:	Fine Motor		
ssessment/Monitoring Process Used:		Observation, Work Samples	
tate/District Assessment Results:	N/A		
urrent Performance/Assessment Summ	nary (include student strength	s, student needs and impact of disability on studer	nt performance): 🕖
educational setting. Shalev balance skills and protective visual perceptual skills skills and perceiving visual uses an appropriate pincer g orient pegs using complex an appropriate in-hand manipula thumb and pads of fingers an unscrewing a bottle cap), an color within 1/8-1/16th inch 1/8th inch of the boundary. I when cutting out simple or co school. Shalev is able to en	is appropriately able e responses. Per Beery This reveals that Shal stimuli appropriately rasp bilaterally as ne d simple rotation skil tion skills including d transferring to the d shift (e.g. repositi of the boundary. A cu He stabilizes the pape omplex shapes. Shalev gage in both parallel al space with peers an	riately access/reach/retrieve/grasp/ to navigate his school environment, VMI results, Shalev exhibits averag ev has typical ability in integratin . Shalev exhibits appropriate spacin eded to place pegs in a pegboard. He ls bilaterally without assist. Shale finger to palm translation (e.g. pic palm), palm to finger translation, s oning a pencil lower or higher in th tting sample revealed that Shalev is r and rotates paper accordingly usin is independent in toileting, dressin and cooperative tasks with his peers d is able to take turns, share, and s able to hold	demonstrating intact e visual motor and g his visual and motor g between words. He was able to correctly v demonstrates king up coins using imple rotation (e.g. e hand). He is able to able to cut within g his nondominant hand g, and feeding at at this time. He
erformance Area:			
State/District Assessment Results:			
		s, student needs and impact of disability on studer	

Student's Areas of Strength CONTINUED:

conversations with his peers. During assessment, presented Shalev with a variety of manipulatives and tools, which he was successfully able to manipulate. Assessed Shalev's ability to complete a multi-step activity, in giving him a 5 step drawing task to complete. Shalev was able to complete this activity with 100% accuracy given 1 verbal prompt. He does not demonstrate any gross motor delays that are inhibiting his school-based functioning. He does not currently exhibit any sensory based behaviors inhibiting his classroom functioning at this time.

Student's Areas of Need:

Shalev demonstrates below average motor coordination abilities at this time according to Beery VMI results. He exhibits underlying difficulties controlling movements guided by his vision (eye-hand coordination). Shalev uses a five finger grasp with his thumb IP joint in extension. He required 2 cues throughout assessment to stabilize his paper but otherwise was noted to do so automatically. He protests when provided with a pencil grip or when prompted to correct his writing grasp. . He occasionally requires moderate verbal prompting to use correct finger positioning when grasping scissors. He uses heavy pencil pressure during writing tasks. In a handwriting sample consisting of 9 words and 35 letters with no prompting provided, Shalev demonstrated 23/35 letters with appropriate line regard (66% accuracy), 29/35 letters with appropriate sizing (83% accuracy), and 30/35 letters with appropriate letter formation (86% accuracy).

Impact of Disability on Student Performance: Shalev's eligibility of SLD paired with his fine motor skills, inhibit his ability to fully access his curriculum at this time.

	INDIVIDU	JALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)	Page 7 of 2
Los Angeles Unified School District Student OHANA	SHALEV	Date of Birth 22-OCT-2010	Meeting Date 11-APR-2018
		tion E: Present Level of Performance	
Performance Area:	Articulation		
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used	d: GFTA-3 and Speech S	Sample	
State/District Assessment Results:			
Current Performance/Assessment Sur	mmary (include student stre	engths, student needs and impact of disability on stud	lent performance): 🕖
		currently attends Serrania Charter and or 30 minutes per week for articulatior	
STRENGTHS: Shalev's overal adults. He is able to proc		as improved. He is more understandable nds in words.	e to both his peers and
	re in conversations a	d /r/ blends and /sh/ in words, phrases and at times is not understood. This m	
EDUCATIONAL IMPACT: Shalev	v's articulation affe	ects his ability to access the curricul	Lum.
Rachel Hochberg, M.S., CCC-	-SLP		
Performance Area:			
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used	d:		
State/District Assessment Results:			
Current Performance/Assessment Sur	mmary (include student stre	engths, student needs and impact of disability on stud	lent performance):
			1 /

	INDIVID UA	ALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)	Page 8 of 29					
Los Angeles Unified School District								
Student OHANA	SHALEV	Date of Birth 22-OCT-2010	Meeting Date 11-APR-2018					
	Sectio	on E: Present Level of Performance						
Performance Area:	Cognitive Ability							
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:	Alternative Assessmen	Alternative Assessment Procedures						
State/District Assessment Results:	N/A							
		gths, student needs and impact of disability on stude						
alternative assessment processignificant strength in Succand Simultaneous processing range. His overall auditory Areas of Need: In the phonol blending, with skills in the the Below Average range. Impact of Disability: Shalev	dures of measuring is essive processing wiskills, as well as he processing skills we ogical area, Shalev E Low to Below Average 's Specific Learning skills impact his a	s estimated to be within the average raintellectual ability. Current assessment intellectual ability. Current assessment ith skills in the Superior range. His nis overall visual processing skills we were also in the Average range. demonstrated significant difficulties ge range. His visual motor integration g Disability with processing deficits a ability to successfully access the generation	ent results indicate a Planning, Attention ere in the Average in segmentation and n skills were also in in phonological					
Performance Area:	Social Emotional							
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:	BASC-3, observations,	reports						
State/District Assessment Results:	N/A							
		gths, student needs and impact of disability on stude						
activities and discussions,	and occasionally wor	creative and highly verbal. He partions of the state of t						
redirection to stay on task, not appropriate for his age poor self-control and shows by his teacher indicated Cli his overall Behavioral Sympt Impact of Disability: Shalev	and talks about ina level. His teacher little empathy and o nically Significant coms Index. 's Specific Learning skills impact his a	ed that Shalev is defiant to authority appropriate subjects such as guns and of also reported that he has difficulty concern for others. Results of a behave concerns in Aggression and Conduct Pro- g Disability with processing deficits is ability to successfully access the gene	other topics that are staying on task, has ioral scale completed oblems, as well as in in phonological					

	INDIVIDUALI	ZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)	Page 9 of 25
Los Angeles Unified School District			
Student OHANA	SHALEV	Date of Birth 22-OCT-2010	Meeting Date 11-APR-2018
	Section F	E: Present Level of Performance	
Performance Area:	Language (Psych)		
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:	observation, reports, interv	views	
State/District Assessment Results:	N/A		
Current Performance/Assessment Sum	mary (include student strength	s, student needs and impact of disability on stude	ent performance): 🕖
Strengths: During the evalua to express his wants and nee parents are not together, an also shared about his pets.	ation, Shalev demonstra eds appropriately. He nd that he resides with He said that he has a	ted age appropriate language and voc shared information about his family his mother and his two older brothe cat, which just had 5 kittens. Whi s often very difficult to understand	cabulary. He was able . He shared that his ers, ages 9 and 13. He ile he is able to
Areas of Need: None.			
Impact of Disability:None.			
Performance Area:	FIne/Gross Motor Skills		//
Assessment/Monitoring Process Used:	VMI-6, observation, repor	ts	
State/District Assessment Results:	N/A		
Current Performance/Assessment Sum	mary (include student strength	s, student needs and impact of disability on stude	ent performance):
Strengths: Based on parent a demonstrate age appropriate walk, run, jump and engage i	nd teacher reports, as gross motor skills for n physical activity ap	well as observations of student, Sk the purpose of accessing the curric propriately for his age. He has age us without difficulties. He has got	halev appears to culum. Shalev can e appropriate motor
79 and a percentile rank of to reproduce them using pape classroom tasks requiring ey visual perception, and/or fi visual perceptual and motor domains. Shalev's ability to	8. Shalev was present er and pencil without m re-hand coordination, i .ne motor coordination coordination but has n o integrate visual and Shalev is left handed	s within the Below Average range with ed with a series of increasingly com laking any erasures. These tasks wouncluding writing. Poor performance of problems. It may also indicate that not yet learned to integrate or coord fine motor skills is significantly by and has an awkward pencil grasp.	mplex forms and asked uld reflect in can indicate poor a child has adequate dinate these two below expectancy when
	skills impact his abi	isability with processing deficits is lity to successfully access the gene	
<u></u>			

tudent OHANA	SHALEV	Date of Birth 22-OCT-2010	Meeting Date 11-APR-2018					
			0					
		Section E: Present Level of Performance						
Performance Area:	Health							
Assessment/Monitoring Process Use	d: review of records,	eview of records, interview with mother, office visit						
state/District Assessment Results:								
Current Performance/Assessment Su	mmary (include student	strengths, student needs and impact of disability on stud	ent performance):					
information provided by re- mother, and office visit by significant history of ser significant changes in his His last doctor visit was Strengths: Shalev passed LA is in general good health, health assessment. Area of Need: Physical heal	view of health rec y Shalev. Shalev i ious illnesses, su health within the summer of 2017. AUSD hearing scree per mom. He is ab lth is not an area		ffice interview with tary. He has no psychiatric care. No in general good health. ng on 3-21-18. Shalev l and polite during his					
Impact of Disability: Phys the educational program.	ical health does n	not impact the student's participation, pe	rformance, or access in					
Accommodations/Modification	ns: None in the ar	rea of health.						
Completed by:								
Melissa Neal RN, BSN, Schoo 4-11-18 Performance Area:	ol Nurse							
Assessment/Monitoring Process Use	d:							
State/District Assessment Results:								
		strengths, student needs and impact of disability on stud						

				Page 11 of 29
	INDIVIDUALIZ	LED EDUCATION P	ROGRAM (IEP)	
Los Angeles Unified School District				
Student OHANA	SHALEV	Date of Birth	22-OCT-2010	Meeting Date 11-APR-2018
		L		
		Section F: Eligibility	7	
If applicable, areas discussed related to	disability or suspected disabilit	ty:		
For Initial IEP, interventions attempted	prior to determining eligibility:	:		
				/
Eligible as a student with the disability				
	Learning Disability			
• Not Applicable, \bigcirc Blind of	or V Partially Sighted			
Additional Low Incidence Eligibility (only for VI, DBL, DEA, HOH,	or severe OI):		
Code: • Not Applicable, Blind of				
Not Applicable, UBlind o	or \bigcirc Partially Sighted			
O Does not meet eligibility criteria t	For Special Education Services (Initial IEP).		
or				
No Longer Eligible for Special Ed No Longer Eligible (Effective Date				
No Longer Engine (Encenve Date).			
This is a Final IEP, the student re	mains eligible for Special Educe	ation Services until the	e Effective Date below	<i>w</i> .
Final IEP Reason:				
Final IEP Effective Date:				
The IEP Team has considered and a	grees that the educational need	ds of the student are	not primarily due to	D:
Social Maladjustment	Temporary Physical I	•	Lack of instruct	
Lack of instruction in math	Limited English Profi	iciency	 Environmental, 	Cultural or Economic Factors

		NIALIZED EDUCATION DI		Page 12 of 29		
		DUALIZED EDUCATION PH	KOGKAM (IEP)			
Los Angeles Unified School D	istrict					
Student OHANA	SHALEV	Date of Birth 2	2-OCT-2010	Meeting Date 11-APR-2018		
Section G: Annual Goals an	d Objectives					
Performance Area: English L	anguage Developme					
Annual Goal # 2	GB					
	acher support as measured I		s when reading words in isc nd teacher observations in			
provided at either Progress Ro		ting the "IEP Report of Progres	ss and Achievement from Curren	nt IEP" form(s) which will be		
Methods of Evaluation:	Norm Referenced	Criterion Referenced	Curriculum Based	Observation		
		_	ther: IEP Review			
Shalev will decode 5 re words with long vowels and/or in text with fa measured by student wor observations in 3 out	Incremental objective #1 related to the goal:Shalev will decode 5 regularly spelled two-syllable words with long vowels when reading words in isolation and/or in text with fading teacher support as measured by student work samples and teacher observations in 3 out of 5 trials with 65% accuracy.Shalev will decode 8 regularly spelled two-syllable words with long vowels when reading words in isolation and/or in text with fading teacher support as measured by student work samples and teacher observations in 3 out of 5 trials with 65% accuracy.Shalev will decode 8 regularly spelled two-syllable words with long vowels when reading words in isolation and/or in text with fading teacher support as measured by student work samples and teacher observations in 3 out of 5 trials with 75% accuracy.Date to be achieved August V 2018 V MO/YRDate to be achieved December V 2018 MO/YR					
	IEP REPORT OF PR	OGRESS AND ACHIEVEM	ENT FROM CURRENT IEP			
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDE	ED 3 SUBSTANTIAL PROG	RESS (50-99% of goal met)	2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-49	% of goal met) <i>1 NO PROGRESS</i>		
1st Reporting Period Date:	2nd Reporting Period Date:	3rd Reporting Period Date:	4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date:	Goal Achievement		
Progress Mark:	Progress Mark:	Progress Mark:	Progress Mark:	Objective 1 Met:		
Is progress sufficient to meet annual goal? Ves No	Is progress sufficient to mee annual goal? Yes No	et Is progress sufficient to me annual goal? Ves No	eet Is progress sufficient to m annual goal? Yes No	eet Yes No Objective 2 Met:		
If "No" please comment:	If "No" please comment:	If "No" please comment:	If "No" please comment:	Yes No		
Note Maria	Needs More Time	Needs More Time	Needs More Time	If "No" please explain:		
 Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy 	Excess Absence/Tardy	 Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy 	Excess Absence/Tardy			
Assignments Not	Assignments Not	Assignments Not	Assignments Not			
Completed	Completed	Completed	Completed			
Need to review/revise	Need to review/revise	Need to review/revise	Need to review/revise	//		
Goal	Goal	Goal	Goal	1		
Other	Other	Other	Other			

	INDIVI	DUALIZED ED	UCATION PROGRAM (IE)	P)	Page 13 of 2	
Los Angeles Unified School			(- ,		
Student OHANA	SHALEV	D	ate of Birth 22-OCT-2010	1	Meeting Date 11-APR-2018	
Section G: Annual Goals a	and Objectives					
Performance Area: Behavio	oral Support 1					
Annual Goal # 1	GB					
	e with teachers and/or aid uction or help with academ: 85% accuracy.					
	be reported to parents by compl Report or Report Card periods.	eting the "IEP Rep	port of Progress and Achiever	ment from Current I	EP" form(s) which will be	
Methods of Evaluation:						
State Assessments		Criterion Reference	_		Observation	
Dortfolio	Work Samples	Informal	Other: IEP Rev	view		
Incremental objective #1 related to the goal: Incremental objective #2 related to the goal: Shalev will communicate with teachers and/or aides to request a break when he feels frustrated and to ask for clarification of instruction or help with academics when needed by raising his hand or signaling the teacher/aides in 3 of 5 trials with 65% accuracy. Shalev will communicate with teachers and/or aides to request a break when he feels frustrated and to ask for clarification of instruction or help with academics when needed by raising his hand or signaling the teacher/aides in 3 of 5 trials with 65% accuracy. Shalev will communicate with teachers and/or aides to request a break when he feels frustrated and to ask for clarification of instruction or help with academics when needed by raising his hand or signaling the teacher/aides in 3 of 5 trials with 75% accuracy. Date to be achieved August ▼ 2018 ▼ MO/YR Date to be achieved December ▼ 2018 ▼ MO/YR						
			ION OF MARKS			
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEL	DED 3 SUBSTANTIAL PROC 2nd Reporting Period	3rd Reporting		PROGRESS (1-49%	of goal met) 1 NO PROGRESS Goal Achievement	
1st Reporting Period Date:	Date:	Date:		Only) Date:	Goal Acinevement	
Progress Mark:	Progress Mark:	Progress Mark:	Progress Ma	ark:	Objective 1 Met:	
Is progress sufficient to me annual goal?	et Is progress sufficient to me annual goal?	et Is progress su annual goal?	fficient to meet Is progress annual goal		Ves No	
annual goal?		allitual goal.			Objective 2 Met:	
Yes No	Yes No	Yes			• Yes • No	
If "No" please comment:	If "No" please comment:	If "No" please	comment: If "No" plea	se comment:	If "No" please explain:	
Needs More Time	Needs More Time	Needs Mo	re Time Needs I	More Time		
Excess Absence/Tardy	Excess Absence/Tardy	Excess Ab	osence/Tardy Excess	Absence/Tardy		
Assignments Not	Assignments Not	Assignment		ments Not		
Completed	Completed	Completed	Completed			
Need to review/revise Goal	Weed to review/revise Goal	Need to re Goal	view/revise Deed to Goal	o review/revise	/	
Other	Other	Other	Other			

	INDIV	/IDUALIZED EI	DUCATION PROGRAM	(IEP)	Page 14 of 2
Los Angeles Unified School					
Student OHANA	SHALEV		Date of Birth 22-OCT-201	0	Meeting Date 11-APR-2018
	-				
	be reported to parents by com Report or Report Card periods		eport of Progress and Achi	evement from Current	IEP" form(s) which will be
State Assessments	Norm Referenced	Criterion Refere	nced 🗹 Curriculum	Based	Observation
 Portfolio 		Informal	✓ Other: IEP		
	Work Samples	Informat			
vowel, final sounds (p syllable words with fa as measured by student	ad pronounce initial, med: bhonemes) in most spoken s ided teacher guidance and twork samples and teacher of 5 trials with 70% accord ust ▼ 2018 ▼ MO/Y	single- support uracy.	Shalev will distin reading regularly isolation and/or i and guidance as me teacher observatic accuracy. (CCSS 2.	December V 201	rt vowels when le words in teacher support work samples and
	IEP REPORT OF) ACHIEVEMENT FRO FION OF MARKS	M CURRENT IEP	
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEL	DED 3 SUBSTANTIAL PRO			1L PROGRESS (1-49%	o of goal met) 1 NO PROGRESS
1st Reporting Period Date:	2nd Reporting Period Date:	3rd Reportin Date:	g Period 4th Rep	oorting Period ary Only) Date:	Goal Achievement
Progress Mark:	Progress Mark:	Progress Mar	k: Progress	s Mark:	Objective 1 Met:
Is progress sufficient to me annual goal? Yes No	et Is progress sufficient to r annual goal? • Yes • No	neet Is progress s annual goal? Ves	annual g		Objective 2 Met:
If "No" please comment:	If "No" please comment:	If "No" please	e comment: If "No"	please comment:	Yes No If "No" please explain:
Needs More Time	Needs More Time	Needs M	ore Time New	eds More Time	
Excess Absence/Tardy	Excess Absence/Tardy	Excess A	bsence/Tardy	cess Absence/Tardy	
Assignments Not Completed	Assignments Not Completed	Completed	ents Not Ass Comple	signments Not ted	
Need to review/revise	Need to review/revise			ed to review/revise	1
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Goal Other	Goal Other	Goal Other	Goal		

	Г	NDIVIDUALIZ	ZED EDUCATION PE	ROGRAM (IEP)	Page 15 of 2				
Los Angeles Unified School									
Student OHANA	SHALEV		Date of Birth 2	2-OCT-2010	Meeting Date 11-APR-2018				
Section G: Annual Goals	and Objectives								
Performance Area: Written	I Language								
Annual Goal # 4	GB								
are appropriate to the	e task and/ or purpose	with the use	e of word banks and	es in which the developm with teacher guidance a 6 accuracy. (CCSS 2.W.4)					
Progress on annual goals to provided at either Progress Methods of Evaluation:			"IEP Report of Progres	ss and Achievement from Cu	rrent IEP" form(s) which will be				
State Assessments	Norm Referenced	Criterion	Referenced 🗹 C	Curriculum Based	Observation				
Portfolio	 Work Samples 	 Informal 		ther: IEP Review					
Date to be achieved Aug	by student work sample ust ▼ 2018 ▼ M		with 75%	and teacher observations accuracy. (CCSS 2.L.2) achieved December V	in 3 out of 5 trials 2018 V MO/YR				
	IEP REPORT	OF PROGRE	SS AND ACHIEVEM	ENT FROM CURRENT II	EP				
A COAL MET OD EVCEE	IEP REPORT OF PROGRESS AND ACHIEVEMENT FROM CURRENT IEP EXPLANATION OF MARKS								
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEI	DED 3 SUBSTANTIAL		50-99% of goal met)	RKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1	-49% of goal met) <i>1 NO PROGRESS</i>				
	DED 3 SUBSTANTIAL 2nd Reporting Period	PROGRESS (5		2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1 4th Reporting Period	-49% of goal met) <i>1 NO PROGRESS</i> Goal Achievement				
st Reporting Period		PROGRESS (5	50-99% of goal met)	2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1					
st Reporting Period Date:	2nd Reporting Period	Definition of the second secon	50-99% of goal met)	2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1 4th Reporting Period					
st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: s progress sufficient to me	2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark:	<i>PROGRESS</i> (5 3rd R Date: Progre to meet Is pro	50-99% of goal met) eporting Period ess Mark:	2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date:	Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: meet Yes No				
st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: s progress sufficient to me nnual goal?	2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: eet Is progress sufficient	to meet Is proannua	60-99% of goal met) eporting Period ess Mark: ogress sufficient to me	2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date: Progress Mark: eet Is progress sufficient to	Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met:				
st Reporting Period Date: Trogress Mark: s progress sufficient to me nnual goal? Yes No	2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: eet Is progress sufficient annual goal?	to meet Is proannua	50-99% of goal met) eporting Period ess Mark: pgress sufficient to me l goal?	2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date: Progress Mark: Event Is progress sufficient to annual goal?	Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: meet Yes No Objective 2 Met:				
st Reporting Period Date: rogress Mark: s progress sufficient to me nnual goal? Yes No f "No" please comment:	2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: eet Is progress sufficient annual goal? Yes No	to meet Is proannua	50-99% of goal met) eporting Period ess Mark: pgress sufficient to me l goal? Yes ONO	2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date: Progress Mark: Progress Mark: Eet Is progress sufficient to annual goal? Yes No	Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: meet Yes No Objective 2 Met: Yes No				
st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: s progress sufficient to me innual goal? Yes No f "No" please comment:	2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: eet Is progress sufficient annual goal? Yes No If "No" please commer	<i>PROGRESS</i> (5 3rd R Date: Progree to meet Is pro annua Y nt: If "No	50-99% of goal met) eporting Period ess Mark: pgress sufficient to me l goal? Yes ONo " please comment:	2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date: Progress Mark: Progress Mark: Evet Is progress sufficient to annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment:	Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: meet Yes Objective 2 Met: Yes No If "No" please explain:				
st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: s progress sufficient to me innual goal? Yes No f "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not	2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: eet Is progress sufficient annual goal? Yes No If "No" please commer Needs More Time Excess Absence/T Assignments Not	A PROGRESS (5	50-99% of goal met) eporting Period ess Mark: ogress sufficient to me l goal? Yes No '' please comment: Heeds More Time Excess Absence/Tardy assignments Not	2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date: Progress Mark: Progress Mark: Progress sufficient to annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tard Assignments Not	Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: meet Yes No Objective 2 Met: Yes No If "No" please explain:				
st Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: s progress sufficient to me innual goal? Yes No f "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not Completed	2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: eet Is progress sufficient annual goal? Yes No If "No" please commer Needs More Time Excess Absence/T Assignments Not Completed	A PROGRESS (5 3rd R Date: Progree to meet Is pro annua Y nt: If "No Y Cardy A Comp	50-99% of goal met) eporting Period ess Mark: egress sufficient to me goal? //es No // please comment: leeds More Time excess Absence/Tardy essignments Not leted	2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date: Progress Mark: Progress Mark: Progress sufficient to annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tard Assignments Not Completed	Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: meet Yes No Objective 2 Met: Yes No If "No" please explain:				
Ist Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: Progress Sufficient to meannual goal? Yes No f "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy	2nd Reporting Period Date: Progress Mark: eet Is progress sufficient annual goal? Yes No If "No" please commer Needs More Time Excess Absence/T Assignments Not	A PROGRESS (5 3rd R Date: Progree to meet Is pro annua Y nt: If "No Y Cardy A Comp	50-99% of goal met) eporting Period ess Mark: ogress sufficient to me l goal? Yes No '' please comment: Heeds More Time Excess Absence/Tardy assignments Not	2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1 4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date: Progress Mark: Progress Mark: Progress sufficient to annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tard Assignments Not	Goal Achievement Objective 1 Met: meet Yes No Objective 2 Met: Yes No If "No" please explain:				

			ZED EDUCATION D	DOCDAM (IED)	Page 16 of
		NDIVIDUALI	ZED EDUCATION P	KUGKAM (IEF)	
Los Angeles Unified School					
Student OHANA	SHALEV		Date of Birth	22-OCT-2010	Meeting Date 11-APR-2018
Section G: Annual Goals	and Objectives				
Performance Area: Mathematical	matics				
Annual Goal # 5	GB				
				with regrouping, Shal trials as measured by	
provided at either Progress			e "IEP Report of Progre	ess and Achievement from	Current IEP" form(s) which will be
Methods of Evaluation: State Assessments	Norm Referenced	Cuitonia	n Referenced 🛛 🗹	Curriculum Based	Observation
Portfolio	Work Samples			Other: IEP Review	Ubservation
	Work Samples	Informa		Juner: IEF Kevlew	
When given 10 or more 20, Shalev will write visuals if needed wit as measured by teache 1.1.2) Date to be achieved Auc	answers to problems h 75% accuracy in 3 c r-charted observatior	using of 5 trials os. (CCSS	subtract will cal needed w by teach	culate the correct ans	<pre>ith regrouping, Shalev wers using visuals when of 5 trials as measured .2.2)</pre>
	IEP REPORT			IENT FROM CURRENT	TEP
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEE	DED 3 SUBSTANTIA		PLANATION OF MA 50-99% of goal met)	RKS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS	(1-49% of goal met) 1 NO PROGRES
st Reporting Period	2nd Reporting Perio		Reporting Period	4th Reporting Period	
Date:	Date:	Date:		(Secondary Only) Date	
Progress Mark:	Progress Mark:	Progr	ress Mark:	Progress Mark:	Objective 1 Met:
s progress sufficient to m innual goal?	eet Is progress sufficien annual goal?		ogress sufficient to m al goal?	eet Is progress sufficient annual goal?	to meet Yes No Objective 2 Met:
○ Yes ○ No	🔍 Yes 🔍 No		Yes O No	• Yes • No	○ Yes ○ No
f "No" please comment:	If "No" please comme	ent: If "N	o" please comment:	If "No" please commer	t: If "No" please explain:
Needs More Time	Needs More Tim	e 🛛 1	Needs More Time	Needs More Time	
Excess Absence/Tardy	Excess Absence/	Tardy 🔲 I	Excess Absence/Tardy	Excess Absence/T	ardy
Assignments Not	Assignments Not		Assignments Not	Assignments Not	
Completed	Completed		pleted	Completed	.
Need to review/revise Goal	Need to review/r Goal	evise 🔲 1 Goal	Need to review/revise	Goal	vise
Other	Other		Other	Other	

	INT	IVIDIALIZ	ED EDUCATION P	ROGRAM (IFP)		Page 17 of 2	
		IT IDUALIZ	ED EDUCATION I	KOOKAM (IEI)			
Los Angeles Unified School E				22 OCT 2010		D (11 ADD 2010	
Student OHANA	SHALEV		Date of Birth	22-001-2010	Meeting	Date 11-APR-2018	
Section G: Annual Goals a							
Performance Area: Fine Mo	tor						
Annual Goal #	GB						
To address fine motor s line regard, sizing, an opportunities.						for	
Progress on annual goals to b provided at either Progress R			TEP Report of Progre	ess and Achievement from	Current IEP" forn	n(s) which will be	
Methods of Evaluation:	Norm Referenced	Criterion	Referenced	Curriculum Based		oservation	
		 Informal 		Other:	<u> </u>	5501 Vali011	
	work samples		_ (
 Incremental objective #1 related to the goal: To address fine motor skills, Shalev will be able to copy 2 sentences (4-6 words in length) with 75% accuracy for line regard, sizing, and appropriate letter formation with no more than 2 visual/verbal prompts in 3/4 opportunities. Date to be achieved August ▼ 2018 ▼ MO/YR 							
	IEP REPORT O		S AND ACHIEVEN LANATION OF MA	AENT FROM CURREN	I IEP		
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEED.	ED 3 SUBSTANTIAL P			2 PARTIAL PROGRES	S (1-49% of goal n	net) 1 NO PROGRESS	
1st Reporting Period Date:	2nd Reporting Period Date:	3rd Re Date:	porting Period	4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Dat		hievement	
Progress Mark:	Progress Mark:	Progres	ss Mark:	Progress Mark:	Objectiv	re 1 Met:	
Is progress sufficient to mee					t to meet O Yes	s 🔍 No	
annual goal?	annual goal?	annual	goal?	annual goal?	Objectiv	ve 2 Met:	
🔍 Yes 🔍 No	O Yes O No		es 🔍 No	O Yes O No		s O _{No}	
If "No" please comment:	If "No" please comment:	If "No"	please comment:	If "No" please comme	nt: If "No"	please explain:	
Needs More Time	Needs More Time	🔲 Ne	eeds More Time	Needs More Time	e		
Excess Absence/Tardy	Excess Absence/Tar	dy Ex	cess Absence/Tardy	Excess Absence/	Tardy		
Assignments Not	Assignments Not		signments Not	Assignments Not			
Completed Need to review/revise	Completed Need to review/revis	Comple	eted eed to review/revise	Completed Need to review/re			
Goal	Goal	Goal	it in review/revise	Goal	. 130	//	
Other	Other	Ot Ot	her	Other			

	INDIVID	UALIZED EDUCATION PR	OGRAM (IEP)	Page 18 of 29					
Los Angeles Unified School D	istrict								
Student OHANA	SHALEV	Date of Birth 22	-OCT-2010	Meeting Date 11-APR-2018					
Section G: Annual Goals ar Performance Area: Articulat Annual Goal # 7 Shalev will produce /r/	-	th 80% accuracy in 5 tria	ls.						
provided at either Progress R	e reported to parents by complet eport or Report Card periods.	ing the "IEP Report of Progress	and Achievement from Curren	t IEP" form(s) which will be					
Methods of Evaluation: State Assessments	Norm Referenced C	riterion Referenced	urriculum Based	Ø Observation					
Portfolio		iformal Otl		U Observation					
60% accuracy in 5 trial	Incremental objective #1 related to the goal. Incremental objective #2 related to the goal: Shalev will produce /r/ and /r/ blends in words with 60% accuracy in 5 trials. Shalev will produce /r/ and /r/ blends in words with 70% accuracy in 5 trials. Date to be achieved August V 2018 V MO/YR Date to be achieved December V 2018 V MO/YR								
	IEP REPORT OF PR	OGRESS AND ACHIEVEMI	ENT FROM CURRENT IEP						
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEED	ED 2 SUBSTANTIAL DBOC	EXPLANATION OF MAR RESS (50-99% of goal met)	KS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-499	% of goal met) <i>1 NO PROGRESS</i>					
1st Reporting Period Date:	2nd Reporting Period Date:	3rd Reporting Period Date:	4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date:	Goal Achievement					
Progress Mark:	Progress Mark:	Progress Mark:	Progress Mark:	Objective 1 Met:					
Is progress sufficient to mee annual goal? Ves No If "No" please comment:	t Is progress sufficient to mee annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment:		annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment:	eet Yes No Objective 2 Met: Yes No If "No" please explain:					
Needs More Time	Needs More Time	Needs More Time	Needs More Time						
Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not Completed Need to review/revise	Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not Completed Need to review/revise	 Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not Completed Need to review/revise 	Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not Completed Need to review/revise						
Goal Other	Goal Other	Goal Other	Goal Other						
				1					

	INDIVIE	DUALIZED EDUCATION PR	COGRAM (IEP)	Page 19 of 29				
Los Angeles Unified School Di	strict							
Student OHANA	SHALEV	Date of Birth 2	2-OCT-2010	Meeting Date 11-APR-2018				
Section G: Annual Goals and Performance Area: Articulatio Annual Goal # 8 Shalev will produce /sh/	-	cy in 5 trials.						
Progress on annual goals to be provided at either Progress Re		ting the "IEP Report of Progres	s and Achievement from Curre	nt IEP" form(s) which will be				
Methods of Evaluation: State Assessments Portfolio		Criterion Referenced C nformal Ot	urriculum Based her:	Observation				
•	Distrials.							
	IEP REPORT OF PR	OGRESS AND ACHIEVEM	ENT FROM CURRENT IEP					
4 GOAL MET OR EXCEEDE		EXPLANATION OF MAI RESS (50-99% of goal met)		9% of goal met) <i>1 NO PROGRESS</i>				
1st Reporting Period	2nd Reporting Period Date:	3rd Reporting Period Date:	4th Reporting Period (Secondary Only) Date:	Goal Achievement				
Is progress sufficient to meet				Objective 1 Met:				
Ves No	annual goal? Ves No	annual goal? Yes No	annual goal? Yes No If "No" please comment:	Objective 2 Met:				
 Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not Completed 	If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not Completed	If "No" please comment: Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not Completed	 Needs More Time Excess Absence/Tardy Assignments Not Completed 	If "No" please explain:				
Need to review/revise Goal Other	Need to review/revise Goal Other	Need to review/revise Goal Other	Need to review/revise Goal Other					

			П	NDIVIDU	JALIZ	ZED EDUCATIO	N PRO	GRAM (IEP)		Page 20 of 2
Los Angeles Unified Student OHANA	School Di	strict	SHALEV			Date of Bir	th 22 (OCT 2010	Monting Dat	e 11-APR-2018
Student ONANA			SHALLV			Date of Bit	ui 22-0	JC 1-2010	Meeting Dat	11-AFR-2018
Section G: Annual		•	tives							
Performance Area:	Counselin	1								
Annual Goal #		GB								
								, visualization, mindfu minimal adult support		/
Progress on annual provided at either P					ng the	"IEP Report of Pr	ogress a	nd Achievement from Curr	ent IEP" form(s)	which will be
Methods of Evalua	tion:			_					_	
State Assessme	ents	Norm	Referenced	Cri	terion	Referenced	Cur	riculum Based	Obser	vation
Portfolio		Work	Samples	Inf	ormal		Othe	r:		
Incremental objec	tive #1 rel	ated to t	the goal:			Increr	nental	objective #2 related to the	goal:	
for managing ne out of 4 trials measured by obse Date to be achieve	per week	with m		t suppor		for m out o as me	anagin f 4 tr asured	<pre>ing, self-talk, visual: g negative feelings dur ials per week with mode by observation. hieved December ▼ 2</pre>	ing conflict i	in 3 oport
		Ι	EP REPORT	OF PRO				NT FROM CURRENT IEI)	
4 GOAL MET OR H	FXCEEDE	D 3.9	SURSTANTIA	PROGR		PLANATION OF 50-99% of goal me		LS 2 PARTIAL PROGRESS (1-4	.9% of goal met)	1 NO PROGRESS
1st Reporting Period	-		oorting Period		`	eporting Period	,	4th Reporting Period	Goal Achie	
Date:		Date:			Date:			(Secondary Only) Date:		
Progress Mark:		Progress	Mark:		Progre	ess Mark:		Progress Mark:	Objective 1	Met:
annual goal?		annual g	goal?		annua	l goal?		Is progress sufficient to annual goal?	neet Ves Objective 2	
Yes No	ent:		s 🔍 No please comme	nt		/es No " please comment:		Yes No If "No" please comment:	O Yes	No
_					_			_	If "No" plea	se explain:
Needs More Tim	ne	Nee	eds More Time	e	_	leeds More Time		Needs More Time		
Excess Absence/	-	_	cess Absence/7		_	xcess Absence/Tar	dy	Excess Absence/Tardy		
Assignments No			signments Not			ssignments Not		Assignments Not		
Completed		Complet			Comp			Completed		
Need to review/r Goal		□ Nee Goal	ed to review/re		□ N Goal	leed to review/revi		Need to review/revise Goal		/
Other		Oth Oth	ier			Other		Other		
			L							

			Page 21 of
	INDIVIDUA	ALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)	
Los Angeles Unified School D	District		
Student OHANA	SHALEV	Date of Birth 22-OCT-2010	Meeting Date 11-APR-2018
	Assessments administered wil	cipation in State and District-wide Assessments Il conform to those assessments determined for each f Education and/or the Los Angeles Unified School	
Student will participate in R (Designated Supports and/or 2	egular State and District Asses Accommodations identified below	ssments. w are applicable)	ELPAC

			Page 22 of 29
		JALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)	
Los Angeles Unified School			
Student OHANA	SHALEV	Date of Birth 22-OCT-2010	Meeting Date 11-APR-2018
		Procedural Safeguards and Follow-up Actions	
		ng Procedural Rights & Safeguards was provided	to the parent in his/her primary language.
		aloud at the beginning of the IEP Team meeting.	
	is informed of his/her right to a writ		
	requesting translation services? Ye uardian has requested a written tran		
	lual Pages to be translated:	station of the fET in Fredew	
Special Requests:			
	7 years old, the student and parent(s nless the court has determined other)/guardian(s) have been informed that the education wise.	al decision-making rights will transfer to the
	THIS S	SPACE DELIBERATELY LEFT BLANK.	
<u> </u>			

	IND	IVIDUALIZED EDUG	CATION PROGRAM (I	EP)	Page 23 of 29
Los Angeles Unified School Di				,	
Student OHANA	SHALEV	Date of	f Birth 22-OCT-2010	N	leeting Date 11-APR-2018
		Section Q: Parent Par	ticipation and Consent		
	Parent Participation		Method	Parent Notificatio	on When
Parent/Student (18-21) has		-	Student	S. RODWIG	22-MAR-2018
to attend.	icated before the meeting that		Student	S. RODWIG	04-APR-2018
Parent/Student (18-21) was Parent/Student (18-21) did not n meeting was held without the P	respond to any of the meeting arent/Student (18-21) present.	notifications and the	request(1	Parent initials here ONLY i	r rescheduled to this date at my If the PARENT requested that the
Parent/Student (18-21) did them if they did not attend.		sh to proceed without	IEP meeting be reschedu	, 	
			to Components of the P		
A Parent/Student (18-21) may parent/student (18-21) agrees			l IEP. The District will in	plement those portions of	the IEP to which the
Parent/Student (18-21) AG	REES to all components of th	ne IEP.			
Parent/Student (18-21) AG	REES to all components of th Specify	e proposed IEP WITH	THE SPECIFIC EXCE	PTION(S) stated below:	
Assessment					
Eligibility	Specify				
Instructional Setting	Specify				
Services	Specify				
The Parent/Student (18-21) DOES NOT AGREE with	any of the components o	f the proposed IEP.		
A Parent/Student (18-21) is no parent/student (18-21) does wi processes in the District's publ	sh to initiate a form of dispute	e resolution as to the con	nponents of the proposed	IEP, the parent can find in	rent does not agree. If a formation on dispute resolution
	eation, A 1 aren 3 Guide to 5		s and Comments	nignis unu sujeguurus).	
Signature(s)	/		Da	te	
• Parent Guardian	Student age 18-21 year	rs O Surr	rogate Parent	Emancipated Minor	• Foster Parent
Did the school district facilitate	e parent involvement as a mea	ns of improving services	s and results for your chil	d? • Yes • No • N	No Response
	received a copy of the Paren after the IEP meeting	t Input Survey regarding	the IEP process. I under	stand that my completion o	f the form is voluntary and can
Signature(s)	//		Da	te 11-APR-2018	

PARENT INPUT SURVEY

Would you please take a moment to complete the survey below. The information you provide will help us improve the Individualized Education Program (IEP) process. Thank you in advance for your time and interest. ALL INFORMATION IS CONFIDENTIAL

DIRECTIONS: After each statement, please place an X on the line that describes your experience with your child's IEP.

А.	Regarding your child's current IEP:	Yes	No	Does Not Apply
1.	I am satisfied with the IEP meeting.			
2.	I feel that the IEP accurately reflects the decisions made at the IEP meeting.			
3.	I received notice of the IEP meeting.			
4.	I received "The IEP and You" handbook with the notice of the IEP meeting.			
5.	During the IEP process I received "A Parent's Guide to Special Education Services (Including Procedural Rights and Safeguards)" explaining my rights as a parent.			
6.	The IEP meeting was held in an appropriate setting.			
7.	I feel I was treated as an equal and important part of the IEP team.			
8.	The participants at the IEP meeting were prepared and informed.			
9.	Placements for my child, including the general education setting, were discussed and decided upon.			
10.	Related services were discussed and decided upon, if relevant.			
11.	If my child is 14 years old or older, an Individualized Transition Plan (ITP) was developed with my child's and my concerns in mind.			
12.	At the end of the IEP meeting the decisions were summarized.			
13.	If I needed an oral interpretation of the IEP team meeting an interpreter was provided.			
14.	The interpretation of the IEP team meeting enabled me to participate and make an informed decision regarding my child's IEP during the IEP meeting.			
15.	The interpreter stayed for the duration of the IEP team meeting.			
16.	If the interpreter left the IEP meeting before it was over, another staff member served as the interpreter and the interpretation was adequate.			
17.	I am aware that, if I am dissatisfied with the adequacy of the oral interpretation at the IEP team meeting, I can file a complaint with the Educational Equity Compliance Office at (213) 241-7682.			
18.	If I needed a written translation of the IEP, translation services were offered.			
19.	I am aware that, if I do not receive a copy of the translated IEP, I can contact the site administrator or call the Division of Special Education at (213) 241-6701.			
	any of the answers to items 13–16 or 18–19 was No, please discuss your concern(s) with the te administrator or call the Division of Special Education at (213) 241-6701.			
0.000	Regarding your child's previous IEP (if relevant):			
20.	I am satisfied that my child received the services described on the previous IEP. (If your answer to this question is "No", please write concerns below.)			
<u> </u>				
		1	Addition	al Comments

Is there anything more you would like to ask us or tell us? Please write below or call the Parent Resource Network at 1-800-933-8133. Please fold along dotted lines with the address showing. Seal and mail. Postage is pre-paid. Again, Thank you! NO POSTAGE NECESSARY IF MAILED IN THE UNITED STATES BUSINESS REPLY MAIL FIRST-CLASS MAIL PERMIT NO. 33798 LOS ANGELES CA 90051 POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY ADDRESSEE ATTN PARENT RESOURCE NETWORK LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT PO BOX 513307 LOS ANGELES CA 90099-4093 Ասհվիավոսեն հանվելու համերով PARENT INPUT SURVEY English н

INDIVII	DUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (Page 24 of 29 (IEP)					
Los Angeles Unified School District							
		Reconvened Meeting Date					
Student OHANA SHALEV	Date of Birth 22-OCT-2010						
Section R: Names and Signatures (Signatures on File)							
Team Member		Signature					
Parent/Guardian	Elnav Amar						
Parent/Guardian							
Student Age 18 - 21 years							
Student Under Age 18 years							
Surrogate Parent							
Foster Parent							
Family Foster Home Provider							
Administrator	Maria Vallone						
Administrative Designee							
Special Education Teacher	Laura Schussman						
General Education Teacher	Erin Parks						
School Psychologist	Claudia Diaz De Leon						
School Nurse	Melissa Neal						
Related Service Staff OT	Rachel Bittenson						
Related Service Staff LAS	Rachel Hochberg						
Related Service Staff							
Interpreter							
Sign Language Interpreter							
Agency Representative							
Agency Representative							
Agency Representative							
Other Special Ed. Teacher	Emma Gordon						
Other							
Other							
Other							
	· · · · ·						

					NATION BROCH AM (IFB)	Page 25 of 29
Ŧ .	1 11 .0	101 10		LIZED EDUC	CATION PROGRAM (IEP)	
	geles Unified	d School Di				
Studen	OHANA		SHALEV		Date of Birth 22-OCT-2010	Meeting Date 11-APR-2018
					WIRONMENT ANALYSIS Team at the IEP Team Meeting	
			Stu	ident's Current	Placement Type:	
G	eneral Educa	tion Class/0	General Education Site		Special Day Program/General	1 Education Site
\circ s	pecial Day Pi	rogram/Spe	cial Education Center		O Nonpublic School	
Он	ome/Hospita	l or Resider	ntial Care Facility			
DIRE	CTIONS: Co	omplete the	information below as part of the IE.	P team discuss Step that inc		beginning at Step A until the team reaches the
more r supple	estrictive sett mentary aids	ting should and service	only occur if the nature or severity of	of the student's The lack of cu	disability is such that placement in rrent availability of a student's requ	ast restrictive environment. Placement in a a less restrictive setting with the use of ired supports, services, accommodations and son why they cannot be provided.
Step	Can the sup	ports, servi	ices, accommodations and/or modifi	cations in the	student's IEP be made available in a	a general education classroom/setting?
A.	○ _{YES}	• NO	If the answer is YES, then a gener question below.	al education c	lassroom/setting is the appropriate	placement. If the answer is NO, go to the
	O YES	• NO	general education classroom/settin	ng? If YES, all		for modifications be made available in a modations and/or modifications must be the box below. Then go to Step B.
						/
Step B.	Can the sup program?	pports, servi				a general education site in a special day
	• YES	○ _{NO}	If the answer is YES, then a specia to the question below.	al day progran	n on a general education site is the a	appropriate placement. If the answer is NO, go
	O YES	○ _{NO}	special day program on a general of	education site?	If YES, all required supports, serv	for modifications be made available in a ices, accommodations and/or modifications e why in the box below. Then go to Step C.

4/17/2018

Individualized	Education	Drogrom	
Individualized	Euucalion	FIUUIAIII	

/2010									
os Ang	geles Unifie	ed School D							
udent	OHANA		SHALEV Date of Birth 22-OCT-2010 Meeting Date 11-APR-2018						
			ANNUAL LEAST RESTRICTIVE ENVIRONMENT ANALYSIS (Continued) To Be Completed By the IEP Team at the IEP Team Meeting						
Step	Can the su	Can the supports, services, accommodations and/or modifications in the student's IEP be made available in a special school setting?							
C.	○ YES	\bigcirc NO	If the answer is YES, then a special school setting is the appropriate placement. If the answer is NO, go to the question below.						
	○ _{YES}	○ _{NO}	If not currently available, can the required supports, services, accommodations and/or modifications be made available in a special school setting? If YES, all required supports, services, accommodations and/or modifications must be provided within a reasonable timeline. If the answer is NO, please articulate why in the box below. Then go to Step D.						
Step	Can the su	pports, serv	ices, accommodations and/or modifications in the student's IEP be made available in a home/hospital setting?						
D.	○ _{YES}	○ _{NO}	If the answer is YES, then a home/hospital setting is the appropriate placement.						
	○ YES	○ NO	If the answer is NO, go to the question below. If not currently available, can the required supports, services, accommodations and/or modifications be made available in a home/hospital setting? If YES, all required supports, services, accommodations and/or modifications must be provided within a reasonable timeline. If the answer is NO, please articulate why in the box below. Then go to Step E.						
Step E.	Can the su		ices, accommodations and/or modifications in the student's IEP be made available in a residential care facility?						
	○ YES	○ _{NO}	If not currently available, articulate in the IEP what supports, accommodations and/or modifications are required for the studen in this setting.						

tudent OHANA	SHALEV	•	Date of Birth 22-OCT-2010	Meeting Date 11-APR-20	18
			ctive With this IEP	Future Changes Related to this IEP	
	As of Date:				
		E	Eligible (SLD)		
Eligibility: (from Page 4)	Final IEP Reason Final IEP Effective Date:				
Curriculum		General Education			
	Type of School	District Non-Reside	ent School		
Placement	Name of School	SERRANIA AVE C	EES		
Instructional Setting	Setting	Special Education			
	Program	SLD			
	Special Day Minutes/Wk	1285			
	Addresses Goals	(Fine Motor),(Co	Mathematics),8(Articulation 2), unseling),3(Reading pport 1),4(Written		
Additional Factors	Low Incident Support	None			
Assistive Tech		No			
	Support Transportation	School to School			
Extended School Year/Intersession			Yes • No		
	Parent Counseling and Training (PCT)		Yes [•] Vo		
	ESY Transportation	School to School			
Accommodation, Modifications, Supports Instruction: Accommodation		asking questions, him, assignments segments, privacy opportunities for l reinforcement for behavior, use of w	ing Shalev's attention before number lines, problems read to broken down into smaller carrels, noise buffers, breaks, sound spelling charts, completion of work and yord banks, graphic organizers th manipulative materials when		
	Instructional Modifications				_
	Other Supports, including Non- Academic and Extra- curricular Activities				
Preparation for Three Year Review IEP (At the second Annual Review IEP Meeting, the team must discuss	Do the Parent and the District (local educational agency) agree that a		Yes O No		

4/17/2018

and document the	reassessment is	
decision to conduct or	unnecessary?	
not conduct a three-	If the Parent does not	
year comprehensive	agree,	
reassessment.)	specify the area(s) to	
	be reassessed.	
		Comments, as appropriate
Low Incidence		
Equipment		
Assistive Technology		
Equipment		
Participation in		
General Education		

	INDIVIDUALIZI	ED EDUCA	ATION PROGRAM (IEP)	Page 27 o
Los Angeles Unified School District				IEP FAPE Part 2 - Summary of Servi
Student OHANA	SHALEV	Date of	of Birth 22-OCT-2010	Meeting Date 11-APR-2018
			Effective With This IEP	Future Changes Related To This IEP
Service 1	S	tart Date:	Effective on Signature Date	
16		End Date:		
Occupational Therapy	Service a		Regular	
		equency:	1-10	
This service addresses the following goals:		Interval:	Monthly	
(Fine Motor)		/Interval:	60	
	Minutes/Interval (Pullout	from Gen Ed):	60	
	Service Deliver	y Model:	Direct Service (Collaborative)*	
	Responsible P	ersonnel:	Licensed/Credentialed Provider	
Service 2	* S	tart Date:	Effective on Signature Date	
04	End Date:			
Counseling and Guidance	Service a	pplies to:	Regular	
	Fr	equency:	1-5	
This service addresses the following goals:		Interval:	Monthly	
(Counseling)	Minutes	/Interval:	120	
	Minutes/Interval (Pullout	from Gen Ed):	120	
	Service Deliver	y Model:	Direct Service (By a Single Provider)*	
	Responsible P	ersonnel:	Licensed/Credentialed Provider	
Service 3	* S	tart Date:	Effective on Signature Date	
10	Η	End Date:		
Language/Speech	Service a	pplies to:	Regular	
	Fr	equency:	10-40	
This service addresses the following goals:		Interval:	Weekly	
7(Articulation)	Minutes	/Interval:	810	
8(Articulation 2)	Minutes/Interval (Pullout	from Gen	0	

4/17/2018 Ir	ndividuali	zed Education Program (IEP)	
	Ed):		
Service Delivery N	Model:	Direct Service (Collaborative)*	
	Area:		l-Based
Responsible Pers		Licensed/Credentialed Provider	
	Joiniei.	General Education Teacher	
		General Education Teacher	
*			
Notes: Parents of students who are Medi-Cal eligible authorize LAUSD to subm	nit claims	for reimbursement by Medi-Cal fund	ed services unless parent(s) signs a Parent
Medi-Cal Non-Authorization to Bill form. Please see Parent's Guide to Sp	pecial Ed	ucation Services (including Procedur	al Rights and Safeguards).
Part 3 - Percentage of Time Outside of	of Ge	neral Education	
		Effective With this IEP	Future Changes Related to this IEP
% of Time per Week outside of General Education		83 %	
Part 4 - Additional Discussion (This s	antic	n is optional)	
		± /	
The IEP team determined that student will be able to m summer months without significant regression or recoup	naintain	the level of speech and la berefore ESV is not being r	nguage skills during the
Parent Counseling Training (PCT) worksheet was complet	ed. PC	T would help support Shalev	PCT invitation was handed
to parent.			

A Angeles Unified School Durins				Page 28 of
Indem(OHANA) SHALEV Date of Birth 2:-OCT-2010 Meeting Date (11-APR-2018 SPECIFIC LEARNES DISAULTIFICATION SPECIFIC LEARNES of DISAULTIFICATION SPECIFIC LEARNES of DISAULTIFICATION The this form at latifal, Three Year Review and comprehensive assessments for students? Exception the using a Specific Learning Disability including at finited to students with characteristics of Disforms on at regired at Annual Review meetings. The characteristics of Disforms on at regired at Annual Review meetings. is the constances of the FIP Taun the test dualent test the test dualent test the exception of the IPP Taun the test dualent test the exception of the IPP Taun the test dualent test test is the virtue report of the IPP Taun the exception of the student is advected conditions which should be considered by the IEP Tean? Ves No 1 Yes, describe IPP and the test dualent test test is the student's general academic functioning? Yes No 2. During the observation of the student in the general education setting, was behavior noted that relates to the student's general academic functioning? Yes No 2. Puring the observation of the student in the general education setting, was behavior noted that relates to the student's general academic functioning? Yes 2. Puring the observation of the student in the general education setting, was behavior noted that relates to the student's general academic functioning? Yes 3. Provide test is the student in the general education setting, was behavior noted that relates to the studen	os Angeles Unified School District	INDIVIDUALIZED EDUC	ATION PROGRAM	(SLD, pg. 1 of 1)
Joe this form at Initial. There Verr Reviews and comprehensive assessments for students eligible as having a Specific Learning Disability, including of binded to students with characteristics of dyelecia. This form is not required at Annual Review meetings. is the constraints of the ILP Tem that the student meets the eligibility criteria for Specific Learning Disability based upon the information which fold his form serves as the written report of the ILP Team constraints. Are there educationally relevant medical conditions which should be considered by the IEP Team? Yes. No (Yes, describe . Are there education of the student in the general education setting, was behavior noted that relates to the student's general academic functioning? (N's, describe . During the observation of the student in the general education setting, was behavior noted that relates to the student's general academic functioning? (N's, describe . A severe discrepancy exists in one or more of the following academic arcs: (Check all that apply) Listening Comprehension Math Calculation Math Reasoning Reading Comprehension Math Calculation Math Reasoning Reading Comprehension Math Calculation Math Reasoning Cognitive abilities including association, conceptualization and expression Math Reasoning Cognitive abilities including association, conceptualization and expression Mathiney Processing Cognitive abilities including association, conceptualization and expression	tudent OHANA	SHALEV	Date of Birth 22-OCT-2010	
ot limited to students with characteristics of dyslexia. This form is not required at Annual Review meetings. I is the consensus of the IEP Team that the student meets the eligibility criteria for Specific Learning. Disability based upon the information which folls inform serves as the written export of the IEP Team consensus. Are there educationally relevant medical conditions which should be considered by the IEP Team? Ves No Yes, describe During the observation of the student in the general education setting, was behavior noted that relates to the student's general academic functioning? (as No Yes, describe A severe discrepancy exists in one or more of the following academic areas: (Check all that apply) Listening Comprehension Math Calculation Math Calculation Math Calculation Math Reasoning Reading Comprehension Math Calculation Math Reasoning Reading Comprehension Math Calculation Math Reasoning Reading Comprehension Math Calculation Math Reasoning Reading Fluency The discrepancy is the result of a disorder in one or more of the following psychological processing Attention Math Calculation Math Reasoning Reading Fluency The Team agrees that the discrepancy is not primarily the result of:				
 A severe discrepancy exists in one or more of the following academic areas: (Check all that apply) Listening Comprehension Basic Reading Skills Oral Expression Reading Comprehension Math Calculation Math Reasoning Reading Fluency The discrepancy is the result of a disorder in one or more of the following psychological processes: (Check all that apply) Attention Visual Processing Auditory Processing Sensory Motor Skills Cognitive abilities including association, conceptualization and expression The Team agrees that the discrepancy is not primarily the result of: 	 to timited to students with characte t is the consensus of the IEP Team to This form serves as the written report Are there educationally relevant no f Yes, describe 	Reviews and comprehensive ass ristics of dyslexia. This form is ne that the student meets the eligibili t of the IEP Team consensus. nedical conditions which should b	essments for students eligible as having a S ot required at Annual Review meetings. ity criteria for Specific Learning Disability I be considered by the IEP Team? O Yes	No
 Written Expression Math Calculation Math Reasoning Reading Fluency The discrepancy is the result of a disorder in one or more of the following psychological processes: (Check all that apply) Attention Visual Processing Auditory Processing Sensory Motor Skills Cognitive abilities including association, conceptualization and expression Phonological Processing The Team agrees that the discrepancy is not primarily the result of: 		e or more of the following acaden	nic areas: (Check all that apply)	
 The discrepancy is the result of a disorder in one or more of the following psychological processes: (Check all that apply) Attention Visual Processing Auditory Processing Sensory Motor Skills Cognitive abilities including association, conceptualization and expression Phonological Processing The Team agrees that the discrepancy is not primarily the result of: 	Listening Comprehension	 Basic Reading Skills 	Oral Expression	Reading Comprehension
 Attention Visual Processing Auditory Processing Sensory Motor Skills Cognitive abilities including association, conceptualization and expression Phonological Processing The Team agrees that the discrepancy is not primarily the result of: 	✔ Written Expression	Math Calculation	Math Reasoning	Reading Fluency
Cognitive abilities including association, conceptualization and expression Phonological Processing The Team agrees that the discrepancy is not primarily the result of:	The discrepancy is the result of a	disorder in one or more of the fol	lowing psychological processes: (Check all	that apply)
Cognitive abilities including association, conceptualization and expression Phonological Processing The Team agrees that the discrepancy is not primarily the result of:	Attention	Visual Processing	Auditory Processing	 Sensory Motor Skills
The Team agrees that the discrepancy is not primarily the result of:		•		
 Limited school experience Poor school attendance Environmental, economic or cultural disadvantage 		-		-
	 Limited school experience 	Poor school atten	dance 🖉 Environmenta	l, economic or cultural disadvantage

Individualized Education Program (IEP)

Social maladjustment

Intellectually Disabled

✓ Visual, hearing or motor impairment

Unfamiliarity with the English language

								Page 29 of 29
		INDIVIDUALI	ZED EDUC	ATION P	ROGRAM	(IEP)		
Los Angeles Unified School District								
Student	Student OHANA SHALEV Date of Birth 22-OCT-2010 Meeting Date 11-APR-2018							ng Date 11-APR-2018
	FAPE Summary Grid							
Program	Program: SLD Setting: Special Education							
Eligibil		ible (SLD)		Curricul	ım:	Genera	al Educati	on
Transp	ortation: Scho	ool to School		Low Inci	dent Suppor	rt: None		
Service		Start	Service	Interval	Frequency	Area	Total	Addresses
Code	Desc Counseling and Guidance	Date Effective on Signature Date	Applies To Regular	Monthly		~	Minutes	Goal(s) Counseling
10	Language/Speech	Effective on Signature Date	Regular	Weekly	1-3	~ School-Based	120 810	Articulation, Articulation 2
16	Occupational Therapy	Effective on Signature Date	Regular	Monthly		~	60	Fine Motor
For IEP Team Information Image: Second Sec								