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In recent decades, white-collar crimes in Israel and elsewhere have made numerous headline stories, exemplified by Nochi Dankner's illegal stock manipulation in Israel, and Bernard Maydoff's Ponzi scheme in the US. In the wake of these crimes, segments of the population have called for increasing the severity of punishment (Holtfreter et al., 2008; Huff et al., 2010), in the hope that this will prove to be a satisfactory deterrent.

No single definition of "white-collar crime" exists, nor is there a consensus regarding its interpretation (Ragatz & Fremouw, 2010). Ever since the term was coined by Sutherland (1939), various definitions have been suggested, attesting, among other issues, to the complexity of the phenomenon. According to Mann (1990), the term "white-collar offender" suggests a prototype based on a group of parameters: the privileged status of the offender, abuse of position, use of camouflage and deception, economic damage, and perpetration of the crime either in an organizational framework or privately. Although these parameters define the phenomenon, the absence of any one of them does not necessarily alter the fundamental nature of the prototype.

Over time, attempts have been made to identify subtypes of white-collar criminals. Friedrichs (2009) makes a distinction between organizational/corporate crime, which is oriented to promoting the interests of an organization, and occupational crime, which is committed in a professional capacity for the sake of personal gain. So-called "grey-collar" crime has shades of white-collar crime, but is committed in a "grey" or less-defined area (Menard et al., 2011), consisting of an abuse of trust, including job poaching, insurance and credit card fraud, and tax evasion.

The present article refers in particular to the white-collar (organizational or occupational) felon who is a member of society's privileged elite and abuses his/her senior position in order to commit and conceal financial crime (Logan et al., 2017; Onna et al., 2014; Sutherland, 1983). The offences normally associated with this category include fraud, blackmail, falsification of official documents, embezzlement, money laundering, breach of trust by means of bribery, insider trading, illegal stock manipulation, tax offences, and computer crimes.

White-collar offenders of the above type generally hold positions that provide them with an opening for committing their crime. Unlike blue-collar crimes, the victims in this case are faceless, since there is rarely any physical contact between perpetrator and victim (Soltes, 2016). The crimes they commit are usually sophisticated, with few complainants and a host of anonymous collaborators. Discovery of the felony takes a relatively long time, since there is a tendency by organizations to deal with such cases internally. Law enforcement agents are therefore unsuccessful in exposing most of the crimes, and even if they do succeed, they sometimes find it difficult to make a conviction (Marriott, 2018; Xie, 2015). When a conviction is eventually made, the perpetrators suffer the ignominy of sullied reputations (Marriott, 2018).

Various estimates have been proposed with respect to the propensity for perpetration of white-collar crimes. Some claim (e.g., Ben Zvi & Volk, 2011) that propensity is low relative to other misconducts; others estimate a high percentage of recidivism. Weisburd et al. (2001), for example, examined criminal dossiers on white-collar criminals and found that a high percentage of them were habitual offenders.