In the last few decades, The Christian-Jewish dialogue has thrived, been thriving in the last few decades, gaining both public and scholarly attention. In most cases, this dialogue takes has taken-place between representatives of more open flanks of both-Christianity and Judaism, and involvesd participants who have with a liberal religious attitude, typically termed "liberal", in a sense that bBoth parties are united by a similar-political and cultural vision that transcends their differences between them. Dialogue is seems to be an outcome of the weakening of radical voices, who allegedly regard relations with another religion with hostility, and to the growth of moderate religious approaches, which enables rational and pragmatic inter-faith discussions. Therefore, Jewish-Christian dialogue, in other words, is judged to be a phenomenon pertaining to the secular liberalist/liberal setting phenomenon of the post-war Western world, and is carried out through the means of by a modernized and moderated universal, religious language.

However, this common understanding of the nature and scope of Jewish-Christian dialogue is limited in two respects. First, it does not cover the entire range of dialogical phenomena. As the studies discussed at the workshop suggest, several dialogical initiatives do not adhere to liberal criteria, which assume a rational agreement about the place of religious commitment and its contribution to a diverse society. In fact, one can find dialogical inclinations in surprisingly illiberal settings. Second, the liberal narrative of the Jewish-Christian dialogue focuses mainly on the geographical and political settings of Europe and North America; it omits other types of omitting dialogue and their unique concerns, that stem-stemming from other landscapes and their unique concerns. These nonwWestern initiatives are grounded on alternative religious grammars and are oriented towards other sets of political agendas, which often explicitly rejectings the liberal program.

In order <u>T</u>to overcome a narrow approach to religious dialogue, our workshop shall focus<u>es</u> on two topics. First, an empirical examination of a variety of projects that have been performed in contexts that are normally not deemed <u>un</u>amenable to the dialogical logic (narrowly understood). Shedding light on such initiatives, often neglected by the liberal

Forma	tted: Font: Georgia, 11 pt
Forma	tted: Left, Line spacing: Double
Forma	tted: Font: Georgia, 11 pt
distand	tted: Left: 2,54 cm, Right: 2,54 cm, Header te from edge: 1,25 cm, Footer distance from 1,25 cm
Forma	tted: Font: Georgia, 11 pt
Forma	tted: Font: Georgia, 11 pt
Forma	tted: Font: Georgia, 11 pt
Forma	tted: Font: Georgia, 11 pt
Forma	tted: Font: Georgia, 11 pt
Forma	tted: Font: Georgia, 11 pt
Forma	tted: Font: Georgia, 11 pt
Forma	tted: Font: Georgia, 11 pt

-	Formatted: Font: Georgia, 11 pt
1	Formatted: Font: Georgia, 11 pt
Υ	Formatted: Font: Georgia, 11 pt
	Formatted: Font: Georgia, 11 pt

-	Formatted: Font: Georgia, 11 pt
-	Formatted: Font: Georgia, 11 pt
1	Formatted: Font: Georgia, 11 pt
-	Formatted: Font: Georgia, 11 pt

-{	Formatted: Font: Georgia, 11 pt
-{	Formatted: Font: Georgia, 11 pt

framework of dialogue, contributes in and of itself to the <u>varied</u> understanding of the	 Forr
Christian-Jewish dialogue in its variety . Second, a critical inquiry of the variety of dialogical	
initiatives enables allows us to interrogate the logic behind the very concept of dialogue	 Forr
itself. The workshop attempts to formulate a grammar suitable for the dialogical variety, and	
to think anew, with a theoretical language befitting of this multiplicity, even-phenomena that	
up until now have been narrowly understood through the liberal grammar of dialogue.	

Formatted: Font: Georgia, 11 pt

ormatted: Font: Georgia, 11 pt